

THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

Katavi Region

Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile





The United Republic of Tanzania

Katavi Region Basic Demographic And Socio-Economic Profile Report

National Bureau of Statistics Ministry of Finance and Planning Dodoma

and

Office of the Chief Government Statistician Presidents' Office – Finance and Planning Zanzibar

December 2024




Citation:

The United Republic of Tanzania (URT), Ministry of Finance, Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics and President's Office - Finance and Planning, Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar. The 2022 Population and Housing Census: Katavi Region Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile Report; Tanzania, Desember 2024.

Notes:

Maps and land area used in this publication are derived from the 2022 Population and Housing Census (PHC) cartographic work; therefore, they are for statistical use only.

KATAVI REGION, ADMINISTRATIVE BOUNDARIES



Foreword

The 2022 Population and Housing Census (PHC) for the United Republic of Tanzania had its



reference as the midnight of the 22nd/23rd August 2022. This was the Sixth and the first digital Census after the Union of Tanganyika and Zanzibar in 1964. Other post union censuses were carried out in 1967, 1978, 1988, 2002 and 2012. The Sixth Phase Government of Tanzania



under the leadership of Her Excellence Dr. Samia Suluhu Hassan and the Eighth Phase Government of Zanzibar under the leadership of Dr. Hussein Ali Mwinyi, like the previous phases, have fulfilled their obligation of conducting the 2022 PHC according to the United Nations Principles and Recommendations for the Population and Housing Census. **We owe them much appreciation for their commitment and support during Census implementation.**

The 2022 PHC was conducted in accordance with the Statistics Act Cap 351, which mandates the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania to conduct Population and Housing Census every ten years. It also followed the United Nations Principles and Recommendations for conducting the 2020 Round of Population and Housing Census, including adoption of advanced Information and Communication Technology (ICT) at all stages of Census implementation. Indeed, the country's decision to use more advanced technology to capture data during cartographic mapping, enumeration, data transmission and processing made the 2022 PHC the first ever digital census to be conducted in Tanzania.

The Government of the United Republic of Tanzania conducted for the first time the 2022 Tanzania Buildings Census (2022 TBC), an exercise done concurrently with the 2022 Population and Housing Census. Buildings are amongst the most important infrastructure in the lives of people in Tanzania and around the world. Buildings help to preserve and promote the lives of individuals, families and society at large in the economic, social, political and cultural spheres. Moreover, buildings as part of premises are an important criterion for measuring the state of a non-income economy for an individual or community concerned. The main uses of buildings in the lives of rural and urban people include residential, commercial, commercialresidential, institutional and industrial. Apart from addressing the long-time challenges of lack of information on buildings in the country, the 2022 TBC has been conducted to meet the requirements of various national, regional and international policies pertaining to human settlements development. This huge national undertaking has been made practical due to a clear understanding that, building census are the primary means of accessing complete, accurate, adequate, timely and reliable building information.

The 2022 PHC and TBC results are for integrated plans and sustainable development of the country and will increase awareness and transparency in allocation of resources at all levels of administration based on the actual population. The results will be used by the Government and stakeholders in monitoring and evaluating various national, regional and international development frameworks including the Tanzania Development Vision 2025 and Zanzibar Development Vision 2050; the Third National Five-Year Development Plan 2021/22 - 2025/26 and Zanzibar Development Plan 2021/22 - 2025/26; the East African Community Vision 2050; Southern African Development Community Vision 2050 and the African Development Agenda 2063.

Furthermore, the results will enable the country to evaluate the progress of implementation of Sustainable Development Goals (United Nation Agenda 2030); goals that aim at achieving equality and eradicating poverty of all kinds including extreme poverty by 2030 by ensuring no one is left behind. The census data will also provide a basis for the computation of several indicators such as enrolment and literacy rates, infant and maternal mortality rates, unemployment rate and others.

The Regional Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile Reports are the sixth in a series of major planned publications for the 2022 PHC. The major reports that have been produced so far are Administrative Units Population Distribution Reports, Age and Sex Reports, The Tanzania Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile and *Ripoti ya Idadi ya Watu katika Majimbo ya Uchaguzi (Constituency Population Distribution Report)* in two volumes of United Republic of Tanzania and Tanzania Zanzibar. The first three major reports are in three volumes for the United Republic of Tanzania, Tanzania Mainland and Tanzania Zanzibar. The main purpose of this report is to provide a short descriptive analysis and related tables on main thematic areas covered in the 2022 Population and Housing Census. Areas covered include

population and household characteristics, as well as social and economic activities. Other report in the series of planned Census publications will be Thematic Reports.

The success of both 2022 PHC and TBC depended on the cooperation and contributions from the Government, specifically Census Committees from national to the lowest administrative level. These include the National Central Census Committee; National Census Advisory Committee; National Census Technical Committee; Census Committees at regional, district, wards, village/mtaa and hamlet. There were also forums from Non-States Actors including Collaborators Forum, Private Sector, various institutions and the public at large.

A word of thanks goes to Government leaders at all levels, particularly, Minister for Finance; Minister for Lands, Housing and Human Settlements Development, Minister of State -President's Office, Finance and Planning, Zanzibar; Minister for Lands and Housing Development Zanzibar; Members of Parliament; Members of the House of Representatives; Councillors/Shehas; Regional and District Census Committees chaired by Regional and District Commissioners of respective areas; Census Coordinators (National and Zanzibar); Regional and District Census Coordinators; Supervisors; Enumerators; Local leaders and all respondents (heads of households, members of households and other individuals).

Special gratitude is extended to the following Development Partners:- United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA); World Bank (WB); United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF); UN-Women; International Organization for Migration (IOM); United States Agency for International Development (USAID); Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO); United States Census Bureau (USCB), The Republic of South Korea, The People's Republic of China and other Development Partners for providing equipment, expertise, training and financial support in making the 2022 Population and Housing Census a success. We also thank religious, traditional and political leaders, non-governmental organization leaders, the media and all citizens and non-citizens in general for their participation and contributions in the successful implementation of the Census.

Special thanks also go to Honourable Anne Semamba Makinda - Census Commissar for Tanzania Mainland and Former Speaker of the National Assembly and Honourable Ambassador Mohamed Haji Hamza - Census Commissar for Tanzania Zanzibar, for their effective leadership and management in educating and sensitizing all citizens and non-citizens to participate in the 2022 Population and Housing Census, thus resulting in enhanced quality, smooth and timely execution of the Census exercise. We also thank the Chairperson of the Governing Board of the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), Dr. Amina Msengwa and the Chairperson of the Statistics Board of Zanzibar, Ambassador Amina Salum Ali, for their guidance throughout the implementation of the Census exercise.

Last but not least, we acknowledge the unprecedented efforts and commitment of the management and staff of the National Bureau of Statistics under the leadership of Dr. Albina Chuwa, the Statistician General; and staff of the Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar under the leadership of Mr. Salum Kassim Ali; Chief Government Statistician; staff from the Ministry of Lands, Housing and Human Settlements Development; Ministry of Information, Communication and Information Technology; as well as other Government officials who worked tirelessly in ensuring that the 2022 Population and Housing Census was implemented successfully.

Kassim Majaliwa Majaliwa (MP) Prime Minister of The United Republic of Tanzania

Hemed Suleiman Abdulla (MHR) Second Vice President of Zanzibar

Acknowledgement

The National Bureau of Statistics and Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar conducted the 2022 PHC and TBC to provide necessary information for policy implementation, planning, monitoring and evaluation of development processes. The Census collected detailed information on demographic characteristics, fertility, mortality, migration, orphanhood, disabilities, possession of national documents, education, economic activities, land ownership and information related to ICT ownership and use, housing ownership and assets, agriculture, community facilities and buildings. Some information on physical addresses were also collected.

This report presents basic demographic and socio-economic indicators from regional to Council level. The report will facilitate users of Census data to make evidence-based decisions for social and economic development. We therefore express our appreciation to all experts who have put their time and efforts to produce this report. In particular, we would like to thank Ruth Davison Minja, the Director of Population Census and Social Statistics; Fahima Mohamed Issa, Director of Social Statistics, Census and Survey, (OCGS); Seif Ahmad Kuchengo, the National Census Coordinator; Abdul-majid Jecha Ramadhan, the Tanzania Zanzibar Census Coordinator, National Census Technical Team, Statisticians, Demographers, Information Technology and Geographic Information System officers for their commitment which led to successful completion of this report.

Our appreciation is as well extended to all professionals, regional and district supervisors, enumerators and field supervisors as well as the media for their dedicated work. Certainly, without their commitment and dedication, the census would not have been successful. We would also like to thank the public for their cooperation during the entire period of the Census.

Dr. Albina Chuwa Statistician General National Bureau of Statistics

Salum Kassim Ali Chief Government Statistician Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar

Executive Summary

The 2022 Katavi Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile provides a short descriptive analysis and related tables on main thematic areas covered in the 2022 Population and Housing Census. Areas covered are population size, growth and distribution; age and sex profile; household composition; marital status; national identification documents and citizenship; survival of parents; education, literacy and numeracy; employment and economic activities; disability; land ownership; housing characteristics, asset ownership and environmental control; and access and use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) by individuals and households; and Information on buildings. In many cases, information has been disaggregated by place of residence and where possible comparisons have been made with previous Census(es) to observe the trend over time. New topics covered in the 2022 PHC for the first time to fill the national data gaps include; national identification documents, numeracy, land title deed status, production and disposal of Electronic and Electric Equipment Waste (EEEW), forestry and ICT. The report also provides policy recommendations emanating from key findings on areas covered by the 2022 PHC.

Chapter One presents an overview of the 2022 PHC. It presents the brief history of census undertaking in the country and the main objective of the 2022 PHC. It also highlights on preparatory and implementation of census activities at different levels as well as the legal framework, census organisation structure, development of census instruments, cartographic work, pilot census, census publicity and advocacy campaigns. Furthermore, the Chapter elaborates on census enumeration activities including recruitment and training of personnel, distribution of enumeration materials and the actual enumeration exercise. Post enumeration activities (data processing and quality control procedures) are also discussed in this Chapter.

Chapter Two gives a user of this publication an understanding on the size, growth and distribution of Katavi Region population from the 2022 PHC and previous censuses. Katavi Region has a population of 1,152,958 persons, out of those, 583,056 are females and 569,902 are males. Population of Katavi Region grew at an average annual growth rate of 7.1 percent from 2012 to 2022 representing an increase of 104.2 percent over a 10-year period. At this rate, the population of Katavi Region is expected to double in the next 10 years. The Region's

ix

population remains predominantly rural, with 76.7 percent of its total population living in rural areas and 23.3 percent living in urban areas.

Chapter Three is about age and sex profile of Katavi Regional population. The chapter presents population by sex and major age groups. The data depicts a young population age structure with a median age of 14.5 years. Fifty percent (50.0%) of the population is aged below 15 years. The percentage of the working age population (15 - 64 years) has decreased from 48.9 percent in 2002 Census to 47.7 percent in 2022. Results from 2022 PHC also show that the proportion of the working age population is higher in urban areas (53.3%) compared with rural areas (46.0%), and the dependency ratio for the region is 109.7. The percentage of the elderly population aged 65 years and above in Katavi Region has decreased from 3.0 percent in 2002 to 2.3 percent in 2022.

Chapter Four presents information on household composition whereby results show that Katavi Region has 213,825 private households, out of which 60,853 (28.5%) are in urban areas. This is an increase from 27.2 percent in 2012 PHC indicating a slight increase in urbanization in the Region. Female-headed households in the Region increased from 27.2 percent in 2012 to 31.0 percent in 2022. Percentage of female headed households is slightly higher in urban (32.8%) than in rural areas (30.2%). The average household size for the Region decreased from 5.5 persons in 2012 to 5.3 persons in 2022.

Chapter Five presents the census results on marital status for persons aged 15 years and above. More than fifty six percent (56.3%) of persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region are married, where females are more likely (58.6%) to be married than males (54.0%). About four percent (3.6%) of persons aged 15 years and above are widowed; percentage of widowed females is significantly higher (6.0%) than that of males (1.0%). The results further show that females get married at a younger age than males. The Mean Age at First Marriage in 2022 for Katavi Region is 24.3 years for males and 19.7 years for females. The Mean Age at First Marriage at First Marriage for males decreased from 24.7 years in 2012 to 24.3 years in 2022 while that of females decreased from 20.9 years to 19.7 years during the same period.

Х

Chapter Six provides information on national identification documents and citizenship. The majority of the population (84.2%) have neither birth certificates nor birth notifications. Only 11.5 percent have birth certificates and a mere 2.9 percent have birth notification. Analysis by age indicates that the under-five population has the highest percentage (19.4%) of the individuals with birth certificates, followed by 13.2 percent of the population aged 5-9 years. Results on other national identification documents show that 110,730 persons (22.4%) aged 18 years and above have national identification cards and 51,616 persons (10.5%) have national identification numbers. It is also noted that only 55,271 persons (4.9%) out of 1,132,862 persons in private households in the Region have health insurance from National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF) or Community Health Insurance Fund (CHIF).

The results show that 1,151,762 persons (99.9%) out of 1,152,958 persons enumerated in Katavi Region are Tanzanians. The majority of non-Tanzanians are from other East African Countries (584 persons) followed by other African Countries (416 persons).

Chapter Seven presents information on survival of parents. Results indicate that about nine out of ten (87.8%) of children under 18 years in Katavi Region have both parents alive. About 12 percent (12.2%) of children under 18 years are orphans with in significant different between rural areas (12.5%) than urban areas (10.9%). About eight percent (7.7%) of children under 18 years are orphan with one parent dead while 4.5 percent are orphan with both parent dead. Mlele District Council has the highest percentage (17.3%) of orphans compared with other councils in Katavi Region.

Chapter Eight presents information on education, literacy and numeracy. Adult literacy rate for persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region is 70.4 percent. Literacy rate is higher among males (76.1%) than females (65.0%). Numeracy rate among persons aged five years and above is 61.2 percent and it is higher among males (62.5%) than females (59.9%). The Net Enrolment Rate (NER) for primary schools is 64.6 percent. It is higher among females (67.0%) than males (62.2%). Majority (82.4%) of population aged four years and above attained primary education, 13.6 percent attained ordinary level secondary education and 2.3 percent attained university education.

xi

Chapter Nine presents information on employment and current economic activities for persons aged 15 years and above. There are 454,817 (80.9%) persons in employment. The unemployment rate in Katavi Region using International relaxed definition is 3.6 percent. Females have higher unemployment rate (4.0%) than males (3.1%). The overall Labour Force Participation Rate (LFPR) for Katavi Region is 83.9 percent.

Chapter Ten highlight statistics on disability status in Katavi Region. Persons with disabilities (PWDs) in this publication are those with physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairment which may hinder or limit their full and effective participation in society on an equal footing with others. About ten percent (9.9%) of the total population aged 7 years and above is living with some form of disability. Difficulty in seeing (2.8%) and walking (1.7%) are the most common types of disabilities in Katavi Region.

Chapter Eleven focuses on status of land ownership including information on persons owning land, type of ownership and legal documents possession in Katavi Region. Twenty three percent (23.0%) of the population aged 15 years and above in the Region own land for different uses, including agriculture, residential, commercial or industrial. The proportion of females owning land is lower (19.6 percent) than that of males (26.6%). About forty seven percent (46.9%) of persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region own land without legal documents. Among the land owners with legal documents in Katavi Region, majority of them own land jointly (29.7%) followed by those owning land alone (21.3%).

Chapter Twelve presents information on housing characteristics, assets ownership and environmental control in Katavi Region. About sixty-four (64.2%) households live in their own houses. More than one-third (39.0%) of households have no legal rights over the land where their houses are built. More than forty percent (42.8%) of households are living in houses with improved floor covering materials. More than half (56.4%) of households in Katavi Region use improved sources of drinking water. About forty seven percent (47.0%) of households in Katavi Region use improved toilet facilities.

The majority of households in Katavi Region use firewood (57.9%) and charcoal (33.4%) as main sources of energy for cooking. The main source of energy for lighting is electricity from the national grid (TANESCO) used by 20.3 percent of households. Percentage of households

using electricity from the national grid for lighting increased from 8.5 percent in 2012 to 20.3 percent in 2022. About four out of ten (41.9%) households in Katavi Region use burning of solid waste as the main method of solid waste disposal and 53.1 percent of households mix electronic waste with other refuse.

Chapter Thirteen highlights the households and individuals access and use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT), including Ownership of ICT equipment and the Type of Use of ICT equipment in Katavi Region. It is shown that there is significant mobile phone penetration in this region, with 75.3 percent of person aged 15 years and above own mobile phone where two thirds (66.0%) of them own only non-smartphones. Usage proportions are similarly high, with 77.8 % of persons aged 15 years and above use ICT equipment for communication. The non-smartphone is mostly utilised by individuals who attained training after secondary education (98.0%). About three percent (2.5%) of person aged 15 and above in Katavi Region use laptops and about two percent (1.6 %) use desktop computers.

Chapter Fourteen provides information on the number of buildings, types of buildings, main uses of buildings and the number of units in residential and commercial-residential buildings in Katavi Region and Councils levels as per the 2022 Tanzania Building Census (2022 TBC) results. Findings also present that 75.3 percent of all buildings/units in Katavi Region are occupied by the owners themselves. About seventy-six percent (75.9%) of all individually owned buildings in Katavi Region are owned by males, 17.3 percent by females, and 4.4 percent are jointly owned. About thirty-five percent (35.3%) of all buildings have permanent flooring materials (sand-cement or Tiles/with cement or concrete, tiles or marble). Seventy-three percent (72.7%) percent of all buildings in Katavi Region are constructed on land that do not have legal documents. About twenty-four percent (12.7%) of all buildings in Katavi Region are connected to the national grid electricity while 41.1 percent are powered by other sources. Nearly eighteen percent (17.6%) of all buildings have water services. Three percent (4.3%) of all buildings in Katavi Region have infrastructure for the people with disabilities.

Summary of Key Indicators for Katavi Region, Rural and Urban, 2022 Census

| Indicator | Tota | Total Rural | | | | Urban | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|--|--|
| Indicator | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | | |
| Population Size, Growth and Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| Total Population | 1,152,958 | 100.0 | 883,999 | 100.0 | 268,959 | 100.0 | | |
| Male | 569,902 | 49.4 | 439,374 | 49.7 | 130,528 | 48.5 | | |
| Female | 583,056 | 50.6 | 444,625 | 50.3 | 138,431 | 51.5 | | |
| Average Annual Intercensal Growth Rate (2012 – 2022) | - | 7.1 | - | 7.7 | - | 5.4 | | |
| Age and Sex Profile | | | | | | | | |
| Children (0–4 years) | 218,094 | 18.9 | 172,696 | 19.5 | 45,398 | 16.9 | | |
| Male | 108,071 | 19.0 | 85,586 | 19.5 | 22,485 | 17.2 | | |
| Female | 110,023 | 18.9 | 87,110 | 19.6 | 22,913 | 16.6 | | |
| Young Population (0–14 years) | 576,514 | 50.0 | 456650 | 51.7 | 119864 | 44.6 | | |
| Male | 287,098 | 50.4 | 228,231 | 51.9 | 58,867 | 45.1 | | |
| Female | 289,416 | 49.6 | 228,419 | 51.4 | 60,997 | 44.1 | | |
| Young Population (0–17 years) | 645,510 | 56.0 | 509270 | 57.6 | 136240 | 50.7 | | |
| Male | 321,988 | 56.5 | 255,293 | 58.1 | 66,695 | 51.1 | | |
| Female | 323,522 | 55.5 | 253,977 | 57.1 | 69,545 | 50.2 | | |
| Youth Population (15–24 years) | 214,221 | 18.6 | 160577 | 18.2 | 53644 | 19.9 | | |
| Male | 100,470 | 17.6 | 76,370 | 17.4 | 24,100 | 18.5 | | |
| Female | 113,751 | 19.5 | 84,207 | 18.9 | 29,544 | 21.3 | | |
| Youth Population (15–35 years) | 381,697 | 33.1 | 280155 | 31.7 | 101542 | 37.8 | | |
| Male | 182,289 | 32.0 | 134,940 | 30.7 | 47,349 | 36.3 | | |
| Female | 199,408 | 34.2 | 145,215 | 32.7 | 54,193 | 39.1 | | |
| Females of Reproductive Age (15-49 years) | 253,908 | 43.5 | 185514 | 41.7 | 68394 | 49.4 | | |
| Working Age Population (15-64 years) | 549,719 | 47.7 | 406239 | 46.0 | 143480 | 53.3 | | |
| Male | 269,969 | 47.4 | 200,751 | 45.7 | 69,218 | 53.0 | | |
| Female | 279,750 | 48.0 | 205,488 | 46.2 | 74,262 | 53.6 | | |
| Elderly population (60+ years) | 41,435 | 3.6 | 32661 | 3.7 | 8774 | 3.3 | | |
| Male | 20,466 | 3.6 | 16,480 | 3.8 | 3,986 | 3.1 | | |
| Female | 20,969 | 3.6 | 16,181 | 3.6 | 4,788 | 3.5 | | |
| Elderly Population (65+ years) | 26,725 | 2.3 | 21110 | 2.4 | 5615 | 2.1 | | |
| Male | 12,835 | 2.3 | 10,392 | 2.4 | 2,443 | 1.9 | | |
| Female | 13,890 | 2.4 | 10,718 | 2.4 | 3,172 | 2.3 | | |
| Household Composition | | | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Private Households | 213,825 | 100.0 | 152,972 | 100.0 | 60,853 | 100.0 | | |
| Male Headed Households | 147,583 | 69.8 | 106,699 | 69.8 | 40,884 | 67.2 | | |
| Female Headed Households | 66,242 | 30.2 | 46,273 | 30.2 | 19,969 | 32.8 | | |

| Average Household Size[1] | | | | | - | |
|---|-----------|------|---------|------|---------|-----|
| Average Household Size Headed by Male1 | 147,583 | 5.5 | 106,699 | 5.9 | 40,884 | 4. |
| Average Household Size Headed by Female ¹ | 66,242 | 4.9 | 46,273 | 5.3 | 19,969 | 4. |
| Marital Status (15 years and Above) | | | | | | |
| Never Married | 156,029 | 27.1 | 108,679 | 25.4 | 47,350 | 31. |
| Married | 324,654 | 56.3 | 253,578 | 59.3 | 71,076 | 47 |
| Living Together | 47,152 | 8.2 | 29,947 | 7.0 | 17,205 | 11 |
| Divorced | 16,084 | 2.8 | 11,890 | 2.8 | 4,194 | 2 |
| Separated | 11,946 | 2.1 | 8,152 | 1.9 | 3,794 | 2 |
| Widowed | 20,579 | 3.6 | 15,103 | 3.5 | 5,476 | 3 |
| National Identification Documents and Citizenship | | | | | | |
| Birth Registration | | | | | | |
| Population with Birth Certificate | 130,403 | 11.5 | 4,838 | 8.9 | 52,828 | 20 |
| Population with Birth Notification | 32,358 | 2.9 | 762 | 2.4 | 11,096 | 4 |
| Country of Citizenship | | - | | | | |
| Tanzanians | 1,151,762 | 99.9 | 883,136 | 99.9 | 268,626 | 99 |
| Non-Tanzanians | 1,196 | 0.1 | 863 | 0.1 | 333 | 0 |
| National Identification Documents | | | | | | |
| National Health Insurance or Community Health (NHIF or CHF) | 55,271 | 4.9 | 36,488 | 4.2 | 18,783 | 7 |
| Other Health Insurance | 15,587 | 1.4 | 12,106 | 1.4 | 3,481 | 1 |
| National Identification Card | 110,730 | 22.4 | 75,528 | 20.7 | 35,202 | 27 |
| National Identification Number | 51,616 | 10.5 | 31,387 | 8.6 | 20,229 | 15 |
| Zanzibar Identification Card | 91 | 0.0 | 47 | 0.0 | 44 | 0 |
| Driving License | 9,178 | 1.9 | 3,657 | 1.0 | 5,521 | 4 |
| Voter's Identification Card | 257,957 | 52.2 | 180,504 | 49.4 | 77,453 | 60 |
| Travel Passport | 3,710 | 0.3 | 2,132 | 0.2 | 1,578 | 0 |
| Orphanhood (one or both parents died) | | | | | | |
| Child Orphans (0-17 years) | 78,560 | 12.2 | 63,666 | 12.5 | 14,894 | 10 |
| Literacy, Numeracy and Education | | | | | | |
| Adult Literacy Rate (15 years and above) | 460,060 | 70.4 | 276,500 | 64.7 | 129,560 | 86 |
| Youth Literacy Rate (15-35 years) | 279,942 | 72.6 | 188,729 | 66.7 | 91,213 | 90 |
| Adult Numeracy Rate (15 years and above) | 409,571 | 72.9 | 285,670 | 68.4 | 123,901 | 85 |
| Net Enrolment Ratio in Primary Schools (7 – 13 years) | 158,514 | 64.6 | 114,649 | 59.1 | 43,865 | 85 |
| Gross Enrolment Ratio in Primary Schools | 220,229 | 89.9 | 156,398 | 80.6 | 63,831 | 124 |
| Highest Level of Educational Attained (4 Years and Above) | | | | | | |
| Total Number | | | | | | |
| Pre-Primary | 1,503 | 0.4 | 778 | 0.4 | 725 | 0 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 316,163 | 82.4 | 164,486 | 81.0 | 151,677 | 84 |
| Training After Primary | 404 | 0.1 | 285 | 0.1 | 119 | 0 |

| Pre-Form One | 56 | 0.0 | 34 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.0 |
|--|---------|------|---------|------|--------|------|
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 52,185 | 13.6 | 28,519 | 14.0 | 23,666 | 13.1 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 2,381 | 0.6 | 1,798 | 0.9 | 583 | 0.3 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 2,310 | 0.6 | 1,390 | 0.7 | 920 | 0.8 |
| University and Other Related | 8,673 | 2.3 | 5,848 | 2.9 | 2,825 | 1.6 |
| Education for people with mental disabilities/ mental health disabilities | 4 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Economic Activity | | | | | | |
| Legislators, administrators and managers | 1,028 | 0.2 | 406 | 0.1 | 622 | 0. |
| Professionals | 3,595 | 0.8 | 1,455 | 0.4 | 2,140 | 1. |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 9,724 | 2.1 | 4,293 | 1.3 | 5,431 | 4. |
| Clerks | 1,005 | 0.2 | 379 | 0.1 | 626 | 0. |
| Service workers and shop sales workers | 17,346 | 3.8 | 7,405 | 2.2 | 9,941 | 8. |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 282,074 | 62.0 | 240,569 | 71.1 | 41,505 | 35. |
| Craft and related workers | 56,292 | 12.4 | 32,885 | 9.7 | 23,407 | 20. |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2,930 | 0.6 | 1,080 | 0.3 | 1,850 | 1. |
| Elementary occupations | 80,823 | 17.8 | 49,786 | 14.7 | 31,037 | 26. |
| Disability | | | | | | |
| Disability Prevalence | 82,799 | 9.9 | 62,461 | 9.8 | 20,338 | 10. |
| Type of Disability | | | | | | |
| Albinism | 1,409 | 0.1 | 1,054 | 0.1 | 355 | 0. |
| Seeing | 23,236 | 2.8 | 17,350 | 2.7 | 5,886 | 2. |
| Hearing | 9,215 | 1.1 | 6,779 | 1.1 | 2,436 | 1. |
| Walking | 14,228 | 1.7 | 10,773 | 1.7 | 3,455 | 1. |
| Remembering | 5,169 | 0.6 | 3,865 | 0.6 | 1,304 | 0. |
| Self-Care | 2,622 | 0.3 | 1,975 | 0.3 | 647 | 0 |
| Communication | 4,652 | 0.6 | 3,569 | 0.6 | 1,083 | 0. |
| Other Disability | 23,677 | 2.8 | 18,150 | 2.9 | 5,527 | 2. |
| Ownership of Land (15 years and above) | | | | | | |
| Persons Owning Land | 129,379 | 23.0 | 103,567 | 24.8 | 25,812 | 17. |
| Male | 72,602 | 26.6 | 57,675 | 28.2 | 14,927 | 21. |
| Female | 56,777 | 19.6 | 45,892 | 21.5 | 10,885 | 14. |
| Housing Characteristics, Asset Ownership and Environmental Control | | | | | | |
| Type of Tenure (Main dwelling) | | | | | | |
| Owned by Household | 137,276 | 64.2 | 106393 | 69.6 | 30883 | 50. |
| Living without Paying any Rent | 12,537 | 5.9 | 9480 | 6.2 | 3057 | 5. |
| Rented Privately | 41,092 | 19.2 | 20098 | 13.1 | 20994 | 34. |
| Rented by Employer | 6,521 | 3.0 | 4807 | 3.1 | 1714 | 2 |
| Rented by Government at Subsidized Rent | 7,227 | 3.4 | 5050 | 3.3 | 2177 | 3. |
| Owned by Employer (Free) | 4,534 | 2.1 | 3680 | 2.4 | 854 | 1. |
| Owned by Employer (Rent) | 4,638 | 2.2 | 3464 | 2.3 | 1174 | 1. |
| Main Materials Used for Roofing | | | | | | |

| Iron Sheets | 159,976 | 74.8 | 102106 | 66.7 | 57870 | 95.1 |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------|--------|------|-------|------|
| Grass/Leaves | 47,940 | 22.4 | 45495 | 29.7 | 2445 | 4.0 |
| Mud and Leaves | 4,523 | 2.1 | 4275 | 2.8 | 248 | 0.4 |
| Others | 1,386 | 0.6 | 1096 | 0.7 | 290 | 0.5 |
| Main Materials Used for Flooring | | | | | | |
| Cement | 86,628 | 40.5 | 42858 | 28.0 | 43770 | 71.9 |
| Earth/Sand | 119,640 | 56.0 | 106022 | 69.3 | 13618 | 22.4 |
| Ceramic tiles | 4,357 | 2.0 | 1221 | 0.8 | 3136 | 5.2 |
| Other | 3,200 | 1.5 | 2871 | 1.9 | 329 | 0.5 |
| Main Materials Used for Walls | | | | | | |
| Cement Bricks | 5,029 | 2.4 | 1828 | 1.2 | 3201 | 5.3 |
| Baked Bricks | 152,942 | 71.5 | 98903 | 64.7 | 54039 | 88.8 |
| Sundried Bricks | 30,580 | 14.3 | 28164 | 18.4 | 2416 | 4. |
| Poles and Mud | 18,612 | 8.7 | 17972 | 11.7 | 640 | 1. |
| Stones | 786 | 0.4 | 527 | 0.3 | 259 | 0.4 |
| Others | 5,876 | 2.7 | 5578 | 3.6 | 298 | 0. |
| Main Source of Energy for Lighting | | | | | | |
| Electricity (TANESCO/ZECO) | 43,433 | 20.3 | 29507 | 20.0 | 13926 | 21. |
| Solar | 102,214 | 47.8 | 73632 | 49.9 | 28582 | 43. |
| Kerosene | 2,871 | 1.3 | 1679 | 1.1 | 1192 | 1. |
| Others | 65,307 | 30.5 | 42765 | 29.0 | 22542 | 34. |
| Main Source of Energy for Cooking | | | | | | |
| Firewood | 123,726 | 57.9 | 113124 | 74.0 | 10602 | 17. |
| Charcoal | 71,450 | 33.4 | 28490 | 18.6 | 42960 | 70. |
| Kerosene | 388 | 0.2 | 139 | 0.1 | 249 | 0. |
| Electricity | 3,328 | 1.6 | 1628 | 1.1 | 1700 | 2. |
| Gas | 4,024 | 1.9 | 1290 | 0.8 | 2734 | 4. |
| Others | 10,909 | 5.1 | 8301 | 5.4 | 2608 | 4. |
| Main Source of Drinking Water | | | | | | |
| Piped Water | 74,168 | 34.7 | 34643 | 22.6 | 39525 | 65. |
| Other Protected Sources | 32,173 | 15.0 | 21052 | 13.8 | 11121 | 18. |
| Unprotected Sources | 107,484 | 50.3 | 97277 | 63.6 | 10207 | 16. |
| Type of Toilet Facility | | | | | | |
| Flush Toilet | 55,168 | 25.8 | 37348 | 25.3 | 17820 | 26. |
| Ventilated Improved Pit Latrine (VIP) | 9,621 | 4.5 | 6590 | 4.5 | 3031 | 4. |
| Pit Latrine | 132,211 | 61.8 | 92296 | 62.5 | 39915 | 60. |
| Others | 1,926 | 0.9 | 1339 | 0.9 | 587 | 0. |
| No Facility | 14,899 | 7.0 | 10010 | 6.8 | 4889 | 7. |
| Type of Refuse Disposal | | | | | | |
| Collected by Company or Authority | 24,089 | 11.3 | 15422 | 10.4 | 8667 | 13. |
| Burnt | 89,578 | 41.9 | 62310 | 42.2 | 27268 | 41. |
| Roadside Dumping | 1,003 | 0.5 | 659 | 0.4 | 344 | 0. |
| Burying/Pit | 57,107 | 26.7 | 40075 | 27.2 | 17032 | 25. |
| Other Dumping (bush, open space) | 42,048 | 19.7 | 29117 | 19.7 | 12931 | 19. |

| Ownership of Household Assets | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| Hand Hoe | 132,614 | 62.0 | 94238 | 63.9 | 38376 | 57.9 |
| Land or Farm | 126,534 | 59.2 | 90146 | 61.1 | 36388 | 54.9 |
| House | 140,181 | 65.6 | 98868 | 67.0 | 41313 | 62.4 |
| Bicycle | 83,156 | 38.9 | 63762 | 43.2 | 19394 | 29. |
| Motorcycle or Vespa | 14,566 | 6.8 | 11744 | 8.0 | 2822 | 4. |
| Power Tiller | 579 | 0.3 | 419 | 0.3 | 160 | 0. |
| Information, Communication and Technology | | | | | | |
| Information, Communication and Technology | | | | | | |
| Households owning radio | 97,193 | 45.5 | 51,699 | 33.8 | 45,494 | 74 |
| Person aged 15+ owning mobile phone | 423,365 | 75.3 | 302,408 | 72.4 | 120,957 | 83 |
| Private households with at least one member owning mobile phone | 171,654 | 80.3 | 117,611 | 76.9 | 54,043 | 88 |
| Persons aged 15+ using ICT equipment for communication | 437,333 | 77.8 | 315,275 | 75.5 | 122,058 | 84 |
| Persons aged 15 and above own desktop | 4,307 | 0.8 | 2,527 | 0.6 | 1,780 | 1 |
| Building Census | | | | | | |
| Buildings with Physical Address | 141,988 | 75.0 | 102,538 | 72.2 | 47,394 | 83 |
| Basic Services in Buildings | | | | | | |
| Electricity (TANESCO) | 24,351 | 12.7 | 9,601 | 6.7 | 14,750 | 30 |
| Water | 33,738 | 17.6 | 18,821 | 13.1 | 14,917 | 30 |
| Toilet | 145,407 | 75.9 | 107,617 | 75.1 | 37,790 | 78 |
| Infrastructure for People with Disabilities | 8,091 | 4.3 | 4,016 | 2.8 | 4,075 | 8 |
| Building Land Survey Status | | | | | | |
| Surveyed | 36,097 | 19.1 | 14,987 | 10.6 | 21,110 | 44 |
| Not Surveyed | 137,611 | 72.7 | 119,461 | 84.2 | 18,150 | 38 |
| Regularised | 11,379 | 6.0 | 4,998 | 3.5 | 6,381 | 13 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER 1 | 1 |
|---|---|
| OVERVIEW OF THE 2022 POPULATION AND HOUSING CENSUS | 1 |
| 1.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1.1 A Brief History of Census Undertaking in Tanzania | |
| 1.2 OBJECTIVES OF THE 2022 PHC | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Specific Objectives of the 2022 PHC | 2 |
| 1.3 PREPARATIONS FOR THE 2022 PHC | 2 |
| 1.3.1 Legal Framework | 2 |
| 1.3.2 Census Organisation | |
| 1.3.3 Development of Census Instruments | |
| 1.3.4 Cartographic Work | |
| 1.3.5 Pilot Census | |
| 1.3.6 Census Publicity and Advocacy Campaign | |
| 1.4 CENSUS ENUMERATION ACTIVITIES | |
| 1.4.1 Recruitment and Training | |
| 1.4.2 Other Preparations for Enumeration | |
| 1.4.3 Enumeration | |
| 1.4.4 Post Enumeration Activities | |
| 1.5 DATA PROCESSING | |
| 1.6 QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES | 9 |
| CHAPTER 2 | |
| POPULATION SIZE, GROWTH AND DISTRIBUTION | |
| | |
| 2.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 2.2 POPULATION SIZE | |
| 2.2.1 Population Size by Council | |
| 2.2.2 Rural and Urban Population | |
| 2.3.1 Population Change | |
| 2.3.2 Annual Population Growth Rate and Trends | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 3 | |
| AGE AND SEX PROFILE | |
| 3.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 3.2 QUALITY OF AGE AND SEX DATA | |
| 3.2.1 Population Distribution by Five Year Age Groups | |
| 3.2.2 Population Pyramid | |
| 3.3 POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY SELECTED AGE GROUPS | |
| 3.3.1 Children Under Five Years | |
| 3.3.2 Young Population (0-14 Years) | |
| 3.3.3 Young Population (0-17 Years) 3.3.4 Youth Population (15-24 Years) | |
| 3.3.5 Youth Population (15 - 35 Years) | |
| 3.3.6 Working Age Population (15-64 Years) | |
| 3.3.7 Elderly Population | |
| 3.3.8 Age Dependency Ratio | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 4 | |
| HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION | |
| Key points | |
| 4.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 4.2 Number of Households | |

| 4.3 Average Household Size | |
|--|----|
| 4.4 Household Headship | |
| 4.4.1 Households Living in Improved or Unimproved Houses | |
| 4.4.2 Heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation | |
| 4.4.3 Heads of Household by Type of Toilet Facility 4.4.4 Heads of Households by Source of Drinking Water | |
| 4.4.4 Heads of Households by Source of Drinking Water | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5 | |
| | - |
| 5.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 5.2 Marital Status | |
| 5.2.1 Marital Status for Selected Age Groups | |
| 5.3 MEAN AGE AT FIRST MARRIAGE | |
| CHAPTER 6 | |
| NATIONAL IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENTS AND CITIZENSHIP | |
| 6.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 6.2 NATIONAL IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENTS | |
| 6.2.1 Birth Certificate | |
| 6.2.2 Birth Notification | |
| 6.2.3 Other National Identification Documents | |
| 6.2.3.1 National Identification Cards | |
| 6.2.3.2 Health Insurance | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 7 | |
| SURVIVAL OF PARENTS | |
| 7.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 7.2 Survival of Parents | 73 |
| 7.2.1 Survival of Parents by Council | |
| 7.3 Orphanhood | |
| CHAPTER 8 | |
| EDUCATION, LITERACY AND NUMERACY | |
| 8.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 8.2 LITERACY LITERACY | 79 |
| 8.2.1 Literacy for Selected Age Groups | |
| 8.2.2 Adult Literacy | |
| 8.2.3 Adult Literacy in Different Languages | |
| 8.3 NUMERACY | |
| 8.3.1 Adult Numeracy Rates | |
| 8.4.1 School Attendance Status | |
| 8.4.2 Net and Gross School Enrolment | |
| 8.4.3 Gross Enrolment Rate | |
| 8.4.4 Education Attainment | |
| CHAPTER 9 | |
| EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES | |
| 9.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 9.2 Current Economic Activity Status | |
| 9.3 CURRENT UNEMPLOYMENT | |
| 9.4 LABOUR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE | |
| 9.5 Employment by Industry | |

| 9.7 ENHOWMENT BY SECTOR. 118 9.8 INVORMAL NON-AGRICULTURAL ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES. 118 CHAPTER 10 120 DISABILITY. 120 DISABILITY. 120 DISABILITY. 120 DISABILITY. 120 DISABILITY. 120 DISABILITY. 123 DISAP Foreixene of Disability by Councils. 124 DISAP Foreixene of Disability by Sex and Council. 125 DISAP Foreixene of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 DISAP Foreixene of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 DISAP Foreixene of Disability by Age and Sex. 126 DISAP Foreixene Disability by Councils. 128 DISAP Foreixenes. 126 DISAP Foreixenes. 128 DISAP Foreixenes. 128 DISAP Foreixenes. 131 CHAPTER 11 132 DISAP Foreixenes. 133 DISAP Foreixenes. 133 DISAP Foreixe | 9.6 Occupation Status | |
|--|---|-----|
| CHAPTER 10 120 DISABILITY 120 DISABILITY 120 10.1 INTRODUCTION 120 10.2 PREVALENCE OF DISABILITY 123 10.2.1 Prevalence of Disability by Councils 124 10.2.2 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 125 10.2.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 125 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.4 Prevalence or Disability by Sex and Sex 126 10.4 Prevalence or Disability by Sex and Sex 126 10.4 Prevalence or Disability by Sex and Sex 126 10.4 Prevalence or Disability by Sex and Sex 126 10.4 Prevalence or Disability by Sex and Sex 128 10.6 Use or Assistive Devices 138 10.6 Use or Assistive Devices 131 11 Introduction 132 11 A DISASSISTION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOLIMENTS 137 11 A DISASSISTION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12 1 INTRODUCTION 139 12 1 INTRODUCTION 139 12 1 INTRODUCTION 139 12 1 INTRODUCTI | | |
| DISABILITY 120 10.1 INTRODUCTION 120 10.2 Prevalence of Disability by Councils 124 10.2.2 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 125 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 125 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.4 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.5 Course of Disability Sex and Council. 126 10.5 Course of Disability Sex and Council. 128 10.6 Us or Assistive Devices. 131 CHAPTER 11 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 133 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION < | 9.8 INFORMAL NON-AGRICULTURAL ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES | 118 |
| 10.1 INTRODUCTION 120 10.2 PREVALENCE OF DISABILITY 123 10.2.2 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 125 10.2.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 125 10.3.2 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 126 10.4 Population with Allanism. 126 10.5 Causes of Disability. 128 10.6 Use of Assistrive Devices. 131 11.1 INTRODUCTION. 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION. 132 11.2 LIND OWNERSHIP. 132 11.3 INTRODUCTION. 133 12.1 LINTRODUCTION. 137 13.1 DISCONTON OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 13.2 LIAND OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 <td< th=""><th>CHAPTER 10</th><th> 120</th></td<> | CHAPTER 10 | 120 |
| 10.2 PREVALENCE OF DISABILITY 123 10.2.1 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council. 124 10.2.2 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex. 126 10.3.3 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex. 126 10.4 Population With Alginism 128 10.5 CAUSES of Disabilities. 128 10.6 Us of Assistive Divices. 131 CHAPTER 11. 132 LAND OWNERSHIP 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP Status 133 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12 139 10.2.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Lond. 141 12.3 HOUSING CHARACTERISCS. 143 12.3 IN ROBUCTION ON LEGAL LAND TENUE STATUS. 139 12.4 TOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENUE STATUS. 139 12.4 LUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENUE STATUS. 143 12.3 IN ROBUCTION ON LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL. 139 12.4 LUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENUE STATUS. 139 <td>DISABILITY</td> <td> 120</td> | DISABILITY | 120 |
| 10.2.1 Prevalence of Disability by Councils 124 10.2.2 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council 125 10.3 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council 126 10.4 Population with Often Preson With Alselism 126 10.4 Population with Often Preson Baseury 128 10.5 CAUSES of Disability by Councils 128 10.6 USE of Assistive Devices 131 10.6 USE of Assistive Devices 131 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 POSSESSON OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS 133 11.4 INTRODUCTION 139 11.2 LOND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 POSSESSON OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.4 LOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 134 12.3 A ROOM AND TRACTERISTICS 144 12.3 A ROOM STATION 141 12.4 LOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 143 12.3 A ROOM STATION 151 12.4 MARE AND SANTATION 151 | 10.1 INTRODUCTION | 120 |
| 10.2.2 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex 125 10.3 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex 126 10.3 Prevalence of Person with Alumista 126 10.4 Population with Others Types of Disability 128 10.5 Causes of Disabilities by Age and Sex 128 10.6 Use of Assistive Devices 131 CHAPTER 11 132 CAND OWNERSHIP 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS 133 CHAPTER 12 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.2 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.4 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.4 Ligal Ownership of Lond 141 12. | 10.2 Prevalence of Disability | |
| 10.2 J Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex. 126 10.3 Prevalence of Person with Alamism. 126 10.4 Population with Other Pyes of Disability. 128 10.5 Causes of Disabilities. 128 10.6 Use of Assistive Devices. 131 CHAPTER 11 132 LAND OWNERSHIP 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION. 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP 132 11.3 POSSESSION OWNERSHIP STATUS 137 CHAPTER 12 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.3 J ROOFING MUTERISTICS. 141 12.4 HOUSING CONNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2 J ILIGI Ownership of Land. 141 12.3 HOUSING MUTERISTICS. 143 12.3 J ROOFING MUTERISTICS. 143 12.3 J ROOFING MUTERISTICS. 143 12.3 J ROOFING MUTERIS | 10.2.1 Prevalence of Disability by Councils | 124 |
| 10.3 PREVALENCE OF PRESON WITH AUBINISM 126 10.4 POPULATION WITH OTHER TYPES OF DISABILITY | 10.2.2 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council | 125 |
| 10.4 POPULATION WITH OTHER TYPES OF DISABILITY | 10.2.3 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex | 126 |
| 10.5 CAUSES OF DISABILITIES 128 10.6 USE OF ASSISTIVE DEVICES 131 CHAPTER 11 132 CHAPTER 11 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 INTRODUCTION 132 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS 137 CHAPTER 12 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.2 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 INFODUCTION 139 12.4 INTRODUCTION 141 12.8 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.4 INTRODUCTION 141 12.5 NOTING STATUS 143 12.6 INTRODUCTION 151 12.7 INTRODUC | 10.3 Prevalence of Person with Albinism | 126 |
| 10.6 USE OF ASSISTIVE DEVICES. 131 CHAPTER 11. 132 LAND OWNERSHIP 132 11.1 INTRODUCTIOM. 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12. 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.4 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2.1 Fool Covering Materials. 141 12.3 HOOSING MATERISTICS 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials. 144 12.3.3 Wall Materials. 145 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of En | 10.4 Population with Other Types of Disability | 128 |
| CHAPTER 11 132 LAND OWNERSHIP 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2 INTRODUCTION 139 12.3 INTRODUCTION 141 12.3 INTRODUCTION 143 12.3 INDUMERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.4 LINGTON COVENING OWNERSHIP OF LOND 143 12.3 AUGIN Materials 143 12.3 AVOID MATERIALIS 143 12.3 AVOID MATERIALIS 143 12.4 NORTH AND SANTATION 151 12.4 AVATER AND SANTATION 151 12.4 AVATER AND SANTATION 157 12.5 SOURCES OF ENERGY 157 12.5 LONCES OF ENERGY 157 12.6 LOUSSENDE ENVERONMENTAL CONTROL 153 12.6 LINDERSHITA ASSETS 168 12. | 10.5 Causes of Disabilities | 128 |
| LAND OWNERSHIP. 132 11.1 INTRODUCTION 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12. 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 HOOGONG WARESHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.4 Legal Ownership of Land 141 13.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.3 Wall Materials 143 12.3 JURI MATERIAS 143 12.3 JURI MATERIAS 145 12.3 JURI MATERIAS 146 12.3 JURI MATERIAS 145 12.4 Legal Ownership of Land 155 | 10.6 Use of Assistive Devices | |
| 11.1 INTRODUCTION. 132 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS. 133 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12. 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL. 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.3 HOROGOUNG OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.4 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.3 HOROFING MATERIALS. 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials. 144 12.3 Woll Materials. 145 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials. 145 12.3.2 Solution Folgening 150 12.4 MATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking. 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking. 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking. 153 12.6.1 Waste Disposal. 166 12.7 OWNERSHIP OR ASSETS. 168 12.6.1 Waste Disposal. | CHAPTER 11 | 132 |
| 11.2 LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS 132 11.3 POSSESSION OF LEGAL LAND OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land 141 12.3 HOUSHOLD CHARACTERISTICS 143 12.3.1 Roding Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Moterials 143 12.3.3 Wall Materials 144 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 A Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 155 12.5 Sources of Energy 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.6.2 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 166 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 HOUSING and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 13.1 INTRODUCTON 171 | LAND OWNERSHIP | 132 |
| 11.3 Possession of Legal Land OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTS. 137 CHAPTER 12 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2 I LUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land 141 12.3 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 144 12.3.3 Wall Materials 144 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4.4 Nource of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.6.1 Nusten Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 HOUSINg and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.1 HOUSTON 174 13.1 INTRODUCTION 174 13.1 INT | | |
| CHAPTER 12 139 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL. 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION 139 12.2 HUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2 A Legal Ownership of Land 141 12.3 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS 143 12.3 HOUSING CONNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 143 12.3 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 143 12.3.2 Wall Moterials 145 12.3.2 Wall Moterials 145 12.4.2 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sonitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting 153 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 < | | - |
| HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL. 139 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS. 139 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land. 141 12.3 HOUSING MATERISTICS. 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials. 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials. 143 12.3.3 Wall Materials. 144 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 A source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities. 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities. 163 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 172 13.1 INTRODUCTION 172 13.1 INTRODUCTION 172 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 <td>11.3 Possession of Legal Land Ownership Documents</td> <td> 137</td> | 11.3 Possession of Legal Land Ownership Documents | 137 |
| 12.1 INTRODUCTION. 139 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land. 141 12.3 HOUSENOLD CHARACTERISTICS. 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 145 12.3.3 Wall Materials 148 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 159 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Owneensthe or Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.4 Ausing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.4 Ausing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.4 Ausing and Cook | CHAPTER 12 | 139 |
| 12.2 HOUSING OWNERSHIP AND TENURE STATUS 139 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land 141 12.3 HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 143 12.3.3 Wall Materials 145 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting 159 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership or Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 167 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO | HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, ASSETS OWNERSHIP AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL | 139 |
| 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land 141 12.3 HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 145 12.3.3 Wall Materials 145 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 159 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 163 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.4 Auster Disposal 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 | 12.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land 141 12.3 HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS 143 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 145 12.3.3 Wall Materials 145 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 159 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 163 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.4 Auster Disposal 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 | 12.2 Housing Ownership and Tenure Status | |
| 12.3.1 Roofing Materials 143 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 145 12.3.3 Wall Materials 148 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.4 Nours for Sleeping 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 OWNERSHIP OF Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSERHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSERHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.5 HOUSERHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSERHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSERHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSERHOLDS WITH RADI | 12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land | |
| 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials 145 12.3.3 Wall Materials 148 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 SOURCES OF ENERGY 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting 159 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership or Assets 168 12.7.1 Kransport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | 12.3 HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS | 143 |
| 12.3.3 Wall Materials 148 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Cooking 159 12.6 HOUSEHOLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 OWNERSHIP OF ASSETS 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY | 12.3.1 Roofing Materials | 143 |
| 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping 150 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 167 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | 12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials | 145 |
| 12.4 WATER AND SANITATION 151 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting 159 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 163 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7.0 WINERSHIP OF ASSETS 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | 12.3.3 Wall Materials | 148 |
| 12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water 151 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Clighting 159 12.6.1 Wostehold Environmental CONTROL 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership of Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 Ownership of TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | 12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping | 150 |
| 12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities 155 12.5 Sources of Energy 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting 159 12.6 HOUSEHOLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership of Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 172 13.3 Ownership of Television 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | 12.4 Water and Sanitation | 151 |
| 12.5 SOURCES OF ENERGY 157 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking. 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting. 159 12.6 HOUSEHOLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal. 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities. 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership OF Assets. 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets. 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13. 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE. 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | , . | |
| 12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking. 157 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting. 159 12.6 HOUSEHOLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal. 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities. 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership OF Assets. 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets. 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE. 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting. 159 12.6 HOUSEHOLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal. 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities. 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal. 166 12.7 Ownership OF Assets. 166 12.7.1 Transport Assets. 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13. 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE. 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.6 HOUSEHOLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 163 12.6.1 Waste Disposal 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership OF Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 Ownership of Television 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.6.1 Waste Disposal. 163 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities. 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal. 166 12.7 Ownership of Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets. 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13. 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 Ownership of Television 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE. 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities 165 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 OWNERSHIP OF ASSETS 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal 166 12.7 Ownership of Assets 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.7 OWNERSHIP OF ASSETS. 168 12.7.1 Transport Assets. 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13. 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE. 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.7.1 Transport Assets 168 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets 168 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets16812.7.3 Agricultural Assets169CHAPTER 13171ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS17113.1 INTRODUCTION13.1 INTRODUCTION17113.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO17213.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION17213.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE17313.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY173 | | |
| 12.7.3 Agricultural Assets 169 CHAPTER 13 171 ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE. 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | • | |
| CHAPTER 13 | | |
| ACCESS AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY BY INDIVIDUALS AND HOUSEHOLDS. 171 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO | - | |
| 13.1 INTRODUCTION. 171 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | | |
| 13.2 HOUSEHOLDS WITH RADIO 172 13.3 OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION 172 13.4 HOUSEHOLDS WITH LANDLINE TELEPHONE 173 13.5 HOUSEHOLDS WITH INTERNET FACILITY 173 | 13.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 13.3 Ownership of Television 172 13.4 Households with Landline Telephone 173 13.5 Households with Internet Facility 173 | | |
| 13.4 Households with Landline Telephone | | |
| 13.5 Households with Internet Facility | 13.4 Households with Landline Telephone | 173 |
| 13.6 Mobile Phones | | |
| | 13.6 Mobile Phones | 175 |

| 13.6.1 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Individuals | |
|--|-----|
| 13.6.2 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Households | |
| 13.6.3 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Age Groups and Sex | |
| 13.6.4 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Level of Education | |
| 13.6.5 Usage of Mobile Phones by Individuals | |
| 13.6.6 Usage of Mobile Phones by Type, Age Group and Sex | |
| 13.6.7 Type of Use of ICT Equipment | |
| 13.6.8 Usage of Mobile Phones by Education Level | |
| 13.6.9 Usage of Mobile Phones by Employment Status and Sex | |
| 13.6.10 Usage of Mobile Phones by Occupation | |
| 13.7 Computers | |
| 13.7.1 Ownership of Computers by Individuals | |
| 13.7.2 Usage of Computers by Individuals | |
| 13.7.3 Usage of Computers by Age Group and Sex | |
| 13.7.4 Usage of Computers by Highest Level of Education attained and Sex | |
| 13.7.5 Usage of Computers by Employment Status and Sex | 203 |
| CHAPTER 14 | 209 |
| INFORMATION ON BUILDINGS | 209 |
| 14.1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 14.2 Number of Buildings | |
| 14.2.1 Buildings with Physical Addresses | |
| 14.2.2 Type of Buildings | |
| 14.2.3 Main Uses of Buildings | |
| 14.2.4 Number of Units in Building | |
| 14.3 Building Attributes | |
| 14.3.1 Building Categories | 220 |
| 14.3.2 Construction Status of Buildings | |
| 14.3.3 Building Occupancy Status | 222 |
| 14.3.4 Building Construction Materials | 223 |
| 14.3.5 Number of Bedrooms | |
| 14.4 BASIC SERVICES IN BUILDINGS | |
| 14.4.1 Electricity | 229 |
| 14.4.2 Water | |
| 14.4.3 Toilets | |
| 14.5 Accessibility of the Building | |
| 14.5.1 Accessibility by roads | |
| 14.5.2 Infrastructure for Persons with Disabilities | |
| 14.6 Tenure Status of Buildings | |
| 14.6.1 Building Ownership Status | |
| 14.6.2 Building Ownership by Sex | |
| 14.6.3 Building Occupancy Tenure Status | |
| 14.6.4 Buildings Land Survey Status | |
| 14.6.5 Buildings Land Ownership Documents | 240 |
| CHAPTER 15 | 242 |
| SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 242 |
| 15.1 Introduction | 242 |
| 15.2 Key Findings | |
| 15.2.1 Population Size and Growth | |
| 15.2.2 Age Profile | 243 |
| 15.2.3 Marital Status and Early Marriages | |
| 15.2.4 Possession of National Identification Documents | |
| 15.2.5 Orphanhood | |
| 15.2.6 Literacy and Education | |
| 15.2.7 Employment and Economic Activities | |

| 15.2.8 People with Disabilities | 249 |
|--|-----|
| 15.2.9 Land Ownership | |
| Key Findings | |
| 15.2.10 Drinking Water | |
| 15.2.11 Sanitation | |
| 15.2.12 Energy | |
| 15.2.13 Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Access and Use by Individual and Households | |
| 15.2.14 Information on Buildings | |
| ANNEX TABLES | 258 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 266 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC 11 |
|---|
| Table 2.2: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Place of Residence, Sex and Council; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 2. 3: Population Size and Growth Indicators by Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs14 |
| Figure 2.3: Average Annual Intercensal Population Growth Rates; Katavi Region, 2002–2022 Censuses |
| Table 3.1: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |
| Table 3.2: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Rural,2022 PHC19 |
| Table 3.3: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Urban,2022 PHC19 |
| Table 3.4: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Place of Residence, Selected Age Groups and |
| Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 4.1: Number and Percentage of Private Households by Place of Residence, Sex of Head of Household and Age Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC 32 |
| Table 4.2: Number and Percentage of Households by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 4.3: Population in Private Households, Number of Households and Average Household Size by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Table 4.4: Percentage Distribution of Households by Sex of Household Head, Place of Residence and Council; |
| Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Table 4.5: Distribution of Population in Private Households by Sex of Household Head, Number of Households, |
| Average Household Size, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 4.6: Percentage Distribution of Households living in Improved/ Un improved Houses by Sex of HouseholdHead, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC38 |
| Table 4.7: Percentage Distribution of Households living in Improved/Unimproved Houses by Sex of Household Head and Age Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC 39 |
| Table 4.8: Number of Male Heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC 40Table 4.9: Percentage Distribution of Male Heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation; KataviRegion, 2022 PHC |
| Table 4.10: Number of Female Heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 4.12: Percentage Distribution of Households with Improved/Unimproved Toilet Facilities by Sex ofHousehold Head, Place of Residence and Council, Katavi Region, 2022 PHC44 |
| Table 4.13: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Improved and Unimproved Sources of Drinking Water |
| by Sex of Household Head, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC47 |
| Table 4.14: Percentage Distribution of Households with Children under 18 Years by Sex of Household Head,Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 5.1: Percentage Distribution of the Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence, Sex and |

| Table 5.2: Percentage Distribution of the Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Marital Status and Five-Year |
|--|
| Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC54 |
| Table 5.3: Percentage Distribution of Male Population Aged 10 Years and Above by Marital Status and Five- |
| Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC55 |
| Table 5.4: Percentage Distribution of Female Population Aged 10 Years and Above by Marital Status and Five- |
| Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC56 |
| Table 5.5: Percentage Distribution of the Population Aged 10 Years and Above by Marital Status and Selected |
| Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC57 |
| Table 5.6: Percentage Distribution of Male Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Marital Status and Five- Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 5.7: Percentage Distribution of Female Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Marital Status and Five- |
| Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 5.8: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Marital Status, Place of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 5.9: Mean Age at First Marriage by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 |
| PHCs |
| |
| Table 6. 1: Percentage Distribution of Population by Birth Certificate Status, Sex and Age Groups; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 6. 2: Percentage Distribution of Population by Status of Birth Certificate, Sex and Age Groups; Katavi |
| Rural, 2022 PHC |
| Table 6. 3: Percentage Distribution of Population by Status of Birth Certificate, Sex and Age Groups; Katavi |
| Urban, 2022 PHC67 |
| Table 6. 4: Percentage Distribution of Population by Status of Birth Certificate, Sex and Council; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |
| Table 6. 5: Percentage of Population Possessing National Identification Documents by Selected Age Groups, |
| Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC69 |
| Table 6. 6: Distribution of Population Possessing Health Insurance Cards by Sex, Place of Residence and |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC70 |
| Table 6. 7: Distribution of Population by Place of Residence, Sex and Country of Citizenship; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 7. 1: Number and Percentage of Children Under 18 Years by Survival of Parents and Age; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |
| Table 7. 2: Number and Percentage Distribution of Male Children Under 18 Years by Survival of Parents and |
| Age; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 7. 3: Number and Percentage of Female Children Under 18 Years by Survival of Parents and Age; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 7. 4: Number and Percentage Distribution of Children Under 18 Years by Survival of Parents, Place of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 7. 5: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population Under 18 Years by Orphanhood, Place of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| |
| Table 8. 2: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Five Years and Above by Literacy Rate, Sex |
| and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC81 |
| Table 8. 3: Number and Percentage Population Aged Five Years and Above by Literacy Rate, Sex and Five-Year |

| Table 8. 5: Literacy Rates for Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
|---|
| Table 8. 6: Percentage Distribution of Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Literacy Status and Five |
| Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 7: Literacy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Literacy Status, Place of Residenceand Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 8: Literacy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 9: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Five Years and Above by Numeracy Rate, Sex and Five |
| Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC90 |
| Table 8. 10: Numeracy Rates for Selected Age Groups by Place of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 11: Numeracy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 12: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance |
| Status and Age; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC94 |
| Table 8. 13: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance |
| Status and Age; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC97 |
| Table 8. 14: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance Status and Age; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 15: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance |
| Status and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 16: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance Status and Council; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC 101 |
| Table 8. 17: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance |
| Status and Council; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 18: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and Level of Education; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 19: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and Level of Education; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 20: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and Level of Education; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC 103 |
| Table 8. 21: Net Enrolment Rates in Primary Schools by Place of Residence, Sex and Council; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |
| Table 8. 22: Number and Percentage of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, Level of Educational |
| Attainment and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |

Table 9. 1: Number of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Economic Activity Status (Relaxed InternationalDefinition of Employment), Sex and Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC.111Table 9. 2: Number of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Economic Activity Status (Relaxed International111Definition of Employment), Council and Place of Residence Katavi Region, 2022 PHC.113Table 9. 3: Number of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Economic Activity Status (Relaxed International113Definition of Employment) and Selected Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC113Table 9. 4: Percentage Distribution of Employed Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence,116Sex and Industry of Employment; Katavi Region 2022 PHC116Table 9. 5: Percentage Distribution of Employed Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex and Occupation;117

| Table 9. 6: Percentage Distribution of Employed Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence, Se | х |
|---|-----|
| and Sector; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 118 |
| Table 9. 7: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Engaged in Informal Non- | |
| Agricultural Economic Activities by Place of Residence, Sex and Selected Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PH | IC |
| | 119 |

| Table 10. 1: Disability Prevalence Among Persons Aged 7 Years and Above by Type of Disability, Place of |
|---|
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC124 |
| Table 10. 2: Disability Prevalence by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 10. 3: Number and Percentage of Persons with Albinism by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 10. 4: Number and Percentage of Persons with Albinism by Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |
| Table 10. 5: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 7 Years and Above with Other Types of Disability by Place |
| of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC128 |
| Table 10. 6: Percentage Distribution of Persons with Disability by Cause of Disability, Place of Residence and |
| Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 10. 7: Percentage Distribution of Persons with Disability by Sex, Cause of Disability and Type of Disability; |
| Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 10. 8: Percentage of Persons with Disability Using Assistive Devices by Sex and Type of Disability; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |

| Table 11. 1: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Owning Land by Place of | |
|--|--------|
| Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 133 |
| Table 11. 2: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Land Ownership Status, Place | e of |
| Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 134 |
| Table 11. 3: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence, Age G | roups, |
| Land Ownership Status and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC. | 136 |
| Table 11. 4: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex, Place of Residence and I | egal |
| Documents Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 138 |

| Table 12. 1: Percentage Distribution of Households by Ownership Status of the Main Dwelling, Place of | |
|---|------|
| Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | .141 |
| Table 12. 2: Percentage Distribution of Households Living in Own Houses by Type of Legal Rights, Place of | |
| Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | .142 |
| Table 12. 3: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Roofing Material of Main Dwelling, Place of | |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | .144 |
| Table 12. 4: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Floor Covering Material of Main Dwelling, Pla | ace |
| of Residence and Council; Katavi, 2022 PHC | .147 |
| Table 12. 5: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Wall Materials of Main Dwelling, Place of | |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | .149 |
| Table 12. 6: Percentage Distribution of Households by Number of Rooms for Sleeping, Place of Residence, | |
| Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | .151 |
| Table 12. 7: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Source of Drinking Water, Place of Residence, | |
| Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | .154 |

| Table 12. 8: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Type of Toilet Facility, Place of Residence, |
|--|
| Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC156 |
| Table 12.16: Percentage Distribution of Households Owning Agricultural Assets by Type, Place of Residence, |
| Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC170 |

| Table 13. 1: Percentage Distribution of Households Owning Selected ICT Equipment and Selected Household |
|---|
| Attributes by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 2: Number and Percentage of Population aged 15 years and above Owning Mobile Phones by Type, |
| Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 3: Number and Percentage Distribution of Households with at Least One Member Aged Four Years |
| and Above Owning Mobile Phone by Type, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 4: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above Owning Mobile Phone by Type, |
| Place of Residence, Sex and Age Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC184 |
| Table 13. 5: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Owning Non-smartphone by |
| Place of Residence, Sex and Education Level Attained, Katavi Region; 2022 PHC185 |
| Table 13. 6: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Owning Smartphone by Place of |
| Residence, Sex and Education Level Attained, Katavi Region; 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 7: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Mobile phone by Type, Sex, |
| Place of Residence and Council, Katavi Region; 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 8: Percentage of Population Aged 4 Years and Above Using Mobile Phone by Type, Place of |
| Residence, Sex and Age Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 9: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Using ICT Equipment by Type of Use, Sex, Place of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 10: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Non- |
| smartphones by Sex and Education Level; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 11: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Smartphone by Place of |
| Residence Sex and Education Level; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 12: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Non-smartphone by Place |
| of Residence, Sex and Employment Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 13: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Smartphones by Place of |
| Residence, Sex and Employment Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 14: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Non-Smartphone by Place |
| of Residence, Sex and Occupation Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 15: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Smart Phones by Place of |
| Residence, Sex and Occupation; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 16: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 and Above Owning Computers by Type, Sex, Place of Residence |
| and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 17: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 and Above Using Computers by Type, Sex, Place of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| |
| Table 13. 18: Number of Persons Aged 4 Years and Above, Using Computers by type, Sex and Age Group; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| |
| the Last Three Months Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Education Level; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |
| Table 13. 20: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Laptop Computers in the |
| Last Three Months Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Education Level; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |
| |

| Table 13. 21: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Desktop Computers in | ۱ |
|--|-----|
| the Last Three Months Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Employment Status; Katavi | |
| Region, 2022 PHC | 204 |
| Table 13. 22: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Laptop Computers in t | the |
| Last Three Months Prior to the Census Date by Sex and Employment Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 205 |
| Table 13. 23: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Desktop Computers in | ۱ |
| the Last Three Months Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Occupation Status; Katavi | |
| Region, 2022 PHC | 206 |
| Table 13. 24: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Laptop Computers in t | the |
| Last Three Months Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Occupation Status; Katavi Region | ۱, |
| 2022 PHC | 207 |

| Table 14. 1: Number of Buildings by Place of Residence, Type, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, |
|--|
| 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 2: Number of Buildings by Place of Residence, Physical Address and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC 213 |
| Table 14. 3: Percentages Distribution of Buildings by Main Use, Council and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 4: Percentage Distribution of Non-Residential Buildings by Type of Use and Council; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 5: Percentage Distribution of Residential and Commercial-Residential Buildings by Number of Units, |
| Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 6: Number and Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Building Category, Place of Residence and |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 7: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Construction Status, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 8: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Occupancy Status, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 9: Percentage of Buildings by Types of Flooring Materials, by Place of Residence and Council; |
| Tanzania, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 10: Percentages Distribution of Buildings by Types of Wall Materials, by Place of Residence and |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 11: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Type of Roofing Materials, Place of Residence and |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 12: Percentages of Residential and Commercial-Residential Buildings by Number of Bedrooms, by |
| Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 13: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Type of Basic Services, Place of Residence and Council; |
| Katavi Region, 2022 TBC232Table 14. 14: Percentage Distribution of Individually Owned Building by Place of Residence, Sex of Owner and |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 15: Percentage Distribution of of Occupied Buildings by Place of Residence, Occupancy Tenure Status, |
| and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 16: Percentage Distribution of Building Plots by Place of Residence, Land Survey Status and Council; |
| Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Table 14. 17: Number of Buildings and Land Ownership Status by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| |

List of Figures

| Figure 2.1: Percentage Distribution of Population by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC11 |
|---|
| Figure 2.2: Percentage Distribution of Population by Council and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| |
| Figure 3.1: Population Distribution by Age in Single Years and Sex – Katavi Region, 2022 PHC17 |
| Figure 3.2: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 3.3: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC21 |
| Figure 3.4: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC21 |
| Figure 3.5: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Mpanda Municipal Council, 2022 PHC22 |
| Figure 3.6: Percentage of Children Under Five Years by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 |
| PHCs |
| Figure 3.7: Percentage of Young Population (0-14 Years); Katavi, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Figure 3.8: Percentage of Young Population Aged 0-17 Years; Katavi, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Figure 3.9: Percentage of Youth Population (15-24 Years); Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Figure 3.10: Percentage of the Youth Population (15-35 Years); Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs27 |
| Figure 3.11: Percentage of the Working Age Population (15-64 Years); Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 |
| PHCs |
| Figure 3.12: Percentage of the Population Aged 60 Years or Above; Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| |
| Figure 3.13: Percentage of the Population Aged 65 Years or Above; Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs29 |
| Figure 3.14: Age Dependency Ratio by Residence; Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Figure 4.1: Percentage Distribution of Private Households by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Figure 4.2: Average Household Size by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 Census |
| Figure 4.3: Percentage Distribution of Households by Sex of Household Head and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 4.4: Percentage Distribution of Households with Improved/Unimproved Toilet Facilities by Sex of Household Head; Katavi Region 2022 PHC |
| Figure 4.5: Percentage of Households with Improved/Unimproved Toilet Facilities by Sex of Household Head |
| and Place of Residence; Katavi Region; 2022 PHC |
| Figure 4.6: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Improved and Unimproved Sources of Drinking Water |
| by Sex of Household Head and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 4.7: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Improved and Unimproved Sources of Drinking Water |
| by Sex of Household Head; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 4.8. Percentage Distribution of Households with Children under 18 Years by Sex of Household Head; |
| Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 4.9. Percentage Distribution of Households Having Children under 18 Years by Sex of Household Head, |
| Number of Children and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 5.1: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex and Marital Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 5.2: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Marital Status; Katavi Region, |

| Figure 5.4: Mean Age at First Marriage by Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHC62 |
|---|
| Figure 5.5: Mean Age at First Marriage by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC62 |
| Figure 6. 1: Percentage Distribution of Population by Birth Certificate Status and Place of Residence; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 6. 2: Percentage Distribution of Population Possessing Other National Identification Documents by Place |
| of Residence and Type; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 7. 1: Orphanhood Status in Katavi Region, 2022 PHC77 |
| Figure 8. 1: Literacy Rates for Persons Aged Five Years and Above by Sex and Place of Residence; Katavi Region 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 2: Adult Literacy Rates by Sex and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 3: Adult Literacy Rates by Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Figure 8. 4: Percentage Distribution of Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Literacy Rate; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 5: Literacy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 6: Literacy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above, Katavi Kurai, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 7: Numeracy Rates for Persons Aged Five Years and Above by Sex, and Place of Residence; Katavi |
| Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 8: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex and School Attendance |
| Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 9: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and |
| Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 10: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above Who Never Attended School by |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 11: Net Enrolment Rates in Primary Schools by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC106 |
| Figure 8. 12: Primary School Net Enrolment Rates by Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| Figure 8. 13: Primary School Net Enrolment Rates by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |
| 10 Figure 9, 14: Driver y Schools Crease Englandst Dates by Disco of Desidence and Sony Katavi Design, 2022 DUC |
| Figure 8. 14: Primary Schools Gross Enrolment Rates by Place of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 8. 15: Primary School Gross Enrolment Rates by Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs108 |
| Figure 9. 1: Percent Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Economic Activity Status (Relaxed |
| International Definition of Employment) and Councils; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 9. 2: Current Unemployment Rate of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 9. 3: Labour Force Participation Rates for Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence and |
| Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 10. 1: Prevalence of Disability for Persons Aged 7 Years and Above by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 10. 2: Prevalence of Disability by Age Groups and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |
| Figure 11. 1: Percentage Distribution of Persons aged 15 Years and Above by Land Ownership Status and Sex; |
| Katavi Region, 2022 PHC134 |

| Figure 11. 2: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Land Ownership Statu | s, Place |
|---|----------|
| of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 137 |
| Figure 11. 3: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Legal Documents Status, | Place of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 138 |

| Figure 12. 1: Percentage Distribution of Households by Ownership Status of the Main Dwelling and Place of Residence, Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 10 |
|--|----|
| Figure 12. 2: Percentage Distribution of Households with Improved and Unimproved Roofing Material by | |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC14 | 13 |
| Figure 12. 3: Percentage Distribution of Households Living in Households with Improved and Unimproved Floc | |
| Covering Materials by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 15 |
| Figure 12. 4: Percentage Distribution of Households by Number of Rooms for Sleeping and Place of Residence | ; |
| Katavi Region, 2022 PHC15 | 50 |
| Figure 12. 5: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Improved and Unimproved Sources of Drinking | |
| Water by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC15 | 52 |
| Figure 12. 6: Percentage Distribution of Households with Improved, Unimproved and without Toilet Facility by | / |
| Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC15 | 55 |
| Figure 12. 7: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Clean Energy for Cooking by Place of Residence and | b |
| Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHC15 | 59 |
| Figure 12. 8: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Electricity and Solar as Main Sources of Energy for | |
| Lighting by Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | 50 |
| Figure 12. 9: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Electricity from National Grid for Lighting by Place c | of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs16 | 51 |
| Figure 12. 10: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Authorities Collecting Waste and Place of | |
| Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC16 | 55 |

| Figure 13. 1: Percentage Distribution of Persons aged 15 years and above Owning Mobile Phones by Counci | ls |
|---|------|
| and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | .176 |
| Figure 13. 2: Percentage Distribution of Individuals Owning Mobile Phone by Type and Age Group; Katavi | |
| Region, 2022 PHC | .183 |
| Figure 13. 3: Percentage Distribution of Individuals Using Mobile Phone by Type and Age Group; Katavi | |
| Region, 2022 PHC | .188 |

| Figure 14. 1: Percentage of Buildings with and without Physical Addresses by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, |
|--|
| 2022 TBC |
| Figure 14. 2: Percentage Distribution of Buildings with Physical Addresses by Place of Residence and Council: |
| Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| Figure 14.3: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Type and Place of Residence, Katavi region, 2022 TBC215 |
| Figure 14.4: Percentage Distribution of Residential and Commercial Buildings with One Unit by Place of |
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC220 |
| Figure 14. 5: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Construction Status and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 TBC |
| Figure 14. 6: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Place of Residence and Occupancy Status; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 TBC |
| Figure 14. 7: Percentage Distribution of Residential and Commercial Buildings by Number of Bedrooms and |
| Place of Residence; Katavi Region, TBC 2022229 |

| igure 14.8: Percentage Distribution of Buildings/Units with Electricity from The National Grid (TANESCO) by |
|--|
| Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| igure 14.9: Percentage Distribution of Buildings/Units with Water Services by Council; Katavi, 2022 TBC 231 |
| igure 14. 10: Percentage Distribution of Buildings/Units with Toilet Facilities by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 |
| BC232 |
| igure 14. 11: Percentage Distribution of Buildings with Access Roads by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC233 |
| igure 14. 12: Percentage Distribution of Buildings with Infrastructure for Persons with Disabilities by Council; |
| Catavi Region, 2022 TBC |
| igure 14. 13: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Ownership Status and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, |
| 235 2022 TBC |

List of Maps

| Map 1. 1: A Typical Enumeration Area Map for 2022 PHC Map 1. 2: Selected Areas for the 2021 Pilot Census | |
|---|---|
| Map 12. 1: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Piped Water as the Main Source of Drinking Water by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC153 | |
| Map 13. 1: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 years and Above Owning Any Mobile Phones by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | |
| Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | |
| Region, 2022 PHC | L |

| Map 14. 1: Number of Buildings by Councils; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC212 |
|--|
|--|

| | Computer Assisted Dereand Interviews |
|--------|---|
| CAPI | Computer Assisted Personal Interviews |
| CBO | Community Based Organisation |
| CCRO | Customary Certificate of Right of Occupancy |
| CCRO | Certificate of Customary Right of Occupancy |
| CEB | Children Ever Born |
| CHIF | Community Health Insurance Fund |
| CRO | Certificate of Right of Occupancy |
| CRO | Certificate of Right of Occupancy |
| DHS | Demographic Health Surveys |
| EA | Enumeration Area |
| FCDO | Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office |
| GER | Gross Enrolment Rate |
| GIS | Geographical Information System |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| ICT | Information and Communication Technology |
| ID | Identification Card |
| IOM | International Organization for Migration |
| ISIC | International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities |
| LFPR | |
| MAFM | Labour Force Participation Rate Mean Age at First Marriage |
| MDAS | |
| | Ministry, Departments and Agencies |
| NBS | National Bureau of Statistics |
| NER | Net Enrolment Rate |
| NGOs | Non-Governmental Organizations |
| NHC | National Housing Corporation |
| NHIF | National Health Insurance Fund |
| NIDA | National Identification Card |
| OCGS | Office of Chief Government Statistician |
| PHC | Population and Housing Censuses |
| PWDs | Persons with Disabilities |
| SG | Statistician General |
| SMAM | Singulate Mean Age at First Marriage |
| TASAF | Tanzania Social Action Fund |
| TASCO | Tanzania Standard Classification of Occupations |
| TBA | Tanzania Building Agency |
| TBC | Tanzania Building Census |
| TFR | Total Fertility Rate |
| тот | Training of Trainers |
| UN | United Nations |
| UNFPA | United Nations Population Fund |
| UNICEF | United Nations Children's Fund |
| URT | United Republic of Tanzania |
| USAID | United States Agency for International Development |
| USCB | United State Census Bureau |

| VIP | Ventilated Improved Pit latrine |
|--------|---------------------------------|
| WB | World Bank |
| WHC | Watumishi Housing company |
| ZAN ID | Zanzibar Identification Card |
| ZBA | Zanzibar Building Agency |
| ZHC | Zanzibar Housing Corporation |

Population and Housing Census

Census Night is a reference point for Census enumeration and all questions in the census relates to that night. According to the Tanzania 2022 Population and Housing Census, the Census night was the night of 22nd /23rd August, 2022.

De facto Methodology means persons were enumerated where they slept on the Census night.

Enumeration Area (EA) is a designated area with an average of 60 to 100 households.

Geographical Information System (GIS) is a system designed to capture, store, manipulate, analyse, manage and present all types of geographical data.

Population and Housing Census (PHC) is defined as the total process of collecting, compiling, evaluating, analysing and publishing or otherwise disseminating demographic, economic and social data pertaining, at a specified time, to all persons in a country or in a well delineated part of a country (United Nations definition).

Quality Control refers to observations, techniques and activities used to fulfil requirements for quality.

Population Size, Growth and Distribution

Population growth refers to the change in population over time, and can be quantified as the change in the number of individuals in a population using "per unit time" for measurement.

Population Growth Rate refers to the change in population over a unit time period, often expressed as a percentage of the number of individuals in the population at the beginning of that period. Growth rate in this book is expressed as an exponential rate of growth of population from year 2012 to 2022 and is termed as average annual intercensal population growth rate.

Age and Sex Profile

Age is the number of years one had lived as at last birthday i.e., in reference to the census night.

Age-Dependency Ratio is the ratio of people in the "dependent" ages (those under age 15 and age 65 and older) to those in the "working age population" (15-64 years).

Elderly Population refers to persons aged 65 years and above (according to international definition). However, according to Tanzania National Ageing Policy, an elderly is individual who is 60 years and above.

Median Age is the age at which exactly half the population is older and half is younger.

Population Pyramid is a graphical presentation of population's age and sex composition. Horizontal bars present the numbers or proportions of males and females in each age group.

Sex Ratio is the ratio of males to females in a given population usually expressed as the number of males for every 100 females.

Working Age Population in Census is the population age 15 to 64 years (international definition).

Young People comprise the population aged 0 to 14 years.

Youth Population comprises the population aged 15-24 years (international definition). However, according to Tanzania's Youth Policy. Youth population refers to the population aged 15 to 35 years.

Household Composition

Average Household Size is the average number of persons per private household. Average household size is obtained by dividing the total number of persons living in private households to the total number of private households. A Collective Household is one in which a group of persons reside in one dwelling or compound and have no head of household. Boarding schools, hospitals and camps are examples of collective households.

Head of Household is a person who is acknowledged as such by other household members.

Household refers to a person or group of persons who reside in the same homestead or compound but not necessarily in the same dwelling unit, have same cooking arrangements, and are answerable to the same household head except for collective household.

Private Household is defined as a person or group of persons who reside in the same homestead or compound but not necessarily in the same dwelling unit, having the same cooking arrangements, and are answerable to the same household head.

Marital Status

Divorced Persons are those persons who were once married but their marriages were permanently terminated and have not remarried since then. Note that in polygamous marriages the divorce of one or more wives does not categorize the husband as divorced if he still lives with the other wife (wives).

Living Together is an act of persons who are not formally married but are in a consensual union or are living in a socially recognized stable union.

Marriage is an act of persons who are living together or separately but are formally married irrespective of the type of marriage, which may be customary, civil or religious marriage.

Mean Age at First Marriage is defined as the average length of single life expressed in years among those who marry before age 50.

Never Married means persons who have remained single all their lives and exclude persons who have lived with a spouse but are now living alone.

Separated is the act of persons who were once married but now are living apart. Those who live apart because their spouses are employed far away from home or for similar reasons are considered to be married.

Widowed is the act of persons whose marriages were terminated by death and have not remarried since. Note that in polygamous marriages the death of one or more wives does not make the husband a widower if he still has other wife (wives).

National Identification Documents and Citizenship

Birth Certificate is a vital record that documents the birth of a child. The term "birth certificate" can refer to either the original document certifying the circumstances of the birth or to a certified copy of or representation of the ensuing registration of that birth.

Birth Registration is the process by which a child's birth is recorded in the civil register by Government authority.

National Identification is any official document that may be used to prove a person's identity such as the bearer's full name, birth date, address, an identification number, card number, sex, citizenship and more.

Survival of Parents

Orphanhood is the condition of being a child without living parents. In other words, the term 'orphan' is understood to mean a person whose parents are both dead. However, United Nations definition that was adopted by the Tanzanian Government identifies an orphan as a child under 18 years of age who has lost one or both parents.

Literacy, Numeracy and Education

Educational Attainment is the highest grade completed according to the country's educational system. A grade is a stage of instruction usually covered in the course of a school year.

Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) is defined as the number of children attending primary school regardless of age divided by the total number of children age 7-13 years.

Literacy is the ability to read and write with an understanding a short simple sentence in everyday life.

Literacy Rate is the percentage of a population that can read and write in Kiswahili, English, both Kiswahili and English or in any other language(s)

Net Enrolment Rate (NER) is defined as the number of children age 7-13 years who are attending school divided by the total number of children in that age group.

Numeracy is the ability to access, use, interpret and communicate simple mathematical information and ideas, to engage in and manage mathematical demands for a range of situations in adult life.

Numeracy Rate is the percentage of a population who has ability to access, use, interpret and communicate simple mathematical information and ideas.

School Attendance refers to attendance at any regular authorized or licensed educational institution or programme for organized learning at any level of education at the time of the Census.

Employment and Economic Activities

Economic activity status refers broadly to economically active population which includes those employed and unemployed. It also includes inactive population covering all persons who were without work during the reference period and were not available for work.

Labour Force Participation Rate (LFPR) is defined as the number of persons in the labour force as a percentage of the population aged 15 years and above.

Informal Non-Agricultural Economic Activities are activities that are unregulated and often without formal recorded business transactions, and are normally outside the framework of formal business regulations and system.

xli

Unemployed persons comprise those who in the last seven days prior to the census enumeration were not engaged in any economic activity but were available for work regardless of whether they took any effort to look for work. (According to the relaxed international definition of unemployment).

Disability

Disability is defined as a loss or limitation of opportunities to take part in the normal life in the community on an equal level with others due to physical, mental or social factors. All the three (physical, mental and social factors) use the concept of activity limitation in defining disability rather than physical impairment.

Land Ownership

Certificate of Right of Occupancy is a formalized land tenure system. It allows individuals or entities to acquire rights to use and occupy land for specific purposes, such as agriculture, residential, commercial or industrial.

Customary land tenure is the form of land ownership based on customary practices and traditional land rights.

Land ownership is a process of acquiring land or right of occupancy from a relevant Government authority. It is categorized into three main tenure systems namely Customary Land Tenure, Right of Occupancy and Government Land.

Land Title Deed is a legal document that states and proves a person's legal right to own a piece of land

Housing Characteristics, Assets Ownership and Environmental Control

Improved roofing materials are durable roofing materials used for roofing which include iron sheets, tiles, concrete and asbestos. Unimproved roofing materials include grass or leaves, mud and leaves, plastics or box and tent. **Improved floor covering materials** are long lasting flooring materials such as cement, ceramic tiles, parquet or polished wood, terrazzo, vinyl or asphalt strips. Non-improved materials include wood planks, palm or bamboo, earth or sand, dung, containers or tents.

Room for sleeping is defined as any space within a dwelling which is currently used for sleeping by household members. Any space within a dwelling can be termed as a room for sleeping if currently used by any household members for sleeping purposes and can be a sitting room, a dining room or even a store.

Improved sources of drinking water include sources that, by nature of their construction or through active intervention, are protected from outside contamination, particularly faecal matter. It comprises piped water, tube well or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, rainwater, bottled water, carts with small tank/drum and tanker truck. Unimproved sources of drinking water include unprotected dug well, unprotected spring and surface water.

Improved sanitation facilities are the facilities that ensure hygienic separation of human excreta from human contact. They include flush or pour flush toilet that flushes the water and waste to a piped sewer system, septic tank, pit latrine or unknown destination; ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrine, pit latrine with washable slab and pit latrine without slab. Unimproved facilities include pit latrine without slab (open pit) and bucket.

Solid waste disposal refers to the collection, sorting, transport and treatment of waste as well as its storage and tipping above or burying, as well as the transformation operations necessary for its re-use, recovery or recycling.

Electronic waste (E-waste) describes discarded electrical or electronic devises. It is also known as waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) or end-of-life (EOL) electronics. It also refers to discarded, recycled or refurbished electrical and electronic products.

Access and Use of Information and Communication Technology

Radio refers to a device capable of receiving broadcast signals using frequencies in the FM, AM, LW and SW bands. A radio can be a standalone unit or integrated into other devices, including alarm clocks, audio players, mobile telephones or computers.

Television refers to a device capable of receiving broadcast signals using standard access methods, including radio frequency (over-the-air), cable, and satellite. A television set is usually a standalone unit but may be integrated into other devices, such as computers or mobile telephones.

The Internet is a vast global network of interconnected computers and other electronic devices, allowing them to share information and communicate through a standardised set of protocols known as the Internet Protocol Suite (TCP/IP). It provides access to several communication services, including the World Wide Web and carries e-mail, news, and entertainment and data files, irrespective of the device used.

Landline Telephone is a communication device that transmits and receives sound, typically the human voice, through physical wire or fibre optic cable connections.

A mobile (cellular) telephone also known as a cell phone, is a portable device that allows for voice and data communication over a wireless cellular network. Mobile phones have become essential devices in daily life, profoundly impacting on how we communicate, access information, and interact with the world around us.

Smart Mobile Phone (Smartphone) refers to a device that performs many computer functions, typically having a touch screen interface, broadband Internet access, and an operating system capable of running apps such as Facebook, WhatsApp or YouTube.

Non-Smartphone (Feature phone) refers to a device that performs only basic functions such as making and receiving calls, sending/receiving text messages and browsing Internet.

Computer is an electronic device designed to process, store, and manipulate data according to a set of instructions known as programs. It can perform a wide range of tasks, from simple calculations to complex operations involving data analysis, graphics design, and more.

Desktop Computer is a personal computing device designed for regular use at a single location. Unlike portable devices like laptops and tablets, desktop computers typically comprise separate components, including a monitor (screen), a keyboard, a mouse, and a central processing unit (CPU) housed in a tower or desktop case.

Laptop is a portable computer that integrates all the components of a traditional desktop computer into a single compact unit. Laptops are designed for convenience and mobility, making them ideal for use in various settings, such as at home, in the office, while travelling, or in educational environments.

Tablet is a portable computing device with a touchscreen interface, allowing users to interact with digital content and applications through touch gestures. Tablets are larger than smartphones but smaller and more compact than laptops, making them highly portable while offering a screen size that enhances the experience of browsing the Internet, reading digital books, watching videos, and playing games.

Information on Buildings

Access Road means any road whether public or private and includes any street, square, court, alley, beach, footway, path, passage or highway whether a thoroughfare or not.

Building Census is the act of collecting, analysing and disseminating buildings' data for specific time.

Building means any structure or erection and any part of any structure or erection of any kind whatsoever whether permanent, temporary or movable, and whether completed or uncompleted.

Building Parastatals are government institutions whose functions are to provide and or facilitate the provision of housing and other buildings in Tanzania.

Building Stock refers to the total number of buildings in a particular area.

Certificate of Customary means the certificate of right of occupancy issued to land Right of Occupancy under customary tenure as stipulated under Section 27 of the Land Act No.5 of 1999.

Certificate of Right refers to a legal land certificate of occupation granted under **Occupancy** the Land Act No. 4 of 1999 in Tanzania and Land Tenure Act No. 12 of 1992 of Tanzania Zanzibar.

Detached house refers to a building that stands alone from the foundation to roof level. Does not share walls with other houses. The building could be single or multi-storey.

Non-residential Use means a building or structure of any kind whatsoever used, designed or intended to be used for other than a residential use.

Partly Complete House refers to a building where one part is complete and can be occupied and other part is still under construction.

Physical Address means the mailing address, including a zip code which details the actual location (building number and street name) of a person, business or physical property.

Regularised Settlement is the legalised tenure status in the informal or unplanned settlements through a deliberate process aimed at bringing the informal and unauthorised settlements within the official legal (formal) and administrative systems of land to guarantee secure tenure for the concerned population.

Residential Building means a structure used or constructed or adapted to be used primarily for human habitation; such buildings may be available as apartments, quarters and similar facilities or accommodation.

Residential License confers upon the licensee the right to occupy land in non-hazardous land, land reserved for public utilities and surveyed land, urban or peri-urban area for the period of time for which it has been granted as provided in Section 23 of the Land Act No.4 of 1999.

Single Storey refers to a building consisting of ground floor only.

Stand Alone refers to a single house that is separate and detached from other buildings.

Surveyed Settlement refers to human settlements that cadastral surveying has been undertaken to each land parcel to determine its location, the extent of its boundaries and surface area, and to indicate its separate identity, both graphically on a map or in a record as well as physically on the ground.

Tenure is defined as institutions and rules which regulate property rights and resource use, and determine who can use what resource, under what conditions and for how long.

Terrace/Row of Houses refers to a terraced house is a row of more than two similar houses under one roof joined together by their side walls. The house could be single or multi-storey

Unit for the purpose of this publication, a unit is a house or part of the house with all necessary amenities. A building can have more than one unit.

Chapter 1

Overview of the 2022 Population and Housing Census

1.1 Introduction

The United Nations defines a population census as the total process of collecting, compiling, evaluating, analysing, publishing and disseminating demographic, economic and social data pertaining, at a specified time, to all persons in a country or in a well delineated part of a country. It is the primary source of detailed data on the size, distribution and composition of the population. It covers all population groups including those in private households, institutions, the homeless and migrants for all geographic and/or administrative units in a country.

Additionally, the term "Housing Census" is used to imply the collection of information related to housing conditions such as the number of households, access to basic household amenities and living conditions. By combining population and housing data, policy makers and researchers are provided with valuable insights about demographic trends, social conditions and housing patterns, enabling them to make informed decisions and develop appropriate policies to address the needs of the population.

1.1.1 A Brief History of Census Undertaking in Tanzania

The history of population counts in Tanzania dates back to 1910. The first population census was conducted in 1958. Other censuses were conducted in 1967, 1978, 1988, 2002 and 2012 after the union of Tanganyika and Zanzibar in 1964. Since 1967, the country has conducted decennial Population and Housing Censuses (PHC) on a *de-facto* basis in accordance with the United Nations (UN) Principles and Recommendations for Conducting Population and Housing Censuses.

Unlike previous censuses, the 2022 PHC is the first digital census in Tanzania, whereby mobile technology was used at all stages of Census implementation. In the first phase, mobile GIS technology was used to facilitate demarcation of enumeration areas and transmission of census cartographic information to the servers located at NBS and OCGS Headquarters. Likewise, in the second phase, Computer Assisted Personal Interviews (CAPI) technology was used for data capture and transmission of information from the field to the servers during enumeration. Mobile devices (tablets) were programmed with a data capture system developed using CSEntry (a CSpro tool for data capture) that runs on Android Operating System. Development of the applications and programming of the devices were done by Tanzanian experts. The third phase of Census implementation which includes data processing, analysis and dissemination is based on the use of more advanced

1

technologies such as mobile phones for broadcasting census results and more iterative dashboards for data sharing.

1.2 Objectives of the 2022 PHC

The main objective of conducting the 2022 PHC was to provide the Government and other stakeholders with information on the size, distribution, composition and other social economic characteristics of the population, environment and information on housing conditions. This information contributes to the improvement of quality of life for Tanzanians through provision of current and reliable data for policy formulation, development planning, evidence-based decision making and service delivery. Census information is also used for monitoring and evaluating population and socio-economic programmes in the country.

1.2.1 Specific Objectives of the 2022 PHC

Specific objectives of the 2022 PHC were to:

- a) Increase availability and accessibility of accurate, timely and reliable data on demographic, socio-economic characteristics and environment;
- b) Enhance knowledge of stakeholders on socio-economic, demographic characteristics and environment of the Tanzanian population as well as patterns and trends of population growth; and
- c) Strengthen capacity of the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the Office of the Chief Government Statistician (OCGS) in carrying out population and housing censuses, in areas of planning, collecting, processing, analysing, disseminating, utilising and archiving population and housing census and other statistical data.

1.3 Preparations for the 2022 PHC

1.3.1 Legal Framework

The 2022 PHC was carried out in accordance with the Statistics Act CAP 351. The Act, inter alia, mandates the NBS, in collaboration with OCGS Zanzibar, to conduct population and housing censuses within the United Republic of Tanzania every ten years. In this respect, the Statistician General (SG) of NBS is responsible for planning the overall organisation and technical administration of the Census. Furthermore, SG is the Accounting Officer and in charge of all Census operations. The Order to conduct the 2022 Population and Housing Census on 23rd August, 2022 was made by H.E. Dr. Samia Suluhu Hassan, President of the United Republic of Tanzania, on 21st March, 2022 and was published in the Tanzania Government Gazette of the 29th April, 2022 as directed by the Act.

1.3.2 Census Organisation

A Population and Housing Census is a massive undertaking that needs careful planning coupled with an appropriate organisational, administrative arrangements and procedures. These are necessary in ensuring that, extensive human and material resources mobilized for the census are effectively and efficiently used and that the census tight schedules and massive logistic requirements are met. During the 2022 Census, a well-planned decentralized census organisation was formed with a primary role of coordinating all census activities from the national down to the grass root level.

Preparations for the 2022 PHC were facilitated by various committees at national, regional, district, ward/shehia and village/mitaa levels to guide and monitor the Census processes. The committees drew members from Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs), Higher Learning Institutions, Private Sector and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs). The main committees were: - National Census Central Committee, National Census Advisory Committee, Census Collaborators Forum, Technical Advisory Committee as well as Regional and Districts Census Committees.

The Prime Minister's Office of the United Republic of Tanzania and the Second Vice President's Office for the Government of Zanzibar, were responsible for overseeing the overall operations of the 2022 PHC. The Regional and District Census Committees chaired by Regional and District Commissioners respectively were responsible for ensuring that all Census activities were successfully undertaken in their areas of jurisdiction.

The Population and Housing Census Commissars, one from each side of the United Republic of Tanzania, were responsible for publicity and advocacy activities such as educating, sensitising and mobilising the public for census exercise. They were also responsible for resource mobilisation and a link between census technical personnel and other stakeholders as well as enhancing political buy-in of the Census.

1.3.3 Development of Census Instruments

The Census instruments (questionnaires, manuals and other census documents) were developed in collaboration with national experts from MDAs, higher learning and research institutions, private sector and Non-State Actors. The 2022 PHC questionnaires were developed in accordance with the United Nations Principles and Recommendations for the 2020 Round of Population Censuses. They included topics from previous censuses for comparison purposes (both national, regional and international) and new topics to cater for the country's current data needs (Tanzania Development Vision 2050) and to feed into regional and international programmes (i.e., East African Community Vision 2050; the Southern African Development Community Vision 2050; African Development Agenda 2063; and 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development). The draft questionnaires were

shared widely with various stakeholders both in Tanzania Mainland and Tanzania Zanzibar through stakeholders' workshops and the Census Committees. The final questionnaires were approved by the Central Census Committee.

The 2022 PHC had three main digital tools for data collection. The first one was a community questionnaire, which collected information on all social amenities; land use patterns and environmental or natural features and available community infrastructure. The second tool was the main census questionnaire which collected detailed information on demographics, including fertility, mortality, migration, orphanhood, and disabilities; possession of national documents, education level and economic activities. It also collected information on land ownership and information related to ICT ownership and use, housing, utilities, ownership of assets and agriculture. The third tool was a questionnaire for special population groups such as diplomats and travellers.

1.3.4 Cartographic Work

The 2022 PHC enumeration was preceded by extensive cartographic work covering the entire country. The main objective of the cartographic work was to delineate the entire country into Enumeration Areas (EAs) in order to produce maps required for Census operations. In urban areas, EA sizes ranged from 50 to 150 households while for rural areas, a hamlet was considered as an EA.


Unlike in previous censuses, the 2022 Population and Housing Census used modern technology (Arc-GIS) in demarcating enumeration areas. The Arc-GIS technology enabled the use of digital based maps (Satellite images) instead of analogue -based maps. Delineation of EA boundaries were done using Global Positioning System (GPS). In addition, coordinates of prominent features existing in each particular EA were also recorded as shown on Map 1.1. Overall, 1,269 EAs were delineated in Katavi Region (411 EAs in urban areas and 858 hamlets in rural areas).

Map 1. 1: A Typical Enumeration Area Map for 2022 PHC



1.3.5 Pilot Census

According to the United Nations requirements and guidelines, NBS/OCGS conducted a Pilot Census in September, 2021; one year before the actual census. The main purpose of the Pilot Census was to test the effectiveness of the entire Census mechanism that would be used during implementation of the 2022 Population and Housing Census. The Pilot was conducted in 18 purposively selected regions, of which 13 were in Tanzania Mainland and five in Tanzania Zanzibar (Map 1.2). The experience and obtained results provided valuable inputs which were used to improve Census instruments and logistics in the final preparations towards the 2022 Population and Housing Census enumeration.



Map 1. 2: Selected Areas for the 2021 Pilot Census

1.3.6 Census Publicity and Advocacy Campaign

The 2022 PHC used intensive and extensive publicity and advocacy programmes to educate, sensitise and mobilise the public to participate in the Census process. There were two Census Commissars one for Tanzania Mainland and the other for Tanzania Zanzibar. The main function of the Commissars was to publicise the implementation of the Population and Housing Census and Advocacy activities. Other functions were to collaborate with committees at all administrative levels to motivate community participation and ensure timely and sufficient availability of human and financial resources as well as equipment.

To standardise publicity and advocacy campaigns throughout the country, the NBS and OCGS developed publicity guidelines that were used during the Census publicity and advocacy operations. The guidelines explained in brief, the meaning and purpose of the Census as well as broad topics covered.

Given the importance of Census publicity, resources were availed to regional authorities for the purpose of educating, sensitising and mobilising the public to participate in the census in their respective areas.



Image 1.1: Hon. Anne Semamba Makinda, Census Commissar for Tanzania Mainland speaking to citizens living on the border of Tanzania and Rwanda at Rusumo during the 2022 census publicity campaign on 14th June, 2022.

1.4 Census Enumeration Activities

Several activities were undertaken in preparation for the main enumeration exercise, including recruitment and training of field personnel and distribution of enumeration materials to all regions and districts.

1.4.1 Recruitment and Training

Recruitment of census enumerators and supervisors followed procedures set by NBS and OCGS and approved by the Central Census Committee. The process was coordinated by Regional and District Census Committees in their respective administrative areas. A total of 205,000 enumerators and supervisors were recruited and trained.

Training for census enumeration was conducted at three levels namely: - Training of Trainers (TOT) at national level; training of trainers at regional level; and training of supervisors and enumerators at district level. Trainings were conducted from June to August 2022 and covered theoretical and field practical trainings. The training enabled participants to conceptualise the topics covered in the Census questionnaires; build common understanding of all questions, understand techniques of asking questions and probing where necessary. Furthermore, participants were trained on the use of ICT during data collection, particularly the use of tablets to collect the required information. Trainers were provided with training manuals while supervisors and enumerators were provided with training manuals while supervisors and enumerators were provided with instruction manuals to be used as reference materials.

1.4.2 Other Preparations for Enumeration

A range of other preparatory activities were carried out in the second and third week of August 2022. The activities included distribution of enumeration materials to supervisors and enumerators, physical identification of EA boundaries and meeting local authorities in the respective areas. Activities at regional and district levels were coordinated by Regional and District Census Coordinators in collaboration with respective Census Committees.

1.4.3 Enumeration

The targeted population for the 2022 PHC was all persons who spent the night of 22nd/23rd August, 2022 within the borders of Tanzania. The actual enumeration was conducted by enumerators and supervisors in their respective assigned areas. There were enumerators stationed at mass transit points (the night of 22nd/23rd) to enumerate passengers on buses, trains, airports and ships. Special enumeration cards were issued to each traveller after enumeration to avoid double-counting. Arrangements were also made to enumerate the homeless during the same night. During enumeration, enumerators were submitting data to their supervisors every day and the supervisors in turn were transferring them to the server at NBS after checking their quality.

The duration for enumeration, as per the Presidential Order, was seven days from 23rd to 29th August, 2022. Enumeration exercise was completed by 93.45 percent within the planned period of seven days. However, in some areas, enumeration could not be completed within the seven days' period due to various reasons. Reasons for not completing enumeration within the allocated time included; scattered households, EAs having more

households than expected and difficulty to reach EAs. Nevertheless, by the 5th September, 2022 the enumeration exercise had been completed by 99.99 percent in all EAs.



Image:1.2 H.E. Dr. Samia Suluhu Hassan, President of the United Republic of Tanzania being enumerated at the State House, Dodoma on 23rd August, 2022.

1.4.4 Post Enumeration Activities

After enumeration, all tablets and their accessories, quality control forms and notebooks were collected for safe custody at respective Regional Census Offices from where they were transported to NBS and OCGS headquarters for further logistics.

1.5 Data Processing

The whole process of compilating basic counts and basic data analysis was completed in 45 days after completion of the enumeration exercise. Initial results of the 2022 PHC at National level were released in Dodoma by H.E. Dr. Samia Suluhu Hassan, President of the United Republic of Tanzania on 30th October, 2022.

1.6 Quality Control Procedures

Quality control is an essential component of the Census undertaking. Quality control standards and procedures were developed and observed throughout all steps of the census undertaking to ensure collection of quality data. All supervisors and coordinators were trained on quality control standards and procedures during training sessions of the census.

Chapter 2

Population Size, Growth and Distribution

Key Points

- Katavi Region has a population of 1,152,958 persons; (569,902 males and 583,056 females).
- The regional population grew at an average annual growth rate of 7.1 percent between 2012 and 2022. At this rate, Katavi Regional population will double in the next 10 years.
- The regional population remains predominantly rural with 76.7 percent of its total population living in rural areas and 23.3 percent living in urban areas.

2.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the information on population size, distribution by place of residence and sex, population growth and the annual average intercensal growth rates in Katavi Region. Population size is the first demographic fact that any population census intends to obtain. Determining population size is important for planning, monitoring and establishing required resources for socio-economic development of any country. The growth and distribution of the population determines the demand for and location of essential social services, such as education, health, water, transport and housing. Therefore, understanding population size, growth and distribution is important for decision makers, planners, researchers, implementers of development programmes and the public in general. These statistics facilitate making evidence-based decisions, optimal resources allocation, planning, monitoring and evaluation of development frameworks.

2.2 Population Size

Katavi Region has a population of 1,152,958 persons and is the second least populated Region in Tanzania Mainland. Slightly more than half (50.6%) of this population are females (583,056 persons) and 49.4percent (569,902 persons) are males (Table 2.1).

2.2.1 Population Size by Council


Administratively, Katavi Region has five (5) Councils, of which, four are District Councils and one is a Municipal Council. Among the five Councils, Tanganyika District has the largest population (371,836 persons) in the Region; about one third (32.3%) of the total population in Katavi Region live in this Council. On the other hand, Mlele has the lowest population of 118,818 (10.3%) persons (Table 2.1 and Figure 2.1).

Further results show that, with the exception of Nsimbo District Council, proportion of males (50.1%) is slightly higher than that of female (49.9) in the Region. (Table 2.1).

Table 2.1: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Population size | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Council | Both sexes | Mal | e | Female | | | | | | |
| | both sexes | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | | | | | |
| Total | 1,152,958 | 569,902 | 49.4 | 583,056 | 50.6 | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 245,764 | 120,083 | 48.9 | 125,681 | 51.1 | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 201,102 | 100,712 | 50.1 | 100,390 | 49.9 | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 371,836 | 184,842 | 49.7 | 186,994 | 50.3 | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 118,818 | 58,992 | 49.6 | 59,826 | 50.4 | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 215,438 | 105,273 | 48.9 | 110,165 | 51.1 | | | | | |


Figure 2.1: Percentage Distribution of Population by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC



2.2.2 Rural and Urban Population

Katavi Region population is predominantly rural, with 76.7 percent of its population living in rural areas and 23.3 percent living in urban areas. Mlele and Nsimbo District Councils have all of its population living in rural areas. Other Councils with most of their population living in rural areas are Mpimbwe (78.1%) and Tanganyika (95.3%). Further the results show that Mpanda Municipal Council is the most urbanized Council with 81.3 percent of its population residing in urban areas (Figure 2.2 and Table 2.2).

Figure 2.2: Percentage Distribution of Population by Council and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC



| | | Population size | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | Total | | | Rur | ral | | | Urb | an | | | | |
| Council | | | | Both Se | exes | Male | Female | Both s | sexes | Male | Female | | | |
| | Total | Male | Female | Number | Percent | Number | Number | Number | Percent | Number | Number | | | |
| Total | 1,152,958 | 569,902 | 583,056 | 883,999 | 76.7 | 439,374 | 444,625 | 268,959 | 23.3 | 130,528 | 138,431 | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 245,764 | 120,083 | 125,681 | 41,426 | 16.9 | 21,181 | 20,245 | 204,338 | 83.1 | 98,902 | 105,436 | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 201,102 | 100,712 | 100,390 | 201,102 | 100.0 | 100,712 | 100,390 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 371,836 | 184,842 | 186,994 | 354,478 | 95.3 | 176,291 | 178,187 | 17,358 | 4.7 | 8,551 | 8,807 | | | |
| Mlele District | 118,818 | 58,992 | 59,826 | 118,818 | 100.0 | 58,992 | 59,826 | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 215,438 | 105,273 | 110,165 | 168,175 | 78.1 | 82,198 | 85,977 | 47,263 | 21.9 | 23,075 | 24,188 | | | |

 Table 2.2: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Place of Residence, Sex and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

2.3 Population Change and Growth

2.3.1 Population Change

The population of Katavi Region has increased by 104.2 percent from 564,604 persons in 2012 to 1,152,958 persons in 2022. This increase is more than doubled in the last 10 years. This is an indication of fast increase population growth rate over the one decade (Table 2.3).

2.3.2 Annual Population Growth Rate and Trends

Katavi Region population grew at an average annual growth rate of 7.1 percent between 2012 and 2022. This rate is more than twice the national average of 3.2 percent. At this growth rate, the regional population is expected to double in the next 10 years that is in the year 2032. The growth rate is almost the same for male (7.1%) and female (7.2%) (Table 2.3).

| Table 2. 3: Population Size and Grow | th Indicators by Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 2022 PHCs | |

| Sex | Рор | ulation Size | Percentage Changes | Percentage Growth Rate (per annum) | Doubling Time from 2022 (Years) |
|--------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2022 | 2012-2022 | 2012-2022 | |
| Total | 564,604 | 1,152,958 | 104.2 | 7.1 | 10 |
| Male | 279,682 | 569,902 | 103.8 | 7.1 | 10 |
| Female | 284,922 | 583,056 | 104.6 | 7.2 | 10 |

Figure 2.3 shows that, average annual intercensal growth rate for Katavi Region has been above the national average since 2002. The rate increased from 3.2 percent in 2002 - 2012 to 7.1 percent in 2012 - 2022.




Figure 2.4: Average Annual Intercensal Population Growth Rates; Katavi Region, 2002–2022 Censuses

Chapter 3

Age and Sex Profile

Key Points

- Fifty percent (50.0%) of the Katavi Region population is aged below 15 years and 2.3 percent is aged 65 years and above, thus a youthful age structure.
- The median age of the Katavi Regional population is 14.5 years.
- The percentage of the working age population (15 64 years) in Katavi Region has decreased from 48.9 percent in 2002 Census to 47.7 percent in 2022.
- The percentage of the population aged 60 years and above in Katavi Region is 3.6
- The age dependency ratio for Katavi Region is 109.7

3.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the quality of age and sex data, presents age and sex profiles as well as population distribution by selected age groups and respective demographic attributes in Katavi Region. The Tanzania 2022 PHC collected information on age in completed years for all persons who spent the census night in the country. Age and sex are the most fundamental demographic characteristics of human populations. They are important variables in the study of population dynamics, demographic analysis, social functions and responsibilities in socio-economic development processes.

Age, in particular, is an important variable for planning and making important decisions in public administration for different population groups. For instance, age is used as an essential input in population projections, planning for social economic development and provision of social services including health, education, food security and energy. Despite its importance, the results from previous censuses and the experience from most developing countries, show that age and sex information are affected by misreporting.


3.2 Quality of Age and Sex Data

The quality of age and sex data in the 2022 PHC was examined using an array of conventional methods and indices and was observed to have suffered errors associated

with age misreporting which cannot be neglected. Based on experience gained from previous censuses, age data are characterized by a significant clustering at ages ending in digits "0", "5" and "8" and to a lesser extent to ages ending with even numbers, with corresponding deficiencies at ages ending in digits "1", "3", "7" or "9". The tendency of respondents to report ages ending with certain digits at the expense of other digits is called age heaping, age preference or digit preference. Age misreporting is most pronounced among populations or population sub-groups with no birth registration systems or low literacy rates.

The 2022 Census results in Katavi Region show a significant heaping in ages ending with digits "0", "2" and "6", and avoidance of ages ending with digits "1", "3", and "9". There is a clear indication that, age misreporting for digit 5 is insignificant. This might be due to improved data collection techniques used in the 2022 Census, specifically the use of tablets, improved probing of age data and the use of National Identification Cards during enumeration to reconfirm the stated ages. The observed jagged edged pattern is a typical feature of single-year age distributions in most developing countries' census data depicting age misstatements. Digit preference is observed to be relatively higher among females than males (Figures 3.1).

Figure 3.1: Population Distribution by Age in Single Years and Sex – Katavi Region, 2022 PHC



3.2.1 Population Distribution by Five Year Age Groups

Tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 present the distribution of population by five-year age groups and sex for Katavi Region. The data depict a young population age structure with 50 percent of the population aged below 15 years and 2.3 percent aged 65 years and above. The median

age of the Katavi Region population is 14.5 years. The results also portray a higher number of females than males in age 0 to 19.

Sex ratio by age groups provides an important index of possible age misreporting. Under normal circumstances, the general trend of sex ratio is a gradual decrease with age, eventually falling below 100, whereby the number of females begins to exceed the number of males and the difference grows larger with advancing ages. The overall sex ratio for Katavi Region is about 98 males for every 100 females (99 for rural areas and 94 for urban) indicating more females than males. The sex ratio of the population aged 5 - 19 years in rural areas is relatively low (98 Males per 100 Females for age 5-9 years and 15-19 years each) and 103 males per 100 females for age 10-14 years. On the other hand, the sex ratio of the population of the same age in urban areas is relatively low (ranging from 98 to 105 males per 100 females) (Tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3).

Table 3.1: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Five-YearAge Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Both | Sexes | Ма | ale | Fen | nale | Care Datia |
|------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| Age Group | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Sex Ratio |
| Total | 1,152,958 | 100.0 | 569,902 | 100.0 | 583,056 | 100.0 | 98 |
| 0–4 | 218,094 | 18.9 | 108,071 | 19.0 | 110,023 | 18.9 | 98 |
| 5–9 | 196,342 | 17.0 | 96,916 | 17.0 | 99,426 | 17.1 | 97 |
| 10-14 | 162,078 | 14.1 | 82,111 | 14.4 | 79,967 | 13.7 | 103 |
| 15-19 | 113,841 | 9.9 | 55,696 | 9.8 | 58,145 | 10.0 | 96 |
| 20-24 | 100,380 | 8.7 | 44,774 | 7.9 | 55,606 | 9.5 | 81 |
| 25-29 | 85,164 | 7.4 | 40,140 | 7.0 | 45,024 | 7.7 | 89 |
| 30-34 | 68,823 | 6.0 | 34,687 | 6.1 | 34,136 | 5.9 | 102 |
| 35-39 | 53,188 | 4.6 | 27,094 | 4.8 | 26,094 | 4.5 | 104 |
| 40-44 | 41,381 | 3.6 | 21,646 | 3.8 | 19,735 | 3.4 | 110 |
| 45-49 | 32,576 | 2.8 | 17,408 | 3.1 | 15,168 | 2.6 | 115 |
| 50-54 | 24,429 | 2.1 | 12,828 | 2.3 | 11,601 | 2.0 | 111 |
| 55-59 | 15,227 | 1.3 | 8,065 | 1.4 | 7,162 | 1.2 | 113 |
| 60-64 | 14,710 | 1.3 | 7,631 | 1.3 | 7,079 | 1.2 | 108 |
| 65-69 | 8,795 | 0.8 | 4,508 | 0.8 | 4,287 | 0.7 | 105 |
| 70-74 | 7,613 | 0.7 | 3,738 | 0.7 | 3,875 | 0.7 | 96 |
| 75-79 | 3,929 | 0.3 | 1,934 | 0.3 | 1,995 | 0.3 | 97 |
| 80-84 | 2,987 | 0.3 | 1,290 | 0.2 | 1,697 | 0.3 | 76 |
| 85+ | 3,401 | 0.3 | 1,365 | 0.2 | 2,036 | 0.3 | 67 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Median Age | 14 | .5 | 14 | .4 | 14 | l.7 | |

| A | Both | Sexes | Ma | ale | Fen | nale | Curr Datia |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| Age Group | Number | Percent | Male | Percent | Female | Percent | Sex Ratio |
| Total | 883,999 | 100.0 | 439,374 | 100.0 | 444,625 | 100.0 | 99 |
| 0 – 4 | 172,696 | 19.5 | 85,586 | 19.5 | 87,110 | 19.6 | 98 |
| 5 – 9 | 156,017 | 17.6 | 77,136 | 17.6 | 78,881 | 17.7 | 98 |
| 10 – 14 | 127,937 | 14.5 | 65,509 | 14.9 | 62,428 | 14 | 105 |
| 15 – 19 | 86,574 | 9.8 | 42,936 | 9.8 | 43,638 | 9.8 | 98 |
| 20 – 24 | 74,003 | 8.4 | 33,434 | 7.6 | 40,569 | 9.1 | 82 |
| 25 – 29 | 60,576 | 6.9 | 28,694 | 6.5 | 31,882 | 7.2 | 90 |
| 30 – 34 | 49,121 | 5.6 | 24,802 | 5.6 | 24,319 | 5.5 | 102 |
| 35 – 39 | 38,610 | 4.4 | 19,579 | 4.5 | 19,031 | 4.3 | 103 |
| 40 – 44 | 30,457 | 3.4 | 15,922 | 3.6 | 14,535 | 3.3 | 110 |
| 45 – 49 | 24,617 | 2.8 | 13,077 | 3 | 11,540 | 2.6 | 113 |
| 50 – 54 | 18,869 | 2.1 | 9,845 | 2.2 | 9,024 | 2 | 109 |
| 55 – 59 | 11,861 | 1.3 | 6,374 | 1.5 | 5,487 | 1.2 | 116 |
| 60 - 64 | 11,551 | 1.3 | 6,088 | 1.4 | 5,463 | 1.2 | 111 |
| 65 – 69 | 6,897 | 0.8 | 3,601 | 0.8 | 3,296 | 0.7 | 109 |
| 70 – 74 | 6,004 | 0.7 | 3,035 | 0.7 | 2,969 | 0.7 | 102 |
| 75 – 79 | 3,136 | 0.4 | 1,593 | 0.4 | 1,543 | 0.3 | 103 |
| 80-84 | 2,352 | 0.3 | 1,045 | 0.2 | 1,307 | 0.3 | 80 |
| 85+ | 2,721 | 0.3 | 1,118 | 0.3 | 1,603 | 0.4 | 70 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Median Age | 13 | .9 | 13 | 3.8 | 14 | l.0 | |

Table 3.2: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Five-YearAge Groups; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC

Table 3.3: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Five-YearAge Groups; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC

| A | Both | Sexes | Ma | ale | Fen | nale | Care Datia |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| Age Group | Number | Percent | Male | Percent | Female | Percent | Sex Ratio |
| Total | 268,959 | 100.0 | 130,528 | 100.0 | 138,431 | 100.0 | 94 |
| 0 – 4 | 45,398 | 16.9 | 22,485 | 17.2 | 22,913 | 16.6 | 98 |
| 5 – 9 | 40,325 | 15 | 19,780 | 15.2 | 20,545 | 14.8 | 96 |
| 10 – 14 | 34,141 | 12.7 | 16,602 | 12.7 | 17,539 | 12.7 | 95 |
| 15 – 19 | 27,267 | 10.1 | 12,760 | 9.8 | 14,507 | 10.5 | 88 |
| 20 – 24 | 26,377 | 9.8 | 11,340 | 8.7 | 15,037 | 10.9 | 75 |
| 25 – 29 | 24,588 | 9.1 | 11,446 | 8.8 | 13,142 | 9.5 | 87 |
| 30 – 34 | 19,702 | 7.3 | 9,885 | 7.6 | 9,817 | 7.1 | 101 |
| 35 – 39 | 14,578 | 5.4 | 7,515 | 5.8 | 7,063 | 5.1 | 106 |
| 40 – 44 | 10,924 | 4.1 | 5,724 | 4.4 | 5,200 | 3.8 | 110 |
| 45 – 49 | 7,959 | 3 | 4,331 | 3.3 | 3,628 | 2.6 | 119 |
| 50 – 54 | 5,560 | 2.1 | 2,983 | 2.3 | 2,577 | 1.9 | 116 |
| 55 – 59 | 3,366 | 1.3 | 1,691 | 1.3 | 1,675 | 1.2 | 101 |
| 60 – 64 | 3,159 | 1.2 | 1,543 | 1.2 | 1,616 | 1.2 | 95 |
| 65 – 69 | 1,898 | 0.7 | 907 | 0.7 | 991 | 0.7 | 92 |

| Ago Group | Both S | Sexes | Ma | ale | Fen | Sex Ratio | |
|------------|--------|---------|------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Age Group | Number | Percent | Male | Percent | Female | Percent | Sex Ralio |
| 70 – 74 | 1,609 | 0.6 | 703 | 0.5 | 906 | 0.7 | 78 |
| 75 – 79 | 793 | 0.3 | 341 | 0.3 | 452 | 0.3 | 75 |
| 80-84 | 635 | 0.2 | 245 | 0.2 | 390 | 0.3 | 63 |
| 85+ | 680 | 0.3 | 247 | 0.2 | 433 | 0.3 | 57 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Median Age | 17.2 | | 17.0 | | 17 | | |

3.2.2 Population Pyramid

A population pyramid is a graphical representation of the age and sex composition of a specific population. It provides a snapshot of the population's age and sex structure. It also provides useful insights into the patterns of vital events (birth and death rates). The pyramid of Katavi Region as per the 2022 PHC has a broad base indicative of high fertility and a youthful age structure (Figures 3.2 to 3.5). Katavi Region population structure resembles other regional structures in the country.

However, pyramids for major urban centres may have a different structure. For example, the pyramid for Mpanda Municipal Council (Figure 3.5), the Katavi Region headquarter shows a bulge in age group 15–24.




Figure 3.2: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC





Figure 3.3: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC

Figure 3.4: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC






Figure 3.5: Population Pyramid for Five Year Age Groups; Mpanda Municipal Council, 2022 PHC

3.3 Population Distribution by Selected Age Groups

The 2022 PHC reveals that, Katavi Region has a young population with 50.0 percent of the total population below 15 years of age and 2.3 percent of the population aged 65 years and above (Table 3.4). The broad-based structure is an indication of a development stage where a population succeeds in reducing infant mortality while fertility remains high.


| Age Group | Tota | I | Ru | ral | Urban | |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age of oup | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total Population | 1,152,958 | 100.0 | 883,999 | 100.0 | 268,959 | 100.0 |
| Male | 569,902 | 49.4 | 439,374 | 49.7 | 130,528 | 48.5 |
| Female | 583,056 | 50.6 | 444,625 | 50.3 | 138,431 | 51.5 |
| Children (Under 1 year) | 43,141 | 3.7 | 33,695 | 3.8 | 9,446 | 3.5 |
| Male | 21,257 | 3.7 | 16,603 | 3.8 | 4,654 | 3.6 |
| Female | 21,884 | 3.8 | 17,092 | 3.8 | 4,792 | 3.5 |
| Children (0–4 years) | 218,094 | 18.9 | 172,696 | 19.5 | 45,398 | 16.9 |
| Male | 108,071 | 19.0 | 85,586 | 19.5 | 22,485 | 17.2 |
| Female | 110,023 | 18.9 | 87,110 | 19.6 | 22,913 | 16.6 |
| Children (0–8 years) | 377,494 | 32.7 | 299,464 | 33.9 | 78,030 | 29.0 |

Table 3.4: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population by Place of Residence,Selected Age Groups and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Tota | I | Ru | ral | Urban | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Age Group | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Male | 187,314 | 32.9 | 148,746 | 33.9 | 38,568 | 29.5 | |
| Female | 190,180 | 32.6 | 150,718 | 33.9 | 39,462 | 28.5 | |
| Young Population (0–14 years) | 576,514 | 50.0 | 456,650 | 51.7 | 119,864 | 44.6 | |
| Male | 287,098 | 50.4 | 228,231 | 51.9 | 58,867 | 45.1 | |
| Female | 289,416 | 49.6 | 228,419 | 51.4 | 60,997 | 44.1 | |
| Young Population (0–17 years) | 645,510 | 56.0 | 509,270 | 57.6 | 136,240 | 50.7 | |
| Male | 321,988 | 56.5 | 255,293 | 58.1 | 66,695 | 51.1 | |
| Female | 323,522 | 55.5 | 253,977 | 57.1 | 69,545 | 50.2 | |
| Teenagers (13–19 years) | 175,063 | 15.2 | 134,828 | 15.3 | 40,235 | 15.0 | |
| Male | 86,727 | 15.2 | 67,741 | 15.4 | 18,986 | 14.5 | |
| Female | 88,336 | 15.2 | 67,087 | 15.1 | 21,249 | 15.3 | |
| Youth Population (15–24 years) | 214,221 | 18.6 | 160,577 | 18.2 | 53,644 | 19.9 | |
| Male | 100,470 | 17.6 | 76,370 | 17.4 | 24,100 | 18.5 | |
| Female | 113,751 | 19.5 | 84,207 | 18.9 | 29,544 | 21.3 | |
| Youth Population (15–35 years) | 381,697 | 33.1 | 280,155 | 31.7 | 101,542 | 37.8 | |
| Male | 182,289 | 32.0 | 134,940 | 30.7 | 47,349 | 36.3 | |
| Female | 199,408 | 34.2 | 145,215 | 32.7 | 54,193 | 39.1 | |
| Primary School (6–12 years) | 256,276 | 22.2 | 203,075 | 23.0 | 53,201 | 19.8 | |
| Male | 127,395 | 22.4 | 101,407 | 23.1 | 25,988 | 19.9 | |
| Female | 128,881 | 22.1 | 101,668 | 22.9 | 27,213 | 19.7 | |
| Primary School (7–13 years) | 245,377 | 21.3 | 194,085 | 22.0 | 51,292 | 19.1 | |
| Male | 122,287 | 21.5 | 97,339 | 22.2 | 24,948 | 19.1 | |
| Female | 123,090 | 21.1 | 96,746 | 21.8 | 26,344 | 19.0 | |
| Secondary School (14-17 years) | 100,754 | 8.7 | 77,706 | 8.8 | 23,048 | 8.6 | |
| Male | 51,142 | 9.0 | 40,084 | 9.1 | 11,058 | 8.5 | |
| Female | 49,612 | 8.5 | 37,622 | 8.5 | 11,990 | 8.7 | |
| Females of Reproductive Age (15-49 years) | 253,908 | 43.5 | 185,514 | 41.7 | 68,394 | 49.4 | |
| Working Age Population (15-64 years) | 549,719 | 47.7 | 406,239 | 46.0 | 143,480 | 53.3 | |
| Male | 269,969 | 47.4 | 200,751 | 45.7 | 69,218 | 53.0 | |
| Female | 279,750 | 48.0 | 205,488 | 46.2 | 74,262 | 53.6 | |
| Elderly population (60+ years) | 41,435 | 3.6 | 32,661 | 3.7 | 8,774 | 3.3 | |
| Male | 20,466 | 3.6 | 16,480 | 3.8 | 3,986 | 3.1 | |
| Female | 20,969 | 3.6 | 16,181 | 3.6 | 4,788 | 3.5 | |
| Elderly Population (65+ years) | 26,725 | 2.3 | 21,110 | 2.4 | 5,615 | 2.1 | |
| Male | 12,835 | 2.3 | 10,392 | 2.4 | 2,443 | 1.9 | |
| Female | 13,890 | 2.4 | 10,718 | 2.4 | 3,172 | 2.3 | |
| Elderly Population (70+ years) | 17,930 | 1.6 | 14,213 | 1.6 | 3,717 | 1.4 | |
| Male | 8,327 | 1.5 | 6,791 | 1.5 | 1,536 | 1.2 | |
| Female | 9,603 | 1.6 | 7,422 | 1.7 | 2,181 | 1.6 | |
| Age-Dependency Ratio | | 110 | | 118 | | 88 | |

3.3.1 Children Under Five Years

The 2022 PHC results show that, the population under five years in Katavi Region is 18.9 percent. Figure 3.6 indicates that the percentage of population under five years in the Region has increased slightly from 18.8 percent in 2002 to 18.9 percent in 2022. This moderate increase indicates a slight pace of fertility increase in the Region during the specified period.






3.3.2 Young Population (0-14 Years)

Katavi Regional population is characterised by a young age structure, with 50.0 percent of the total regional population below 15 years of age. Figure 3.7 shows that the percentage of population below 15 years of age has increased from 48.1 percent in 2002 to 50.0 percent in 2022.


Figure 3.7: Percentage of Young Population (0-14 Years) by Place of Residence; Katavi, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs



3.3.3 Young Population (0-17 Years)

Figure 3.8 shows that in Katavi Region 56.0 percent of the population is below 18 years. The percentage of population aged 0-17 years in Katavi Region has increased from 54.7 percent in 2002 to 56.0 percent in 2022.






3.3.4 Youth Population (15-24 Years)

The National Youth Development Policy, 2007 defines a youth as "a boy or girl who is in transition from childhood to adulthood". According to the Policy, a youth is defined as a person aged 15 - 35 years. However, according to the United Nations, a youth is defined as a person aged 15 - 24 years. In this chapter the analysis has employed both definitions.

Figure 3.9 indicate that the youth population (15 – 24 years) in Katavi Region account for 18.6 percent of the total regional population. The percentage of youth population (15-24 years) in Katavi Region has slightly declined from 19.4 percent in 2012 to 18.6 percent in 2022. A similar pattern is observed in rural and urban areas.






3.3.5 Youth Population (15 - 35 Years)

The 2022 PHC results show that the youth population as per the National Youth Development Policy of 2007 (15 - 35 years) accounts for 33.1 percent of the Katavi regional population. The percentage of persons aged 15-35 years has remained around 33 percent from 2002 to 2022 (Figure 3.10).






3.3.6 Working Age Population (15-64 Years)

The working age population (15 – 64 years) in Katavi Region is 47.7 percent of the total regional population. The percentage of working age population in the region has declined slightly from 48.9 percent in 2002 Census to 47.7 percent in 2022 in (Figure 3.11).






3.3.7 Elderly Population

According to the international definition, elderly is a person aged 65 years and above. However, according to the Tanzania National Ageing Policy of 2003, an elderly is a person aged 60 years and above. In this chapter the analysis has taken into account both definitions.

Population Aged 60 Years and Above

Findings indicate that, the elderly constitute a small segment of Katavi regional population. The percentage of the population aged 60 years and above in Katavi Region is 3.6 percent. The percentage of the elderly population in the Region has declined slightly from 4.5 percent in 2002 to 3.6 percent in 2022 censuses (Figure 3.12).

Figure 3.12: Percentage of the Population Aged 60 Years and Above by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs



Population Aged 65 Years and Above

Findings indicate that, the elderly population constitutes a small segment (2.3%) of Katavi regional population. The percentage of the elderly population aged 65 years and above in the region declined from 3.0 percent in 2002 to 2.3 percent in 2022 (Figure 3.13).





Figure 3.13: Percentage of the Population Aged 65 Years and Above by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2002, 2012 and 2022 PHCs

3.3.8 Age Dependency Ratio

Age-dependency ratio is the proportion of persons in the "dependent" ages (those under 15 years of age plus those aged 65 years and above) to the "working age population" (15-64 years). It is expressed as a number of dependents per 100 persons of the working age population. Ratios higher than 100 are undesirable. The age-dependency ratio is a proxy indicator of the economic burden and responsibility borne by the working age population.

According to the 2022 PHC results, the dependency ratio for Katavi Region is 109.7 implying that there are 110 dependents per 100 non-dependents. Results further show that the age dependency ratio in the region has increased in three decades from 104.5 in the 2002 census to 109.7 in the 2022 census (Figure 3.14).





Chapter 4

Household Composition

Key points

- Most of private households (71.5%) are in rural areas.
- The average household size in Katavi Region is 5.3 persons; in rural areas it is 5.7 persons while in urban areas it is 4.3 persons.
- There is a decline in average household size from 5.5 persons in 2012 to 5.3 in 2022.
- The average number of persons per household in male-headed households is 5.5 and 4.9 in female-headed households.
- Female-headed households in Katavi Region increased from 27.2 percent in 2012 to 31.0 percent in 2022.

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents information on the private households including household composition, number of households, average household size and household headship. Although the 2022 PHC collected information from private and collective households, the analysis is based on private households only.

A private household refers to a person or group of persons living together, having the same cooking arrangements and answerable to one household head, but not necessarily in the same dwelling unit. Since the 2022 PHC enumeration was *de facto* some modifications were made to this definition. For instance, visitors present in the household on census night were also included as members of the household. Further, usual members of the household who spent the census night elsewhere due to being on duty were included as members of the household. On the other hand, collective households are those with members not necessarily related to each other, such as students in hostels, orphanages, prisons and patients in hospital wards.

4.2 Number of Households

The 2022 PHC reveals that Katavi Region has a total of 213,825 private households out of which 152,972 (71.5%) are in rural areas and 60,853 (28.5%) in urban areas. Female headed households account for 31.0 percent of all private households. The percentage of female headed households is higher in urban areas (32.8%) than in rural areas (30.2%) (Tables 4.1 and 4.2)

Table 4.1: Number and Percentage of Private Households by Place of Residence, Sex of Head of Household and Age Group; KataviRegion, 2022 PHC


| | | | Total | | | | | Rural | | | | | Urban | | |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|-------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Age group | Both Sex | Male Headed | Percent | Female Headed | Percent | Both Sex | Male Headed | Percent | Female Headed | Percent | Both Sex | Male Headed | Percent | Female Headed | Percent |
| Total | 213,825 | 147,583 | 69.0 | 66,242 | 31.0 | 152,972 | 106,699 | 69.8 | 46,273 | 30.2 | 60,853 | 40,884 | 67.2 | 19,969 | 32.8 |
| < 10 ¹ | 130 | 79 | 60.8 | 51 | 39.2 | 100 | 62 | 62.0 | 38 | 38.0 | 30 | 17 | 56.7 | 13 | 43.3 |
| 10 - 14 | 637 | 297 | 46.6 | 340 | 53.4 | 470 | 228 | 48.5 | 242 | 51.5 | 167 | 69 | 41.3 | 98 | 58.7 |
| 15 - 19 | 4,311 | 2,119 | 49.2 | 2,192 | 50.8 | 2,981 | 1,479 | 49.6 | 1,502 | 50.4 | 1,330 | 640 | 48.1 | 690 | 51.9 |
| 20 - 24 | 18,737 | 11,382 | 60.7 | 7,355 | 39.3 | 12,530 | 7,740 | 61.8 | 4,790 | 38.2 | 6,207 | 3,642 | 58.7 | 2,565 | 41.3 |
| 25 - 29 | 31,881 | 22,493 | 70.6 | 9,388 | 29.4 | 21,006 | 14,984 | 71.3 | 6,022 | 28.7 | 10,875 | 7,509 | 69.0 | 3,366 | 31.0 |
| 30 - 34 | 33,498 | 24,218 | 72.3 | 9,280 | 27.7 | 22,907 | 16,699 | 72.9 | 6,208 | 27.1 | 10,591 | 7,519 | 71.0 | 3,072 | 29.0 |
| 35 - 39 | 28,945 | 20,878 | 72.1 | 8,067 | 27.9 | 20,498 | 14,820 | 72.3 | 5,678 | 27.7 | 8,447 | 6,058 | 71.7 | 2,389 | 28.3 |
| 40 - 44 | 24,375 | 17,388 | 71.3 | 6,987 | 28.7 | 17,685 | 12,728 | 72.0 | 4,957 | 28.0 | 6,690 | 4,660 | 69.7 | 2,030 | 30.3 |
| 45 - 49 | 20,167 | 14,454 | 71.7 | 5,713 | 28.3 | 15,073 | 10,864 | 72.1 | 4,209 | 27.9 | 5,094 | 3,590 | 70.5 | 1,504 | 29.5 |
| 50 - 54 | 15,316 | 10,551 | 68.9 | 4,765 | 31.1 | 11,691 | 8,092 | 69.2 | 3,599 | 30.8 | 3,625 | 2,459 | 67.8 | 1,166 | 32.2 |
| 55 - 59 | 9,892 | 6,828 | 69.0 | 3,064 | 31.0 | 7,678 | 5,396 | 70.3 | 2,282 | 29.7 | 2,214 | 1,432 | 64.7 | 782 | 35.3 |
| 60 - 64 | 9,664 | 6,487 | 67.1 | 3,177 | 32.9 | 7,555 | 5,176 | 68.5 | 2,379 | 31.5 | 2,109 | 1,311 | 62.2 | 798 | 37.8 |
| 65 - 69 | 5,762 | 3,864 | 67.1 | 1,898 | 32.9 | 4,511 | 3,093 | 68.6 | 1,418 | 31.4 | 1,251 | 771 | 61.6 | 480 | 38.4 |
| 70 - 74 | 4,874 | 3,047 | 62.5 | 1,827 | 37.5 | 3,802 | 2,477 | 65.1 | 1,325 | 34.9 | 1,072 | 570 | 53.2 | 502 | 46.8 |
| 75 - 79 | 2,411 | 1,575 | 65.3 | 836 | 34.7 | 1,942 | 1,303 | 67.1 | 639 | 32.9 | 469 | 272 | 58.0 | 197 | 42.0 |
| 80+ | 3,225 | 1,923 | 59.6 | 1,302 | 40.4 | 2,543 | 1,558 | 61.3 | 985 | 38.7 | 682 | 365 | 53.5 | 317 | 46.5 |

The results further reveal that, Tanganyika District Council has the largest number of households accounting for 31.7 percent of total private households in the Region. The next two councils with relatively high contributions to the total households are Mpanda Municipal (26.3%) and Nsimbo District Council (17.1%). On the other hand, Mlele District has the smallest contribution of households (9.5%) (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2: Number and Percentage of Households by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Courseil | Tot | al | Ru | ral | Urban | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--|
| Council | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Total | 213,825 | 100.0 | 152,972 | 71.5 | 60,853 | 28.5 | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 26.3 | 8,496 | 5.6 | 47,703 | 78.4 | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 17.1 | 36,647 | 24.0 | NA | NA | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 31.7 | 63,881 | 41.8 | 3,815 | 6.3 | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 9.5 | 20,248 | 13.2 | NA | NA | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 15.4 | 23,700 | 15.5 | 9,335 | 15.3 | |





4.3 Average Household Size

Average household size is the mean number of persons in a private household. The average household size decreased from 5.5 persons in 2012 to 5.3 persons in 2022. Households in rural areas have an average of 5.7 persons per household which is relatively higher than those in urban areas (4.3 persons). Average households' size in rural areas almost

remained the same in 2012 and 2022. However, in urban areas, the size decreased from 5.0 persons to 4.3 over the same period. Average household size varies across Councils, ranging from 4.3 persons in Mpanda District to 6.5 persons in Mpimbwe District (Figure 4.2 and Table 4.3).




Figure 4.2: Average Household Size by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHCs

Table 4.3: Population in Private Households, Number of Households and AverageHousehold Size by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012and 2022 PHCs


| Place of Residence | Popul | ation | Number of I | louseholds | Average Ho | usehold Size |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2022 | 2012 | 2022 | 2012 ¹ | 2022 |
| Total | 564,604 | 1,152,958 | 100,350 | 213,825 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| Rural | 407,532 | 883,999 | 69,476 | 152,972 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| Urban | 157,072 | 268,959 | 30,874 | 60,853 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| Council | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 110,053 | 245,764 | 21,060 | 56,196 | 4.8 | 4.3 |
| Nsimbo District | 179,136 | 201,102 | NA | 36,647 | NA | 5.4 |
| Tanganyika District | 34,698 | 371,836 | 30,942 | 67,696 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Mlele District | 103,625 | 118,818 | 48,348 | 20,248 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Mpimbwe District | 137,092 | 215,438 | NA | 33,035 | NA | 6.5 |

¹ Data from Katavi Region Basic Demographic and Social-Economic Profile, 2012 PHC

4.4 Household Headship

A household head is the individual normally recognized by other household members as their head. The 2022 PHC results show that, of the total private households in Katavi Region, 71.4 percent are headed by males and 28.6 percent are headed by females (Figure 4.3 and Table 4.4).





The results further reveal that percentage of male-headed households decreased from 72.8 percent in 2012 to 69.0 percent in 2022 while female-headed households increased from 27.2 percent to 31.0 percent over the same period. A similar pattern is somewhat observed across all Councils in the Region (Table 4.4).

Table 4.4: Percentage Distribution of Households by Sex of Household Head, Placeof Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs

| Place of Residence | 20 | 12 | 202 | 22 |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Male Headed | Female-Headed | Male Headed | Female-Headed |
| Total | 72.8 | 27.2 | 69.0 | 31.0 |
| Rural | 73.4 | 26.6 | 69.8 | 30.2 |
| Urban | 71.4 | 28.6 | 67.2 | 32.8 |
| Council | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 67.3 | 32.7 | 69.5 | 30.5 |
| Nsimbo District | 76.1 | 23.9 | 73.9 | 26.1 |
| Tanganyika District | 67.9 | 32.1 | 71.3 | 28.7 |
| Mlele District | 74.5 | 25.5 | 70.8 | 29.2 |

| Place of Residence | 20 | 12 | 2022 | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|
| | Male Headed | Female-Headed | Male Headed | Female-Headed | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 70.3 | 29.7 | 71.6 | 28.4 | | |

In Katavi Region, the average number of persons in male headed households is higher (5.5 persons) than in female headed households (4.9 persons). A similar pattern is observed in urban and rural areas. Irrespective of sex of head, average household size is highest in Mpimbwe District Council (6.5 persons) and lowest in Mpanda Municipal Council (4.3 persons) (Table 4.5).

Table 4.5: Distribution of Population in Private Households by Sex of Household Head, Number of Households, Average HouseholdSize, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | Population | | | Number of House | hold | Avera | age Household | Size |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Place of Residence | Both Sexes | Male Headed | Female-Headed | Both Sexes | Male Headed | Female-Headed | Both Sexes | Male Headed | Female- Headed |
| Total | 1,132,862 | 808,694 | 324,168 | 213,825 | 147,583 | 66,242 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.9 |
| Rural | 868,926 | 624,844 | 244,082 | 152,972 | 106,699 | 46,273 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
| Urban | 263,936 | 183,850 | 80,086 | 60,853 | 40,884 | 19,969 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 241,432 | 167,788 | 73,644 | 56,199 | 37,814 | 18,385 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 |
| Nsimbo District | 196,577 | 145,357 | 51,220 | 36,647 | 26,079 | 10,568 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.8 |
| Tanganyika District | 368,185 | 262,510 | 105,675 | 67,696 | 46,881 | 20,815 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.1 |
| Mlele District | 112,109 | 79,407 | 32,702 | 20,248 | 13,783 | 6,465 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.1 |
| Mpimbwe District | 214,559 | 153,632 | 60,927 | 33,035 | 23,026 | 10,009 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.1 |

4.4.1 Households Living in Improved or Unimproved Houses

This sub section discusses the heads of households and the type of building materials used to build the main dwellings (houses). For the purpose of this report, an improved house means a house that is built using improved building materials in at least two of the following; roofing, walling and flooring materials.

Table 4.6 shows that 74.2 percent of households in Katavi Region live in improved houses, a percentage which is about the same for male headed and female headed households (73.8% and 75.2% respectively). The results further show that the percentage of households living in improved houses is higher (95.1%) in urban areas compared with rural areas (65.9%). A similar pattern is observed for male headed and female headed households in rural and urban areas.

All Councils have more than 60 percent of households living in improved houses. Mpanda Municipal has the highest percent of improved houses (93.0 %) while Mlele District has the lowest percent of households living in improved houses (61.2%). A similar pattern is observed for both male headed and female headed households (Table 4.6).

Table 4.6: Percentage Distribution of Households living in Improved/ Un improved Houses by Sex of Household Head, Place of
Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Place of Residence | Number | Т | otal | Total | Male | Headed | Total | Femal | e Headed |
|---------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|
| Place of Residence | Number | Improved house | Unimproved house | Total | Improved house | Unimproved house | Total | Improved house | Unimproved house |
| Total | 213,825 | 74.2 | 25.8 | 147,583 | 73.8 | 26.2 | 66,242 | 75.2 | 24.8 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 65.9 | 34.1 | 106,699 | 65.7 | 34.3 | 46,273 | 66.6 | 33.4 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 95.1 | 4.9 | 40,884 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 19,969 | 95.3 | 4.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 37,814 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 18,385 | 93.9 | 6.1 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 26,079 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 10,568 | 72.0 | 28.0 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 62.2 | 37.8 | 46,881 | 62.1 | 37.9 | 20,815 | 62.6 | 37.4 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 61.2 | 38.8 | 13,783 | 59.8 | 40.2 | 6,465 | 64.2 | 35.8 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 23,026 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 10,009 | 77.7 | 22.3 |

The findings in Table 4.7 shows that as age of household head increases the chances of living in improved house generally decreases. For example, while 76.8 percent of household heads aged 25-29 years live in improved houses, such percentage decreases to 70.4 percent for those household heads aged 75-79 years and above. This observation holds for both males headed and female headed households.

Table 4.7: Percentage Distribution of Households living in Improved/Unimproved Houses by Sex of Household Head and Age Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| A | | Total | | | Male Headed | | | Female Heade | d |
|-----------|---------|----------|------------|---------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|------------|
| Age Group | Total | Improved | Unimproved | Total | Improved | Unimproved | Total | Improved | Unimproved |
| Total | 213,825 | 74.2 | 25.8 | 147,583 | 73.8 | 26.2 | 66,242 | 75.2 | 24.8 |
| Below 18 | 2,221 | 68.3 | 31.7 | 1,089 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 1,132 | 70.0 | 30.0 |
| 18- 24 | 21,594 | 74.7 | 25.3 | 12,788 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 8,806 | 76.8 | 23.2 |
| 25 - 29 | 31,881 | 76.8 | 23.2 | 22,493 | 76.1 | 23.9 | 9,388 | 78.3 | 21.7 |
| 30 - 34 | 33,498 | 75.4 | 24.6 | 24,218 | 74.9 | 25.1 | 9,280 | 76.5 | 23.5 |
| 35 - 39 | 28,945 | 73.5 | 26.5 | 20,878 | 73.5 | 26.5 | 8,067 | 73.3 | 26.7 |
| 40 - 44 | 24,375 | 73.9 | 26.1 | 17,388 | 73.6 | 26.4 | 6,987 | 74.6 | 25.4 |
| 45 - 49 | 20,167 | 73.5 | 26.5 | 14,454 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 5,713 | 74.0 | 26.0 |
| 50 - 54 | 15,316 | 73.6 | 26.4 | 10,551 | 73.6 | 26.4 | 4,765 | 73.6 | 26.4 |
| 55 - 59 | 9,892 | 73.5 | 26.5 | 6,828 | 72.6 | 27.4 | 3,064 | 75.5 | 24.5 |
| 60 - 64 | 9,664 | 72.8 | 27.2 | 6,487 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 3,177 | 74.4 | 25.6 |
| 65 - 69 | 5,762 | 72.4 | 27.6 | 3,864 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 1,898 | 74.1 | 25.9 |
| 70 - 74 | 4,874 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 3,047 | 71.3 | 28.7 | 1,827 | 73.3 | 26.7 |
| 75 - 79 | 2,411 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 1,575 | 69.7 | 30.3 | 836 | 71.8 | 28.2 |
| 80+ | 3,225 | 70.5 | 29.5 | 1,923 | 69.5 | 30.5 | 1,302 | 72.0 | 28.0 |

4.4.2 Heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation

The results reveal that majority of male heads of household in Katavi Region and regardless of their marital status (29,508 or 40.6%) are employed as agricultural and fishery workers, followed by those engaged as crafts and related workers (18,995 or 26.1%) and those in elementary occupations (11,018 or 15.1%). Among the 690 widowed males 353 (51.2%) are engaged in agricultural and fishery activities; 24.9 percent are craft and related workers and 16.8 percent are in elementary occupations (Table 4.8 and Table 4.9).

Table 4.8: Number of Male Heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Occupation | Total | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
|--|--------|---------------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Total | 72,733 | 5,460 | 53,729 | 10,484 | 1,167 | 1,203 | 690 |
| Legislators, administrators and managers | 534 | 62 | 422 | 39 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
| Professionals | 1,713 | 223 | 1,344 | 125 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 4,489 | 472 | 3,229 | 651 | 37 | 79 | 21 |
| Clerks | 315 | 53 | 222 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Service workers and shop sales workers | 4,549 | 592 | 3,173 | 640 | 66 | 60 | 18 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 29,508 | 1,194 | 23,043 | 4,010 | 455 | 453 | 353 |
| Craft and related workers | 18,995 | 1,569 | 13,436 | 3,049 | 385 | 384 | 172 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1,612 | 180 | 1,057 | 329 | 25 | 14 | 7 |
| Elementary occupations | 11,018 | 1,115 | 7,803 | 1,604 | 184 | 196 | 116 |

Table 4.9: Percentage Distribution of Male Heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Occupation | Total | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
|--|--------|---------------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Total | 72,733 | 7.5 | 73.9 | 14.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| Legislators, administrators and managers | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Professionals | 2.4 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 6.2 | 8.6 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 6.6 | 3.0 |
| Clerks | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Service workers and shop sales workers | 6.3 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 2.6 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 40.6 | 21.9 | 42.9 | 38.2 | 39.0 | 37.7 | 51.2 |
| Craft and related workers | 26.1 | 28.7 | 25.0 | 29.1 | 33.0 | 31.9 | 24.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Elementary occupations | 15.1 | 20.4 | 14.5 | 15.3 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 16.8 |

Likewise, the results reveal that 9,541 (40.7%) female heads of household regardless of their marital status are employed as agricultural and fishery workers, followed by craft and related workers (5,137 or 21.9%). Among the 3,702 widowed females 1,852 are engaged in agricultural and fishery activities; 822 are craft and related workers and 670 are in elementary occupations (Table 4.10 and Table 4.11).

Table 4.10: Number of Female Heads of Households by Marital Status and OccupationKatavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Occupation | Total | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
|--|--------|---------------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Total | 23,419 | 2,964 | 9,742 | 2,022 | 2,781 | 2,208 | 3,702 |
| Legislators, administrators and managers | 103 | 38 | 46 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Professionals | 470 | 160 | 217 | 28 | 19 | 21 | 25 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 646 | 174 | 294 | 44 | 41 | 54 | 39 |
| Clerks | 118 | 48 | 41 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 10 |
| Service workers and shop sales workers | 2,793 | 675 | 908 | 245 | 362 | 325 | 278 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 9,541 | 546 | 4,502 | 875 | 1,045 | 721 | 1,852 |
| Craft and related workers | 5,137 | 626 | 2,066 | 412 | 656 | 555 | 822 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 64 | 11 | 30 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 2 |
| Elementary occupations | 4,547 | 686 | 1,638 | 400 | 639 | 514 | 670 |


Table 4.11: Percentage Distribution of Female heads of Households by Marital Status and Occupation Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Occupation | Total | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
|--|--------|---------------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Total | 23,419 | 12.7 | 41.6 | 8.6 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 15.8 |
| Legislators, administrators and managers | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Professionals | 2.0 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 2.8 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.1 |
| Clerks | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Service workers and shop sales workers | 11.9 | 22.8 | 9.3 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 14.7 | 7.5 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 40.7 | 18.4 | 46.2 | 43.3 | 37.6 | 32.7 | 50.0 |
| Craft and related workers | 21.9 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 20.4 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 22.2 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Elementary occupations | 19.4 | 23.1 | 16.8 | 19.8 | 23.0 | 23.3 | 18.1 |


4.4.3 Heads of Household by Type of Toilet Facility


In Katavi Region percentage of households using improved toilet facilities is 46.8 percent for the male headed households and 47.5 percent for the female headed households. On the other hand, with respect to households with no toilet facilities, the percentage is slightly higher (7.4%) among female headed households than male headed households (6.8%) (Figure 4.4).





The results show that the percentage of households using improved toilet facilities is significantly higher in urban than in rural areas, with a percentage point difference of 53.9 for female headed households and 51.7 for the male headed household. However, there is hardly any difference between male headed and female headed households for both rural and urban areas. In contrast, results further reveal that, households that use unimproved toilet facilities as well as those without toilet facilities are mostly in rural areas (Figure 4.5 and Table 4.12).





Across Councils, results reveal that Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage of both male and female headed households with improved toilet facilities (81.0% each). Council with highest percentage of male headed households with no toilet facilities is Mpimbwe District (10.7%) (Table 4.12).

 Table 4.12: Percentage Distribution of Households with Improved/Unimproved Toilet Facilities by Sex of Household Head, Place of Residence and Council, Katavi Region, 2022 PHC


| | | т | otal | | | Male | Headed | | Female Headed | | | |
|---------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Place of Residence | Total | Improved toilet facilities | Unimproved toilet Facilities | No toilet facility | Total | Improved toilet facilities | Unimproved toilet facilities | No toilet facility | Total | Improved toilet facilities | Unimproved toilet facilities | No toilet facility |
| Total | 213,825 | 47.0 | 46.0 | 7.0 | 147,583 | 46.8 | 46.4 | 6.8 | 66,242 | 47.5 | 45.1 | 7.4 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 32.1 | 58.7 | 9.1 | 106,699 | 32.5 | 58.7 | 8.8 | 46,273 | 31.3 | 58.8 | 10.0 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 84.5 | 14.0 | 1.5 | 40,884 | 84.2 | 14.2 | 1.5 | 19,969 | 85.2 | 13.4 | 1.4 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 81.6 | 16.1 | 2.2 | 37,814 | 81.0 | 16.6 | 2.4 | 37,814 | 81.0 | 16.6 | 2.4 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 32.2 | 60.4 | 7.4 | 26,079 | 32.6 | 60.3 | 7.1 | 10,568 | 31.2 | 60.6 | 8.1 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 34.0 | 58.4 | 7.6 | 46,881 | 34.4 | 58.2 | 7.3 | 20,815 | 32.9 | 58.9 | 8.2 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 33.7 | 56.5 | 9.8 | 13,783 | 32.5 | 57.6 | 9.9 | 6,465 | 36.1 | 54.3 | 9.6 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 39.5 | 48.9 | 11.6 | 23,026 | 40.4 | 48.9 | 10.7 | 10,009 | 37.6 | 48.9 | 13.5 |

4.4.4 Heads of Households by Source of Drinking Water

The results show that, in urban areas female headed households (87.6%) have higher proportion of households using improved source of drinking water than male headed households (85.0%). The same pattern is also observed in rural areas where the proportion of female headed households using improved source of drinking water is 45.7 percent and 44.2 percent for male headed households.


The percentage of both male and female headed households using improved source of drinking water is higher in urban areas than in rural areas. The proportion of households using unimproved source of drinking water is almost equal for male and female headed households in rural areas (55.8% and 54.3% respectively). The percentages in urban areas are 15.0 and 12.4 for male and female headed households respectively (Figure 4.6).


Figure 4.6: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Improved and Unimproved Sources of Drinking Water by Sex of Household Head and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC



Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile, 2022 PHC

The results also reveal that, the proportion of male headed households using unimproved source of drinking water (44.5%) is slightly higher than female headed household (41.7%) (Figure 4.7).





Across the Councils, Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage of both male and female headed households that use improved sources of drinking water (82.9% and 86.5% respectively) and Tanganyika District has the lowest percentages (35.5% and 36.6% respectively) (Table 4.13).

Table 4.13: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Improved and Unimproved Sources of Drinking Water by Sex of Household Head, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | Total | | Λ | lale Headed | | | Female Heade | d |
|---------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---|---------|--|--|--------|--|--|
| Place of Residence | Total | Improved source of drinking water | Unimproved source of drinking water | Total | Improved source of drinking water | Unimproved source of drinking water | Total | Improved source of drinking water | Unimproved source of drinking water |
| Total | 213,825 | 56.4 | 43.6 | 147,583 | 55.5 | 44.5 | 66,242 | 58.3 | 41.7 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 44.7 | 55.3 | 106,699 | 44.2 | 55.8 | 46,273 | 45.7 | 54.3 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 40,884 | 85.0 | 15.0 | 19,969 | 87.6 | 12.4 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 37,814 | 82.9 | 17.1 | 18,385 | 86.5 | 13.5 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 48.8 | 51.2 | 26,079 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 10,568 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 35.5 | 64.5 | 46,881 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 20,815 | 36.6 | 63.4 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 57.0 | 43.0 | 13,783 | 55.4 | 44.6 | 6,465 | 60.3 | 39.7 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 60.2 | 39.8 | 23,026 | 60.6 | 39.4 | 10,009 | 59.2 | 40.8 |

Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile, 2022 PHC

4.4.5 Household Heads with Children Under 18 Years

The results show that percentage of female headed households with one to three children under 18 years of age is higher (48.8%) than that of male headed households (41.9%). The percentage of male headed households with more than three children under 18 years is slightly higher (36.4%) than that of female headed households (34.6%). On the other hand, the percentage of male headed households with no children under 18 years is higher (21.7%) compared with that of female headed households (16.6%) (Figure 4.8).




Figure 4.8. Percentage Distribution of Households with Children under 18 Years by Sex of Household Head; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

The results show that the majority of households with children under 18 years have one to three children. Out of 213,825 households with children under 18 years, 44.0 percent have one to three children, 20.1 percent have no child and 35.9 percent have more than three children. The results further indicate that 45.8 percent of female headed households living in rural areas have one to three children under 18 years compared with 55.8 percent for male headed households. The same pattern is observed in urban areas. About (40.8 %) percent of male headed households with more than three children reside in rural areas compared with 39.7 percent of female headed households. On the other hand, the proportion of male headed households with no children under 18 years is higher in urban areas (28.2%) compared with female headed households (21.3%) (Figure 4.9 and Table 4.14).

Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile, 2022 PHC

All councils have almost the same percentage of households with one to three children, the highest is Mpanda Municipal and the lowest is Mpimbwe District (59.3% and 58% respectively) (Table 4.14).

Figure 4.9. Percentage Distribution of Households Having Children under 18 Years by Sex of Household Head, Number of Children and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC




 Table 4.14: Percentage Distribution of Households with Children under 18 Years by Sex of Household Head, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | Total | | | Ма | le Headed | | | Fe | male Headed | |
|---------------------|---------|----------|----------------|----------------------|---------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|--------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Place of Residence | Total | No child | 1 - 3 children | More than 3 children | Total | No child | 1 - 3 children | More than 3 children | Total | No child | 1 - 3 children | More than 3 children |
| Total | 213,825 | 20.1 | 44.0 | 35.9 | 147,583 | 21.7 | 41.9 | 36.4 | 66,242 | 16.6 | 48.8 | 34.6 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 17.8 | 41.8 | 40.4 | 106,699 | 19.2 | 40.1 | 40.8 | 46,273 | 14.6 | 45.8 | 39.7 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 26.0 | 49.6 | 24.4 | 40,884 | 28.2 | 46.6 | 25.1 | 19,969 | 21.3 | 55.8 | 22.9 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 26.6 | 49.5 | 23.9 | 37,814 | 29.0 | 46.6 | 24.4 | 18,385 | 21.5 | 55.6 | 22.8 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 19.8 | 42.0 | 38.3 | 26,079 | 21.1 | 40.0 | 38.9 | 10,568 | 16.5 | 46.8 | 36.7 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 17.4 | 43.0 | 39.6 | 46,881 | 19.1 | 41.2 | 39.7 | 20,815 | 13.6 | 47.1 | 39.3 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 20.2 | 42.6 | 37.2 | 13,783 | 21.5 | 40.3 | 38.2 | 6,465 | 17.4 | 47.6 | 35.0 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 14.9 | 39.8 | 45.2 | 23,026 | 15.6 | 38.6 | 45.8 | 10,009 | 13.5 | 42.6 | 44.0 |

Chapter 5

Marital Status

Key Points

- More than half (56.3%) of the population aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region are married.
- About four percent (3.6%) of persons aged 15 years and above are widowed. The percentage of Females widowed is higher (6.0%) than that of Males (1.0%).
- Nearly three percent (2.8%) of persons aged 15 years and above are divorced. Females are more likely to be divorced (3.8%) than males (1.8%).
- Mean Age at First Marriage in Katavi Region is 21.8 years. Females are getting married at a younger age (19.7 years) than males (24.3 years).

5.1 Introduction

This chapter focuses on analysis of marital status and Singulate Mean Age at First Marriage. The term "marital status" refers to the state of being unmarried, married, widowed, separated or divorced. Marital status is one of the most important factors in population dynamics as it affects fertility and other social and economic characteristics such as school attendance and labour force participation. The information on marital status helps Government Agencies and other users to understand marriage trends and forecast future needs of programmes that have spousal benefits. It also measures the effects of policies that focus on the well-being of families, including financial assistance plans.

In the 2022 PHC questions on marital status were asked to all persons aged 10 years and above. However, in order to allow comparison of the 2022 Census results with the 2012 results, analysis is mainly focused on the population aged 15 years and above. Six categories namely never married, married, living together, separated, divorced and widowed were adopted to classify marital status. Data on marital status for the 2022 PHC is disaggregated by age, sex, rural and urban areas and councils.

5.2 Marital Status

In Katavi Region, the results show that 56.3 percent of persons aged 15 years and above are married and the percentage is higher among females (58.6%) than males (54.0%). Proportionately, the percentage of married persons in rural areas is higher (59.3%) compared with urban areas (47.7%). About quarter (27.1%) of persons aged 15 years and above are never married; among males it is 34.2 percent while for females it is 20.2 percent. The results also show notable differences between rural and urban areas for the never married persons (25.4% and 31.8% respectively).


The results further show that, the percentage of persons aged 15 years and above who are widowed is 3.6 percent which is higher among females (6.0%) than males (1.0%). The percentage of widowed in rural areas is 3.5 percent while for urban areas it is 3.7 percent. The large number of females who are widowed compared with males might be contributed by the tendency of most males to remarry after death of their wives. This may also be due to the fact that males are more subjected to risky tasks hence more likely to die at younger ages than females. Moreover, biologically females live longer than males. However, further studies are needed to ascertain the root causes of the disparities (Table 5.1 and Figure 5.1).

Table 5.1: Percentage Distribution of the Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence, Sex and Marital Status;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | То | tal | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|------------|-------|--------|------------|------------|-------|--------|------------|---------------|-------|--------|--|
| Marital Status | Population | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Population | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Population | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 576,444 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 427,349 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 149,095 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Never Married | 156,029 | 27.1 | 34.2 | 20.2 | 108,679 | 25.4 | 33.1 | 17.9 | 47,350 | 31.8 | 37.1 | 26.8 | |
| Married | 324,654 | 56.3 | 54.0 | 58.6 | 253,578 | 59.3 | 56.1 | 62.5 | 71,076 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.6 | |
| Living Together | 47,152 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 29,947 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 17,205 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 11.9 | |
| Divorced | 16,084 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 11,890 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 4,194 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 4.0 | |
| Separated | 11,946 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 8,152 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 3,794 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | |
| Widowed | 20,579 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 15,103 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 5.9 | 5,476 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 6.2 | |

Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile, 2022 PHC





The percentage of married persons increased sharply with age from 51.8 percent for persons aged 20-24 years to 75.3 percent for those aged 35 - 39 and thereafter declines gradually as age increases. The percentage of the divorced persons increases with age from 0.3 percent for those aged 15–19 years to 5.5 percent for those aged 50 - 44 years. The proportion of widowed persons increases steadily as age increases from 0.1 percent for age 20-24 to 53.1 percent for those aged 80+ years (Table 5.2).

| Table 5.2: Percentage Distribution of the Population Aged 15 Years and Above by |
|---|
| Marital Status and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |

| | Total | | | Marital | Status | | |
|-----------|------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Age Group | Population | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
| Total | 576,444 | 27.1 | 56.3 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.6 |
| 15 - 19 | 113,841 | 80.4 | 16.8 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 20 - 24 | 100,380 | 36.9 | 51.8 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| 25 - 29 | 85,164 | 16.1 | 67.7 | 11.6 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| 30 - 34 | 68,823 | 8.6 | 73.3 | 11.6 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 |
| 35 - 39 | 53,188 | 5.4 | 75.3 | 11.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| 40 - 44 | 41,381 | 4.2 | 74.2 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| 45 - 49 | 32,576 | 3.3 | 74.3 | 9.2 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.9 |
| 50 - 54 | 24,429 | 3.1 | 70.8 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 8.5 |
| 55 - 59 | 15,227 | 2.8 | 68.7 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 12.3 |
| 60 - 64 | 14,710 | 2.4 | 63.4 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 18.2 |
| 65 - 69 | 8,795 | 2.4 | 59.5 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 22.8 |
| 70 - 74 | 7,613 | 2.1 | 52.5 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 31.8 |

Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile, 2022 PHC

| | Total | | Marital Status | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Age Group | Population | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed | | | | |
| 75 - 79 | 3,929 | 1.9 | 49.1 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 36.4 | | | | |
| 80+ | 6,388 | 2.1 | 34.5 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 53.1 | | | | |

The results show that 48.9 percent of the male population aged 10 years and above are never married, 41.9 percent are married and 6.0 percent are living together. Almost all male children in Katavi Region (99.8%) aged 10 - 14 years and 95.9 percent of those aged 15 - 19 are never married. The percentage of never married person decreases as age increases (Table 5.3).

Table 5.3: Percentage Distribution of Male Population Aged 10 Years and Above byMarital Status and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Total | | | Marital Sta | itus | | |
|-----------|------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Age Group | Population | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
| Total | 364,915 | 48.9 | 41.9 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 10 – 14 | 82,111 | 99.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 – 19 | 55,696 | 95.9 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 – 24 | 44,774 | 58.3 | 35.4 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 25 – 29 | 40,140 | 22.9 | 63.7 | 10.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| 30 – 34 | 34,687 | 10.4 | 74.0 | 11.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| 35 – 39 | 27,094 | 6.2 | 77.8 | 11.5 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| 40 – 44 | 21,646 | 4.5 | 78.1 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.7 |
| 45 – 49 | 17,408 | 3.2 | 79.7 | 10.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| 50 – 54 | 12,828 | 3.0 | 79.3 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
| 55 – 59 | 8,065 | 2.7 | 80.4 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 60 – 64 | 7,631 | 2.7 | 77.8 | 7.9 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| 65 – 69 | 4,508 | 2.2 | 75.4 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 6.7 |
| 70 – 74 | 3,738 | 2.0 | 73.9 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 9.8 |
| 75 – 79 | 1,934 | 2.2 | 69.6 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 13.9 |
| 80+ | 2,655 | 1.8 | 61.8 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 23.2 |

Among the female population aged 10 years and above, 46.1 percent are married, 37.2 percent are never married and 6.8 percent are living together. Almost all female children (99.4%) aged 10 - 14 years and 65.6 percent of those aged 15 - 19 are never married. The percentage of never married females decreases as age increases (Table 5.4).

| | Tatal | | | Marital | Status | | |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Age Group | Total Population | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
| Total | 373,607 | 37.2 | 46.1 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.7 |
| 10 - 14 | 79,967 | 99.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 - 19 | 58,145 | 65.6 | 29.4 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 20 - 24 | 55,606 | 19.8 | 65.0 | 11.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 25 - 29 | 45,024 | 10.1 | 71.3 | 12.2 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 0.6 |
| 30 - 34 | 34,136 | 6.7 | 72.7 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
| 35 - 39 | 26,094 | 4.6 | 72.7 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| 40 - 44 | 19,735 | 3.8 | 70.0 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 5.7 |
| 45 - 49 | 15,168 | 3.3 | 68.1 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 9.1 |
| 50 - 54 | 11,601 | 3.2 | 61.4 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 15.8 |
| 55 - 59 | 7,162 | 3.0 | 55.7 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 22.8 |
| 60 - 64 | 7,079 | 2.2 | 47.9 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 32.8 |
| 65 - 69 | 4,287 | 2.5 | 42.9 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 39.8 |
| 70 - 74 | 3,875 | 2.1 | 31.8 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 53.1 |
| 75 - 79 | 1,995 | 1.7 | 29.3 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 58.3 |
| 80+ | 3,733 | 2.3 | 15.1 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 74.4 |

Table 5.4: Percentage Distribution of Female Population Aged 10 Years and Above
by Marital Status and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

5.2.1 Marital Status for Selected Age Groups

Table 5.5 shows the percentage of population by marital status for selected age groups. The results reveal that the percentage of married persons aged 15-64 years in Katavi Region is 56.6 while for age group 65 years and above it is 50.0 percent. The percentage of widowed for the age group 65 and above is 34.6 percent. Results further show that by age 65 and above, only 2.1 percent of the population aged 10 years and above are never married implying that marriage in Katavi Region is almost universal. There is a relatively high proportion (8.4 and 8.0%) of persons living together in age groups 15 - 64 and 15 – 35 respectively.

Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile, 2022 PHC

Table 5.5: Percentage Distribution of the Population Aged 10 Years and Above byMarital Status and Selected Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Calcutad | Total | | | Marital Stat | tus | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Selected Age Group | Population | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
| Total | 738,522 | 43.0 | 44.0 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.8 |
| 10 - 14 | 162,078 | 99.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 - 17 | 231,074 | 96.7 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15 - 24 | 214,221 | 60.0 | 33.2 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 15 - 35 | 381,697 | 39.1 | 49.6 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 15 - 64 | 549,719 | 28.3 | 56.6 | 8.4 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 65+ | 26,725 | 2.1 | 50.0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 34.6 |
| 70+ | 17,930 | 2.0 | 45.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 40.4 |

Tables 5.6 and 5.7 reveals that 34.2 percent of male and 20.2 percent of female population aged 15 years and above are never married. For the divorced category, the proportion is higher for females (3.8%) than males (1.8%). Likewise, the percentage of the widowed is higher among females (6.0%) than males (1.0%).

| Table 5.6: Percen | tage Distribution of Male Population Aged 15 Years and Above by |
|-------------------|---|
| Marital | Status and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |

| | Tatal | | | Marital | Status | | |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Age Group | Total Population | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed |
| Total | 282,804 | 34.2 | 54.0 | 7.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 15 - 19 | 55,696 | 95.9 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 - 24 | 44,774 | 58.3 | 35.4 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 25 - 29 | 40,140 | 22.9 | 63.7 | 10.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| 30 - 34 | 34,687 | 10.4 | 74.0 | 11.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| 35 - 39 | 27,094 | 6.2 | 77.8 | 11.5 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| 40 - 44 | 21,646 | 4.5 | 78.1 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.7 |
| 45 - 49 | 17,408 | 3.2 | 79.7 | 10.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| 50 - 54 | 12,828 | 3.0 | 79.3 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
| 55 - 59 | 8,065 | 2.7 | 80.4 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 60 - 64 | 7,631 | 2.7 | 77.8 | 7.9 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| 65 - 69 | 4,508 | 2.2 | 75.4 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 6.7 |
| 70 - 74 | 3,738 | 2.0 | 73.9 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 9.8 |
| 75 - 79 | 1,934 | 2.2 | 69.6 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 13.9 |
| 80+ | 2,655 | 1.8 | 61.8 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 23.2 |

Table 5.7: Percentage Distribution of Female Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Marital Status and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Age Group | Total Population | Marital Status | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|---------|--|--|
| | | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed | | |
| Total | 293,640 | 20.2 | 58.6 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 6.0 | | |
| 15 - 19 | 58,145 | 65.6 | 29.4 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | | |
| 20 - 24 | 55,606 | 19.8 | 65.0 | 11.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | | |
| 25 - 29 | 45,024 | 10.1 | 71.3 | 12.2 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 0.6 | | |
| 30 - 34 | 34,136 | 6.7 | 72.7 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 1.3 | | |
| 35 - 39 | 26,094 | 4.6 | 72.7 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 3.0 | | |
| 40 - 44 | 19,735 | 3.8 | 70.0 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 5.7 | | |
| 45 - 49 | 15,168 | 3.3 | 68.1 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 9.1 | | |
| 50 - 54 | 11,601 | 3.2 | 61.4 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 15.8 | | |
| 55 - 59 | 7,162 | 3.0 | 55.7 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 22.8 | | |
| 60 - 64 | 7,079 | 2.2 | 47.9 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 32.8 | | |
| 65 - 69 | 4,287 | 2.5 | 42.9 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 39.8 | | |
| 70 - 74 | 3,875 | 2.1 | 31.8 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 53.1 | | |
| 75 - 79 | 1,995 | 1.7 | 29.3 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 58.3 | | |
| 80+ | 3,733 | 2.3 | 15.1 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 74.4 | | |


Table 5.8 highlights the council variations of marital status for the persons aged 15 years and above. The results show that never married category ranges from 24.8 percent in Mpimbwe District Council to 31.7 percent in Mpanda Municipal Council. For the married category the range is from 46.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal Council to 65.7 percent in Mpimbwe District Council. The percentage of persons in the widowed category ranges from 2.7 percent in Mlele District Council to 4.1 percent in Nsimbo District Council.

Table 5.8: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Marital Status, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Total Population | Marital Status | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Place of Residence | | Never Married | Married | Living Together | Divorced | Separated | Widowed | | |
| Total | 576,444 | 27.1 | 56.3 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.6 | | |
| Rural | 427,349 | 25.4 | 59.3 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.5 | | |
| Urban | 149,095 | 31.8 | 47.7 | 11.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.67 | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 136,750 | 31.7 | 46.1 | 12.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.9 | | |
| Nsimbo | 101,175 | 27.2 | 59.2 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 4.1 | | |
| Tanganyika | 177,896 | 25.1 | 55.0 | 11.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.5 | | |
| Mlele | 58,307 | 25.9 | 63.0 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.7 | | |
| Mpimbwe | 102,316 | 24.8 | 65.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 3.3 | | |

Figure 5.2 presents comparison of marital status of persons aged 15 years and above for the 2012 and 2022 Censuses. The results show an increase in the proportion of persons in the categories of never married, divorce, separated and widowed. On the other hand, a decreasing proportion is revealed for the married and living together categories.







5.3 Mean Age at First Marriage


The Mean Age at First Marriage (MAFM) which is defined as the average length of single life (before marriage) expressed in years among those who marry before age 50, is one of the proxy determinants of fertility. The mean age at first marriage is estimated using the Singulate Mean Age at First Marriage (SMAM). A population with a low age at first marriage tends to have early childbearing and high fertility.

The results show that, MAFM in 2022 is 21.8 years; females are getting married at a younger age (19.7 years) than males (24.3 years). The results further show that there is insignificant decrease in the MAFM for females from 20.9 years in 2012 to 19.7 years in 2022. During the same period, the MAFM for males decreased from 24.7 years in 2012 to 24.3 years in 2022 (Figure 5.3).





The MAFM is higher in urban areas compared with rural areas for both males and females and for both censuses except for the 2012 census where MAFM for males in urban areas is slightly less (24.6 years) than in rural areas (24.8 years) (Figure 5.4).






Table 5.9 shows that in Katavi Region, the MAFM for females slightly decreased from 20.9 years in 2012 to 19.7 years in 2022 and for males it slightly decreased from 24.7 years in 2012 to 24.3 years in 2022. In general, there is no noticeable difference for MAFM in urban areas compared with rural areas for males and females in both censuses.

| Place of Residence | 2 | 2012 | | 2022 | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|------|--------|------------|------|--------|--|--|--|
| Flace of Residence | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | | |
| Total | 22.7 | 24.7 | 20.9 | 21.8 | 24.3 | 19.7 | | | |
| Rural | 22.7 | 24.8 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 23.8 | 19.0 | | | |
| Urban | 22.8 | 24.6 | 21.2 | 23.4 | 25.6 | 21.6 | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 23.2 | 25.3 | 21.2 | 23.4 | 25.7 | 21.5 | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 23.0 | 24.6 | 21.6 | 22.0 | 24.5 | 19.6 | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 22.3 | 24.4 | 20.5 | 21.2 | 23.7 | 19.0 | | | |
| Mlele District | 22.8 | 24.7 | 21.0 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 19.2 | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 23.1 | 25.1 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 23.5 | 18.9 | | | |

Table 5.9: Mean Age at First Marriage by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs

Figure 5.5 shows council variations with Mpanda Municipal Council having the highest MAFM for both males (21.5 years) and females (25.7 years), followed by Nsimbo District (19.6 and 24.5 years for females and males respectively). The council with the lowest MAFM is Mpimbwe (18.9 years for females and 23.5 years for males).




Figure 5.5: Mean Age at First Marriage by Sex and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Chapter 6

National Identification Documents and Citizenship

Key Points

- About 84 percent (84.2%) percent of the population in Katavi Region have neither birth certificate nor birth notification.
- About nineteen percent of children (19.4%) under-five have birth certificate
- About 11 percent of persons (10.5%) aged 18 years and above have national identification number and 22.4 percent have national identification cards.
- Five percent (4.9%) of persons in Katavi Region have health insurance from national Health Insurance Fund (NHIF) or Community Health Insurance Fund (CHIF).

6.1 Introduction

This chapter presents information on possession of national identification documents, which include birth certificate and notification, National Identification Card (NIDA), Zanzibar Identification Card (ZAN ID), Driving License, Voter's ID, Travel Passport and Small Entrepreneur ID. The chapter also presents citizenship status. The objective of national identification documents is to help eligible citizens to access various services including financial, health and education.

6.2 National Identification Documents

A national identification document is any official document that may be used to prove a person's identity such as the bearer's full name, birth date, address, an identification number, card number, sex, citizenship and the like. If issued in a small, standard smart card size, it is usually called an identity card or citizen card. Alternatively, it is issued in other formats such as Tanzania travel permit or passport. For a national identification document to be valid it should be issued by an authorized government/state institution.

6.2.1 Birth Certificate

A birth certificate is a vital record that is used to document the birth of a child. The term "birth certificate" can refer to either the original document certifying the circumstances of the birth or to a certified copy or a representation of the ensuing registration of that birth.

In the 2022 PHC, data on birth certificates were collected from all respondents regardless of their age. The results show that, 11.5 percent of the persons in Katavi Region have birth certificates while majority of the population (84.2%) have neither birth certificates nor birth notifications. The percentage of population with birth certificates is generally higher in younger age groups. It is highest for age group 0 - 4 years (19.4%) and lowest (2.1%) for persons in age 75 - 79 years. The result also shows that the percentage of males with birth certificate is slightly higher (11.9%) than females (11.2%) (Tables 6.1).

The percentage of persons with birth certificates is larger in urban (20.0%) than in rural areas (8.9%). The result also shows that the percentage of males with birth certificate is slightly higher (11.9%) than for females (11.2%). Further the results show that, 21.0 percent of males and 19.1 percent of females living in urban areas have birth certificates compared with males and females living in rural areas (9.1% and 8.7% respectively) (Figure 6.1 and Table 6.1).

6.2.2 Birth Notification

Birth notification is a document issued by a health facility to confirm the occurrence of birth. It is an initial document in the process of registering a child's birth. It provides the first legal recognition of the child and is generally required for the child to obtain a birth certificate and other legal documents and rights. The results show that, 2.9 percent of the population in Katavi Region have birth notifications. The percentage of population with birth notifications is higher in urban (4.2%) than in rural areas (2.4%) (Table 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 and Figure 6.1).




Figure 6. 1: Percentage Distribution of Population by Birth Certificate Status and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table 6. 1: Percentage Distribution of Population by Birth Certificate Status, Sex andAge Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Age Group | | | | | | Birth Certifi | cate Status | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--------|------|------------|--------|--|
| | Have | Birth Certifi | cates | Have E | Birth Notific | ations | Birth | lave Neithe Certificate Notification | nor | [| Don't know | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | |
| Total | 11.5 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 84.2 | 83.8 | 84.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | |
| 0 – 4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| 5 – 9 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 81.0 | 81.1 | 81.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | |
| 10 – 14 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 86.3 | 86.5 | 86.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | |
| 15 – 19 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 86.3 | 86.3 | 86.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | |
| 20 – 24 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 9.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 86.4 | 85.3 | 87.3 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | |
| 25 – 29 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 87.1 | 85.8 | 88.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | |
| 30 – 34 | 10.5 | 12.3 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 87.3 | 85.4 | 89.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | |
| 35 – 39 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 90.0 | 88.4 | 91.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | |
| 40 – 44 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 91.8 | 91.0 | 92.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | |
| 45 – 49 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 92.8 | 91.8 | 94.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | |
| 50 – 54 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 93.3 | 92.7 | 93.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | |
| 55 – 59 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 93.9 | 93.1 | 94.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | |
| 60 - 64 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 94.4 | 93.7 | 95.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | |
| 65 – 69 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 95.2 | 94.7 | 95.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | |
| 70 – 74 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 96.0 | 95.5 | 96.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | |
| 75 – 79 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 96.4 | 96.5 | 96.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.5 | |
| 80+ | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 96.1 | 96.2 | 96.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | |

Table 6. 2: Percentage Distribution of Population by Status of Birth Certificate, Sexand Age Groups; Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC

| | | Birth Certificate Status | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------|--------|---------------|--|--------|------------|------|--------|--|--|--|
| Age Group | Have E | Birth Certifi | cates | Have B | irth Notific | ations | Birth | lave Neithe Certificate Notification | Nor | Don't know | | | | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | | | |
| Total | 8.9 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 87.2 | 87.0 | 87.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | | | |
| 0 – 4 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 77.2 | 77.3 | 77.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | | | |
| 5 – 9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 84.1 | 84.2 | 84.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | | | |
| 10 – 14 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 88.9 | 89.1 | 88.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | | | |
| 15 – 19 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 89.8 | 89.7 | 89.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | | | |
| 20 – 24 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 89.9 | 89.0 | 90.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | | | |
| 25 – 29 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 90.6 | 89.6 | 91.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | | | |
| 30 – 34 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 90.8 | 89.4 | 92.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | | | |
| 35 – 39 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 93.1 | 92.1 | 94.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | | | |
| 40 – 44 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 94.4 | 93.9 | 94.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | | | |
| 45 – 49 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 95.1 | 94.3 | 96.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | | | |
| 50 – 54 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 95.4 | 95.2 | 95.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | | | |
| 55 – 59 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 96.0 | 95.8 | 96.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | | | |
| 60 - 64 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 95.9 | 95.6 | 96.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | | | |
| 65 – 69 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 96.2 | 96.1 | 96.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | | | |
| 70 – 74 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 96.8 | 96.7 | 96.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | | | |
| 75 – 79 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 97.0 | 97.1 | 96.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | | | |
| 80+ | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 96.4 | 96.8 | 96.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | | | |

Table 6. 3: Percentage Distribution of Population by Status of Birth Certificate, Sex and Age Groups; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC

| | | | | | | Birth Ce | ertificate Stat | us | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------|---------------|------------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------|--------|--|
| Age Group | Have Bi | rth Certi | ficates | Have Bir | rth Notifi | cations | Have Neith Birth Certif | er icate Nor Not | tification | Don't know | | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | |
| Total | 20.0 | 21.0 | 19.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 74.2 | 72.9 | 75.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | |
| 0 – 4 | 30.5 | 30.7 | 30.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 58.2 | 58.0 | 58.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | |
| 5 – 9 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 22.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 69.2 | 69.0 | 69.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | |
| 10 – 14 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 76.7 | 76.7 | 76.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | |
| 15 – 19 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 19.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 75.3 | 74.9 | 75.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | |
| 20 – 24 | 19.8 | 21.7 | 18.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 76.6 | 74.2 | 78.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.3 | |
| 25 – 29 | 18.5 | 20.2 | 17.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 78.4 | 76.2 | 80.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.9 | |
| 30 – 34 | 19.0 | 21.7 | 16.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 78.4 | 75.2 | 81.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | |
| 35 – 39 | 15.7 | 18.4 | 13.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 81.7 | 78.9 | 84.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | |
| 40 - 44 | 12.4 | 14.1 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 84.8 | 83.0 | 86.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | |
| 45 – 49 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 10.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 85.8 | 84.2 | 87.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | |
| 50 – 54 | 12.2 | 13.3 | 11.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 85.9 | 84.4 | 87.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | |
| 55 – 59 | 10.9 | 14.1 | 7.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 86.5 | 82.9 | 90.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| 60 - 64 | 8.8 | 10.9 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 88.8 | 86.4 | 91.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | |
| 65 – 69 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 91.5 | 89.0 | 93.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | |
| 70 – 74 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 93.1 | 90.5 | 95.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.4 | |
| 75 – 79 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 94.0 | 93.4 | 94.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.8 | |
| 80+ | 3.2 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 94.8 | 94.0 | 95.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | |

Table 6.4 indicates that the council with the highest percentage of population with birth certificates is Mpanda Municipal (19.7%) and the lowest is Tanganyika District (7.3%). Councils with percentages above regional average (11.5%) are Mpanda Municipal (19.7%), Mlele District (12.2%) and Mpimbwe District (11.6%).

 Table 6. 4: Percentage Distribution of Population by Status of Birth Certificate, Sex and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC


| | Status of Birth Certificate | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------|------|--------|
| Council | Have Bir | th Certifica | ites | Have Bir | th Notifica | tions | Have Neithe Birth Certifi | er cate Nor Notif | ication | Don't know | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Both Sexes | Both Sexes | Both Sexes | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Both Sexes | Both | Male | Female |
| Total | 11.5 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 84.2 | 83.8 | 84.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 19.7 | 20.6 | 18.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 74.9 | 73.8 | 76.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Nsimbo District | 8.8 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 87.3 | 87.0 | 87.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| Tanganyika District | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 88.4 | 88.3 | 88.5 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 1.7 |
| Mlele District | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 84.8 | 84.5 | 85.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| Mpimbwe District | 11.6 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 84.0 | 83.6 | 84.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.5 |

6.2.3 Other National Identification Documents

Tanzania Population and Housing Census 2022 collected information on travel passport, national health insurance/community health insurance and other health insurance schemes from all persons regardless of their age. On the other hand, information on national identification card, national identification number, Zanzibar identification card, driving license and voters' identification card were collected for all persons aged 18 years and above. In addition, persons aged 15 years and above provided information on possession of small entrepreneur identification card and those aged 60 years and above provided information whether they have card for elderly treatment.

6.2.3.1 National Identification Cards

Table 6.5 and Figure 6.2 indicate that 22.4 percent of persons aged 18 years and above in Katavi Region have national identification cards while 10.5 percent have only national identification numbers. Moreover, results show higher percentage (27.4%) of persons in urban areas with national identification cards than in rural areas (20.7%). Additionally, results reveal that, 52.2 percent have voters' identification cards. Further results reveal that eight percent (8.29%) of persons aged 15 years and above who engaged in Informal Activities have a small entrepreneur identification card.






Table 6. 5: Percentage of Population Possessing National Identification Documents
by Selected Age Groups, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region,
2022 PHC

| | | | Type of National | Documents | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Place of | | Person | aged 18 and above | | | Person of All Ages | Person Aged 15 and Above |
| Residence | National Identification Card | National Identification Number | Zanzibar Identification Card | Driving License | Voter's Identification Card | Travel Passport | Small Entrepre neur Identifica tion Card |
| Total | 22.4 | 10.5 | 0 | 1.9 | 52.2 | 0.3 | 8.29 |
| Rural | 20.7 | 8.6 | 0 | 1 | 49.4 | 0.2 | 9.44 |
| Urban | 27.4 | 15.8 | 0 | 4.3 | 60.3 | 0.6 | 6.72 |
| Council | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 28.1 | 17.2 | 0 | 4.5 | 61 | 0.6 | 5.72 |
| Nsimbo District | 26.1 | 12.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 56 | 0.2 | 14.44 |
| Tanganyika District | 17.2 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.8 | 48.8 | 0.2 | 5.98 |
| Mlele District | 23.9 | 6.4 | 0 | 1.3 | 43.3 | 0.3 | 13.32 |
| Mpimbwe District | 19.5 | 5.7 | 0 | 1.1 | 47.9 | 0.3 | 11.98 |

6.2.3.2 Health Insurance

Table 6.6 indicates that 4.9 percent of persons in Katavi Region have health insurance from the National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF) or Community Health Insurance Fund (CHIF). Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest percentage (6.8%) of persons who possess NHIF/CHIF insurance; followed by Mlele District Council (5.0%) and lastly Nsimbo District (3.5%). In addition, 1.4 percent has insurance from other health insurers. Residential analysis indicates that more persons (7.1%) in urban areas have NHIF/CHIF than rural areas (4.2%). On the other hand, 1.4 percent of persons in rural areas have other health insurance schemes compared with 1.3 percent in urban areas.

Table 6. 6: Distribution of Population Possessing Health Insurance Cards by Sex,Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | Type of Health Card Identification | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------|---------------|----------------|--------|---|------|--------|--|--|
| Place of Residence | Total Population | Insuran | lational Healt ce/Communit rance (NHIF/C | y Health | Othe | r Health Insur | ance | Card for elderly Treatment (60 years and above) | | | | |
| | | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | |
| Total | 1,132,862 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 16.4 | | |
| Rural | 868,926 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 14.0 | 13.5 | 14.5 | | |
| Urban | 263,936 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 22.5 | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 241,432 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 20.6 | 18.4 | 22.6 | | |
| Nsimbo District | 196,577 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | | |
| Tanganyika District | 368,185 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 16.0 | | |
| Mlele District | 112,109 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 13.2 | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 214,559 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 15.4 | 14.6 | 16.0 | | |

6.3 Citizenship

Tanzania citizenship is governed by the Tanzania Citizenship Act, Chapter 357 (Revised Edition of 2002) and its Regulations of 1997. The 2022 PHC collected information on citizenship status.

The results show that 1,151,762 persons (99.9%) out of 1,152,958 persons enumerated in Katavi Region are Tanzanians. The majority of non-Tanzanians are from other East African Countries (584 persons) followed by other African Countries (416 persons) (Table 6.7).

| Table 6. 7: Distribution of Population by Place of Residence, Sex and Country of | 1 |
|--|---|
| Citizenship; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | |

| Country of Citizenship | | Total | | | Rural | | Urban | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|--|
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 1,152,958 | 569,902 | 583,056 | 883,999 | 439,374 | 444,625 | 268,959 | 130,528 | 138,431 | |
| Tanzania | 1,151,762 | 569,254 | 582,508 | 883,136 | 438,932 | 444,204 | 268,626 | 130,322 | 138,304 | |
| Other East African Countries | 584 | 326 | 258 | 397 | 207 | 190 | 187 | 119 | 68 | |
| Other African Countries | 416 | 205 | 211 | 333 | 156 | 177 | 83 | 49 | 34 | |
| Countries Outside Africa | 196 | 117 | 79 | 133 | 79 | 54 | 63 | 38 | 25 | |

Chapter 7

Survival of Parents

Key Points

- About nine out of ten (87.8%) children under 18 years have both parents alive.
- About 12 percent (12.2%) children under 18 years are orphans with proportionately higher in rural areas (12.5%) than urban areas (10.9%).
- About eight percent (7.7%) of children under 18 years are orphans with one parent dead.
- About five percent (4.5%) of children under 18 years are orphans with both parent dead.
- Mlele District Council has the highest proportion (17.3%) of orphans than other councils in Lindi Region.

7.1 Introduction

This chapter presents information on survival of parents at regional levels. The information helps to determine the extent of orphanhood in a society for making evidence-based decisions. In Tanzania, an orphan is defined as a child under 18 years of age who has lost one or both parents². This definition is consistent with the United Nations definition.

The death of parents has a significant negative impact on the wellbeing and survival of young children, especially those who are young and most vulnerable (under 5 years old). The death of a mother if other factors remain unchanged, has a significant greater impact and is associated with 2 to 50 times increased risk of death among the under-5 children left behind³. Orphans with no family or community support face risky life situations including having no family social network; lacking food and healthcare and sometimes having to assume the role of heads of household and taking care of their younger siblings. Furthermore, information on survival of parents was collected from all children under 18 years with respect to a child's biological parents and not adopting or fostering parents.

² The law of Child Act Cap 56, 2019

³ Hani K. Atrash, M.D., M.P.H.1, Parents' Death and its Implications for Child Survival 2011; 21(3): 759–770.

7.2 Survival of Parents

The results indicate that 87.8 percent of all children under 18 years in Katavi Region have both parents alive. The percentage of children with both parents' alive decreases with increasing age from 91.6 percent for children under one year to 79.6 percent for children aged 17 years. The results further show that the percentage of children with 'father dead and mother alive' is higher (5.4%) than with 'father alive and mother dead' (2.3%). Patterns of survival of parents are similar for both male and female children (Tables 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3).

| Age | Children | Father | Alive | Fathe | r Alive | Fathe | r Dead | Fathe | r Dead |
|-------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Under 18 | Mother | Alive | Mothe | er Dead | Mothe | r Alive | Mothe | r Dead |
| | Years | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 645,510 | 566,950 | 87.8 | 14,765 | 2.3 | 34,738 | 5.4 | 29,057 | 4.5 |
| 0 | 43,141 | 39,504 | 91.6 | 613 | 1.4 | 1,221 | 2.8 | 1,803 | 4.2 |
| 1 | 41,440 | 37,910 | 91.5 | 612 | 1.5 | 1,234 | 3.0 | 1,684 | 4.1 |
| 2 | 43,839 | 39,720 | 90.6 | 716 | 1.6 | 1,484 | 3.4 | 1,919 | 4.4 |
| 3 | 44,977 | 40,752 | 90.6 | 723 | 1.6 | 1,578 | 3.5 | 1,924 | 4.3 |
| 4 | 44,697 | 39,933 | 89.3 | 842 | 1.9 | 1,972 | 4.4 | 1,950 | 4.4 |
| 5 | 40,922 | 36,653 | 89.6 | 776 | 1.9 | 1,756 | 4.3 | 1,737 | 4.2 |
| 6 | 40,363 | 36,019 | 89.2 | 808 | 2.0 | 1,833 | 4.5 | 1,703 | 4.2 |
| 7 | 40,024 | 35,550 | 88.8 | 817 | 2.0 | 1,934 | 4.8 | 1,723 | 4.3 |
| 8 | 38,091 | 33,585 | 88.2 | 876 | 2.3 | 1,992 | 5.2 | 1,638 | 4.3 |
| 9 | 36,942 | 32,376 | 87.6 | 830 | 2.2 | 2,179 | 5.9 | 1,557 | 4.2 |
| 10 | 35,349 | 30,760 | 87.0 | 929 | 2.6 | 2,139 | 6.1 | 1,521 | 4.3 |
| 11 | 28,542 | 24,928 | 87.3 | 742 | 2.6 | 1,756 | 6.2 | 1,116 | 3.9 |
| 12 | 36,965 | 31,642 | 85.6 | 1,065 | 2.9 | 2,640 | 7.1 | 1,618 | 4.4 |
| 13 | 29,464 | 24,935 | 84.6 | 942 | 3.2 | 2,309 | 7.8 | 1,278 | 4.3 |
| 14 | 31,758 | 26,567 | 83.7 | 1,035 | 3.3 | 2,546 | 8.0 | 1,610 | 5.1 |
| 15 | 23,160 | 19,224 | 83.0 | 765 | 3.3 | 1,942 | 8.4 | 1,229 | 5.3 |
| 16 | 22,859 | 18,596 | 81.4 | 786 | 3.4 | 2,041 | 8.9 | 1,436 | 6.3 |
| 17 | 22,977 | 18,296 | 79.6 | 888 | 3.9 | 2,182 | 9.5 | 1,611 | 7.0 |

Table 7. 1: Number and Percentage of Children Under 18 Years by Survival of Parents and Age; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table 7. 2: Number and Percentage Distribution of Male Children Under 18 Years bySurvival of Parents and Age; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Age | Male Children Under 18 | Father Mother | | Father Mother | | Father Mothe | | Father Mother | |
|-------|------------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | Years | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 321,988 | 282,307 | 87.7 | 7,514 | 2.3 | 17,471 | 5.4 | 14,696 | 4.6 |
| 0 | 21,257 | 19,476 | 91.6 | 301 | 1.4 | 607 | 2.9 | 873 | 4.1 |
| 1 | 20,672 | 18,893 | 91.4 | 298 | 1.4 | 625 | 3.0 | 856 | 4.1 |
| 2 | 21,891 | 19,838 | 90.6 | 355 | 1.6 | 743 | 3.4 | 955 | 4.4 |
| 3 | 22,179 | 20,052 | 90.4 | 377 | 1.7 | 800 | 3.6 | 950 | 4.3 |
| 4 | 22,072 | 19,731 | 89.4 | 411 | 1.9 | 972 | 4.4 | 958 | 4.3 |
| 5 | 20,601 | 18,387 | 89.3 | 402 | 2.0 | 899 | 4.4 | 913 | 4.4 |
| 6 | 19,887 | 17,725 | 89.1 | 412 | 2.1 | 875 | 4.4 | 875 | 4.4 |
| 7 | 20,088 | 17,806 | 88.6 | 415 | 2.1 | 999 | 5.0 | 868 | 4.3 |
| 8 | 18,667 | 16,392 | 87.8 | 440 | 2.4 | 1,000 | 5.4 | 835 | 4.5 |
| 9 | 17,673 | 15,490 | 87.6 | 378 | 2.1 | 1,053 | 6.0 | 752 | 4.3 |
| 10 | 18,064 | 15,618 | 86.5 | 496 | 2.7 | 1,102 | 6.1 | 848 | 4.7 |
| 11 | 14,376 | 12,508 | 87.0 | 371 | 2.6 | 919 | 6.4 | 578 | 4.0 |
| 12 | 18,640 | 15,915 | 85.4 | 565 | 3.0 | 1,307 | 7.0 | 853 | 4.6 |
| 13 | 14,779 | 12,464 | 84.3 | 489 | 3.3 | 1,161 | 7.9 | 665 | 4.5 |
| 14 | 16,252 | 13,529 | 83.2 | 555 | 3.4 | 1,306 | 8.0 | 862 | 5.3 |
| 15 | 11,721 | 9,754 | 83.2 | 393 | 3.4 | 954 | 8.1 | 620 | 5.3 |
| 16 | 11,376 | 9,269 | 81.5 | 386 | 3.4 | 1,034 | 9.1 | 687 | 6.0 |
| 17 | 11,793 | 9,460 | 80.2 | 470 | 4.0 | 1,115 | 9.5 | 748 | 6.3 |

Table 7. 3: Number and Percentage of Female Children Under 18 Years by Survival ofParents and Age; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Age | Female Children | Father Mother | | Father Mother | | Father Mother | | Father Mothe | r Dead r Dead |
|-------|--------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Under 18 Years | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 323,522 | 284,643 | 88.0 | 7,251 | 2.2 | 17,267 | 5.3 | 14,361 | 4.4 |
| 0 | 21,884 | 20,028 | 91.5 | 312 | 1.4 | 614 | 2.8 | 930 | 4.2 |
| 1 | 20,768 | 19,017 | 91.6 | 314 | 1.5 | 609 | 2.9 | 828 | 4.0 |
| 2 | 21,948 | 19,882 | 90.6 | 361 | 1.6 | 741 | 3.4 | 964 | 4.4 |
| 3 | 22,798 | 20,700 | 90.8 | 346 | 1.5 | 778 | 3.4 | 974 | 4.3 |
| 4 | 22,625 | 20,202 | 89.3 | 431 | 1.9 | 1,000 | 4.4 | 992 | 4.4 |
| 5 | 20,321 | 18,266 | 89.9 | 374 | 1.8 | 857 | 4.2 | 824 | 4.1 |
| 6 | 20,476 | 18,294 | 89.3 | 396 | 1.9 | 958 | 4.7 | 828 | 4.0 |
| 7 | 19,936 | 17,744 | 89.0 | 402 | 2.0 | 935 | 4.7 | 855 | 4.3 |
| 8 | 19,424 | 17,193 | 88.5 | 436 | 2.2 | 992 | 5.1 | 803 | 4.1 |
| 9 | 19,269 | 16,886 | 87.6 | 452 | 2.3 | 1,126 | 5.8 | 805 | 4.2 |
| 10 | 17,285 | 15,142 | 87.6 | 433 | 2.5 | 1,037 | 6.0 | 673 | 3.9 |
| 11 | 14,166 | 12,420 | 87.7 | 371 | 2.6 | 837 | 5.9 | 538 | 3.8 |
| 12 | 18,325 | 15,727 | 85.8 | 500 | 2.7 | 1,333 | 7.3 | 765 | 4.2 |
| 13 | 14,685 | 12,471 | 84.9 | 453 | 3.1 | 1,148 | 7.8 | 613 | 4.2 |
| 14 | 15,506 | 13,038 | 84.1 | 480 | 3.1 | 1,240 | 8.0 | 748 | 4.8 |
| 15 | 11,439 | 9,470 | 82.8 | 372 | 3.3 | 988 | 8.6 | 609 | 5.3 |
| 16 | 11,483 | 9,327 | 81.2 | 400 | 3.5 | 1,007 | 8.8 | 749 | 6.5 |
| 17 | 11,184 | 8,836 | 79.0 | 418 | 3.7 | 1,067 | 9.5 | 863 | 7.7 |

7.2.1 Survival of Parents by Council

The results from Table 7.4 show that survival of both parents for children under 18 years for all councils in Katavi Region ranges from 82.7 percent in Mlele District Council to 89.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal.

The results further show that Tanganyika District Council has the highest percentage (5.9%) of children with 'Father Dead and Mother Alive' compared with other councils while Mlele District Council has the highest percentage of children with 'Father Alive and Mother Dead' (2.9% as well as children with both parents dead (4.5%).

Table 7. 4: Number and Percentage Distribution of Children Under 18 Years by
Survival of Parents, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022
PHC

| Place of Residence | Children Under 18 | Father Alive Mother Alive | | Father Alive Mother Dead | | Father Mother | | Father Dead Mother Dead | |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
| | Years | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 645,510 | 566,950 | 87.8 | 14,765 | 2.3 | 34,738 | 5.4 | 29,057 | 4.5 |
| Rural | 509,270 | 445,604 | 87.5 | 11,852 | 2.3 | 27,403 | 5.4 | 24,411 | 4.8 |
| Urban | 136,240 | 121,346 | 89.1 | 2,913 | 2.1 | 7,335 | 5.4 | 4,646 | 3.4 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 124,235 | 110,745 | 89.1 | 2,625 | 2.1 | 6,688 | 5.4 | 4,177 | 3.4 |
| Nsimbo District | 112,328 | 100,580 | 89.5 | 2,164 | 1.9 | 5,631 | 5.0 | 3,953 | 3.5 |
| Tanganyika District | 215,697 | 188,516 | 87.4 | 5,428 | 2.5 | 12,774 | 5.9 | 8,979 | 4.2 |
| Mlele District | 67,120 | 55,540 | 82.7 | 1,943 | 2.9 | 3,372 | 5.0 | 6,265 | 9.3 |
| Mpimbwe District | 126,130 | 111,569 | 88.5 | 2,605 | 2.1 | 6,273 | 5.0 | 5,683 | 4.5 |

7.3 Orphanhood

The results from Figure 7.1 reveal that in Katavi Region, 12.2 percent of children are orphans. The results further show that about eight percent (7.7%) of the children lost either father or mother and about four percent (4.5%) lost both of their parents. The percentage of children who are orphans is higher in rural areas (12.5%) than urban areas (10.9%). The percentage of orphans varies across councils, ranging from 10.5 percent in Nsimbo District to 17.3 percent in Mlele District (Table 7.5 and Map 7.1).





Figure 7. 1: Orphanhood Status by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table 7. 5: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population Under 18 Years by
Orphanhood Status, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region,
2022 PHC


| Place of | Children Both Pa Under 18 Aliv | | | One Pare | ent Dead | Both P De | | At least One parent Dead | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Residence | Years | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 645,510 | 566,950 | 87.8 | 49,503 | 7.7 | 29,057 | 4.5 | 78,560 | 12.2 |
| Rural | 509,270 | 445,604 | 87.5 | 39,255 | 7.7 | 24,411 | 4.8 | 63,666 | 12.5 |
| Urban | 136,240 | 121,346 | 89.1 | 10,248 | 7.5 | 4,646 | 3.4 | 14,894 | 10.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda | 124,235 | 110,745 | 89.1 | 9,313 | 7.5 | 4,177 | 3.4 | 13,490 | 10.9 |
| Municipal | | | | | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 112,328 | 100,580 | 89.5 | 7,795 | 6.9 | 3,953 | 3.5 | 11,748 | 10.5 |
| Tanganyika | 215,697 | 188,516 | 87.4 | 18,202 | 8.4 | 8,979 | 4.2 | 27,181 | 12.6 |
| District | | | | | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 67,120 | 55,540 | 82.7 | 5,315 | 7.9 | 6,265 | 9.3 | 11,580 | 17.3 |
| Mpimbwe | 126,130 | 111,569 | 88.5 | 8,878 | 7.0 | 5,683 | 4.5 | 14,561 | 11.5 |
| District | | | | | | | | | |





Chapter 8

Education, Literacy and Numeracy



• About two percent (2.3%) of the population attained university education.

8.1 Introduction

Education is one of the most important factors in social and economic development of any society. This chapter presents the status of education, literacy and numeracy for the persons aged five years and above. It also provides information on school attendance, school dropout and education attainment for persons aged four years and above.

8.2 Literacy Literacy

Literacy is the ability to read and write with an understanding of a short simple sentence in any language. It excludes the ability to only write or sign one's own name or write memorised phrases. The literacy rate in 2022 PHC is measured for persons aged five years and above who could read and write in: Kiswahili only; English only; both Kiswahili and English or any other languages. No test was administered to verify those who were really literate.

The results show that out of 934,864 persons aged five years and above in Katavi Region, 591,891 persons (63.3 %) are literate. The literacy rate is higher among males (65.9%) than females (60.8%). The literacy rates are generally higher among young population compared with older population. The highest literacy rate of 75.8 percent is observed for age groups 25-29 years. The lowest Literacy rate is among persons aged 80 years and above about 32.9 percent. These results indicate a positive impact of the universal primary education and increased enrolment in secondary education resulting from free education programme (Table 8.1).

Literacy rate in urban areas is substantially higher (82.4%) than in rural areas (57.3%). Males are more literate than females in both rural and urban areas as presented in Tables 8.2, 8.3 and Figure 8.1.

| Age | | Population | | | Literate | | L | iteracy Rates | ; |
|---------|---------------|------------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| Group | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 934,864 | 461,831 | 473,033 | 591,891 | 304,350 | 287,541 | 63.3 | 65.9 | 60.8 |
| 5 - 9 | 196,342 | 96,916 | 99,426 | 72,400 | 33,731 | 38,669 | 36.9 | 34.8 | 38.9 |
| 10-14 | 162,078 | 82,111 | 79,967 | 113,431 | 55,541 | 57,890 | 70.0 | 67.6 | 72.4 |
| 15 - 19 | 113,841 | 55,696 | 58,145 | 83,629 | 41,543 | 42,086 | 73.5 | 74.6 | 72.4 |
| 20 - 24 | 100,380 | 44,774 | 55,606 | 71,808 | 33,337 | 38,471 | 71.5 | 74.5 | 69.2 |
| 25 - 29 | 85,164 | 40,140 | 45,024 | 64,540 | 32,139 | 32,401 | 75.8 | 80.1 | 72.0 |
| 30 - 34 | 68,823 | 34,687 | 34,136 | 50,699 | 27,707 | 22,992 | 73.7 | 79.9 | 67.4 |
| 35 - 39 | 53,188 | 27,094 | 26,094 | 36,687 | 20,721 | 15,966 | 69.0 | 76.5 | 61.2 |
| 40 - 44 | 41,381 | 21,646 | 19,735 | 28,954 | 16,634 | 12,320 | 70.0 | 76.8 | 62.4 |
| 45 - 49 | 32,576 | 17,408 | 15,168 | 22,548 | 13,326 | 9,222 | 69.2 | 76.6 | 60.8 |
| 50 - 54 | 24,429 | 12,828 | 11,601 | 16,712 | 9,862 | 6,850 | 68.4 | 76.9 | 59.0 |
| 55 - 59 | 15,227 | 8,065 | 7,162 | 10,254 | 6,339 | 3,915 | 67.3 | 78.6 | 54.7 |
| 60 - 64 | 14,710 | 7,631 | 7,079 | 8,482 | 5,595 | 2,887 | 57.7 | 73.3 | 40.8 |
| 65 - 69 | 8,795 | 4,508 | 4,287 | 4,613 | 3,123 | 1,490 | 52.5 | 69.3 | 34.8 |
| 70 - 74 | 7,613 | 3,738 | 3,875 | 3,390 | 2,326 | 1,064 | 44.5 | 62.2 | 27.5 |
| 75 - 79 | 3,929 | 1,934 | 1,995 | 1,645 | 1,141 | 504 | 41.9 | 59.0 | 25.3 |
| 80+ | 6,388 | 2,655 | 3,733 | 2,099 | 1,285 | 814 | 32.9 | 48.4 | 21.8 |

Table 8. 1: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Five Years and Above by Literacy Rate, Sex and Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table 8. 1: Number and Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Five Years and
Above by Literacy Rate, Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Rural, 2022
PHC


| A | Р | opulation | | | Literate | | Literacy Rates | | | |
|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|---------|----------------|------|--------|--|
| Age Group | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 711,303 | 353,788 | 357,515 | 407,752 | 213,087 | 194,665 | 57.3 | 60.2 | 54.4 | |
| 5 – 9 | 156,017 | 77,136 | 78,881 | 48,327 | 22,229 | 26,098 | 31.0 | 28.8 | 33.1 | |
| 10- 14 | 127,937 | 65,509 | 62,428 | 82,925 | 40,888 | 42,037 | 64.8 | 62.4 | 67.3 | |
| 15 – 19 | 86,574 | 42,936 | 43,638 | 58,790 | 29,861 | 28,929 | 67.9 | 69.5 | 66.3 | |
| 20 – 24 | 74,003 | 33,434 | 40,569 | 48,263 | 23,034 | 25,229 | 65.2 | 68.9 | 62.2 | |
| 25 – 29 | 60,576 | 28,694 | 31,882 | 42,268 | 21,482 | 20,786 | 69.8 | 74.9 | 65.2 | |
| 30 – 34 | 49,121 | 24,802 | 24,319 | 33,255 | 18,566 | 14,689 | 67.7 | 74.9 | 60.4 | |
| 35 – 39 | 38,610 | 19,579 | 19,031 | 24,284 | 13,928 | 10,356 | 62.9 | 71.1 | 54.4 | |
| 40 – 44 | 30,457 | 15,922 | 14,535 | 19,729 | 11,477 | 8,252 | 64.8 | 72.1 | 56.8 | |
| 45 – 49 | 24,617 | 13,077 | 11,540 | 15,875 | 9,453 | 6,422 | 64.5 | 72.3 | 55.6 | |
| 50 – 54 | 18,869 | 9,845 | 9,024 | 12,055 | 7,201 | 4,854 | 63.9 | 73.1 | 53.8 | |
| 55 – 59 | 11,861 | 6,374 | 5,487 | 7,444 | 4,789 | 2,655 | 62.8 | 75.1 | 48.4 | |
| 60 - 64 | 11,551 | 6,088 | 5,463 | 6,103 | 4,215 | 1,888 | 52.8 | 69.2 | 34.6 | |
| 65 – 69 | 6,897 | 3,601 | 3,296 | 3,328 | 2,368 | 960 | 48.3 | 65.8 | 29.1 | |
| 70 – 74 | 6,004 | 3,035 | 2,969 | 2,433 | 1,767 | 666 | 40.5 | 58.2 | 22.4 | |
| 75 – 79 | 3,136 | 1,593 | 1,543 | 1,198 | 887 | 311 | 38.2 | 55.7 | 20.2 | |
| 80+ | 5,073 | 2,163 | 2,910 | 1,475 | 942 | 533 | 29.1 | 43.6 | 18.3 | |

Table 8. 2: Number and Percentage Population Aged Five Years and Above byLiteracy Rate, Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC

| | Р | opulation | | | Literate | | Literacy Rates | | | |
|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|--------|----------------|------|--------|--|
| Age Group | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 223,561 | 108,043 | 115,518 | 184,139 | 91,263 | 92,876 | 82.4 | 84.5 | 80.4 | |
| 5 – 9 | 40,325 | 19,780 | 20,545 | 24,073 | 11,502 | 12,571 | 59.7 | 58.1 | 61.2 | |
| 10 - 14 | 34,141 | 16,602 | 17,539 | 30,506 | 14,653 | 15,853 | 89.4 | 88.3 | 90.4 | |
| 15 – 19 | 27,267 | 12,760 | 14,507 | 24,839 | 11,682 | 13,157 | 91.1 | 91.6 | 90.7 | |
| 20 – 24 | 26,377 | 11,340 | 15,037 | 23,545 | 10,303 | 13,242 | 89.3 | 90.9 | 88.1 | |
| 25 – 29 | 24,588 | 11,446 | 13,142 | 22,272 | 10,657 | 11,615 | 90.6 | 93.1 | 88.4 | |
| 30 – 34 | 19,702 | 9,885 | 9,817 | 17,444 | 9,141 | 8,303 | 88.5 | 92.5 | 84.6 | |
| 35 – 39 | 14,578 | 7,515 | 7,063 | 12,403 | 6,793 | 5,610 | 85.1 | 90.4 | 79.4 | |
| 40 – 44 | 10,924 | 5,724 | 5,200 | 9,225 | 5,157 | 4,068 | 84.4 | 90.1 | 78.2 | |
| 45 – 49 | 7,959 | 4,331 | 3,628 | 6,673 | 3,873 | 2,800 | 83.8 | 89.4 | 77.2 | |
| 50 – 54 | 5,560 | 2,983 | 2,577 | 4,657 | 2,661 | 1,996 | 83.8 | 89.2 | 77.5 | |
| 55 – 59 | 3,366 | 1,691 | 1,675 | 2,810 | 1,550 | 1,260 | 83.5 | 91.7 | 75.2 | |
| 60 – 64 | 3,159 | 1,543 | 1,616 | 2,379 | 1,380 | 999 | 75.3 | 89.4 | 61.8 | |
| 65 – 69 | 1,898 | 907 | 991 | 1,285 | 755 | 530 | 67.7 | 83.2 | 53.5 | |
| 70 – 74 | 1,609 | 703 | 906 | 957 | 559 | 398 | 59.5 | 79.5 | 43.9 | |
| 75 – 79 | 793 | 341 | 452 | 447 | 254 | 193 | 56.4 | 74.5 | 42.7 | |
| 80+ | 1,315 | 492 | 823 | 624 | 343 | 281 | 47.5 | 69.7 | 34.1 | |

81





8.2.1 Literacy for Selected Age Groups

The results show that youth (using national and international definition) have literacy rates above 73.2 percent and the rate is the highest among population aged 4 years and above (74.2%). Literacy rates are higher in urban than in rural areas, and for most of the selected age groups, males are more literate than females in both rural and urban areas.

Literacy rate among population in primary school age in Katavi Region is 70.6 percent and among secondary school age population is 69.8 percent (Table 8.4).

| Table 8. 3: Literacy Rates by Place of Residence, Sex an | Id Selected Age Groups; |
|--|-------------------------|
| Katavi Region, 2022 PHC | |

| Selected Age Group | | Total | | | Rural | | Urban | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Youth Population | | | | | | | | | |
| Teenagers (13–19 years) | 61.0 | 63.5 | 58.6 | 55.1 | 57.9 | 52.3 | 80.0 | 82.0 | 78.2 |
| Youth Population (15–24 years) | 73.2 | 73.0 | 73.4 | 67.9 | 68.0 | 67.8 | 91.0 | 90.9 | 91.0 |
| Youth Population (15–35 years) | 72.6 | 74.5 | 70.8 | 66.7 | 69.3 | 64.3 | 90.2 | 91.2 | 89.4 |
| Other Population Groups | | | | | | | | | |
| Working Age Population (15-64 years) | 52.4 | 50.3 | 54.4 | 46.3 | 44.2 | 48.5 | 75.3 | 74.0 | 76.5 |
| Elderly population (60+ years) | 59.2 | 57.0 | 61.4 | 53.2 | 50.9 | 55.6 | 81.7 | 80.5 | 82.8 |
| Population Aged 4 years and above | 74.2 | 73.3 | 75.2 | 69.1 | 68.4 | 69.9 | 91.4 | 91.1 | 91.7 |
| Population Aged 14+ years and above | 71.7 | 76.8 | 66.9 | 66.0 | 71.7 | 60.4 | 88.0 | 91.3 | 84.9 |


Selected Age Group Total Rural Urban Both Male Female Both Male Female Both Male Female Sexes Sexes Sexes Adult Population Aged 18+ years and above 48.8 65.8 32.2 44.5 61.8 26.9 64.9 82.6 50.1 School Age Population Primary School (7-13 years) 70.6 75.7 65.6 64.9 70.7 59.2 87.0 90.8 83.6 Secondary School (14-17 years) 69.8 76.2 63.7 64.0 71.2 57.1 86.3 90.7 82.2

Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile, 2022 PHC

8.2.2 Adult Literacy

The results show that, adult literacy rate for persons aged 15 years and above is 70.4 percent. The literacy rate is higher among males (76.1%) than females (65.0%). Furthermore, results reveal that in urban areas the adult literacy rate is higher (86.9%) than in rural areas (64.7%). Males are more literate than females in both rural and urban areas (Figure 8.2).

Figure 8. 2: Adult Literacy Rates by Sex and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC



Literacy rates among adults increased from 65.7 percent in 2012 to 70.4 percent in 2022. Results also indicate that, the increase in adult literacy rate is more pronounced among females (6.7 percentage point difference) than males (2.5 percentage point difference) (Figure 8.3).





Figure 8. 3: Adult Literacy Rates by Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs

Adult literacy rates vary across councils, ranging from 58.0 percent in Mpimbwe District Council to 86.7 percent in Mpanda Municipal. (Map 8.1).





The results reveal that, adult literacy rates increased from 65.7 in 2012 to 70.4 percent in 2022 censuses with an overall of percentage point of 4.7 percent. Across councils, the highest percentage point change is 13.6 percent in Mlele District Council (Table 8.5).

| Table 8. 4: Literacy Rates for Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex, Place of |
|---|
| Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs |

| | | | Literad | cy Rate | | | Demonsterne Delinte | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|------|---------|---------------|-----------|--------|---------------------|------|--------|--|
| Place of Residence | 2012 Census | | | 2 | 022 Censu | S | Percentage Points | | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 65.7 | 73.6 | 58.3 | 70.4 | 76.1 | 65.0 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 6.7 | |
| Rural | 72.7 | 75 | 70.6 | 64.7 | 71.0 | 58.5 | -8 | -4 | -12.1 | |
| Urban | 69.3 | 75.8 | 63.8 | 86.9 | 90.9 | 83.2 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 19.4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 80.0 | 86.6 | 73.8 | 86.7 | 90.6 | 83.1 | 6.7 | 4 | 9.3 | |
| Nsimbo District | 63.8 | 71.8 | 56.0 | 71.1 | 77.2 | 65.0 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 9 | |


| | | | Demonstran Deinte | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------|--------|--|
| Place of Residence | 2012 Census | | | 2 | 022 Censu | S | Percentage Points | | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Tanganyika District | 62.0 | 71.5 | 52.2 | 67.5 | 73.2 | 62.0 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 9.8 | |
| Mlele District | 48.3 | 57.5 | 39.7 | 61.9 | 68.3 | 55.7 | 13.6 | 10.8 | 16 | |
| Mpimbwe District | 69.3 | 76.3 | 62.6 | 58.0 | 64.9 | 51.6 | -11.3 | -11.4 | -11 | |

8.2.3 Adult Literacy in Different Languages

The results in Table 8.6 and Figure 8.4 show that in Katavi Region, literacy rate is the highest in Kiswahili only (58.4%), followed by literacy in both English and Kiswahili (10.6%) and is lower for other languages (0.2%). In addition, results show that from age 30 years to age 50 years, illiteracy rates increase with age and slightly decrease to age group 55 - 59 years and then increase with age from age 60 years.

Table 8. 5: Percentage Distribution of Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above byLiteracy Status and Five Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | Literacy | Status | | | |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|
| | | Literac | y In | | | | |
| Age Group | Kiswahili Only | English Only | Both English and Kiswahili | Other Language(s) | Total Literate | Illiterate | Total |
| Total | 58.4 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 0.2 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 576,444 |
| 15 – 19 | 55.9 | 1.1 | 16.2 | 0.2 | 73.5 | 26.5 | 113,841 |
| 20 – 24 | 56.9 | 1.6 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 100,380 |
| 25 – 29 | 62.8 | 1.2 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 75.8 | 24.2 | 85,164 |
| 30 – 34 | 60.2 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 73.7 | 26.3 | 68,823 |
| 35 – 39 | 60.2 | 1.1 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 69.0 | 31.0 | 53,188 |
| 40 – 44 | 63.1 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 41,381 |
| 45 – 49 | 62.8 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 32,576 |
| 50 – 54 | 62.3 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 68.4 | 31.6 | 24,429 |
| 55 – 59 | 61.2 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 67.3 | 32.7 | 15,227 |
| 60 – 64 | 51.8 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 14,710 |
| 65 – 69 | 46.5 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 52.5 | 47.5 | 8,795 |
| 70 – 74 | 39.6 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 44.5 | 55.5 | 7,613 |
| 75 – 79 | 37.2 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 41.9 | 58.1 | 3,929 |
| 80+ | 29.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 32.9 | 67.1 | 6,388 |






Table 8.7, Figures 8.5 and 8.6 show that, the percentage of individuals who are literate in Kiswahili only and those who are literate in both Kiswahili and English is higher in urban (65.7%) and (20.0%) respectively than in rural areas (55.9%) and (7.3%) respectively. The percentage of those who are literate in English only is relatively the same in both rural and Urban areas (1.2%).

| | | | Literacy | / Status | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|
| | | Litera | icy In | | | | |
| Place of Residence/ Sex | Kiswahili only | English Only | Both English and Kiswahili | Other Language(s) | Total Literate | Illiterate | Total |
| Total | 58.4 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 0.2 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 576,444 |
| Male | 62.1 | 1.2 | 12.6 | 0.2 | 76.1 | 23.9 | 282,804 |
| Female | 55.0 | 1.2 | 8.6 | 0.2 | 65.0 | 35.0 | 293,640 |
| Rural | 55.9 | 1.3 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 427,349 |
| Male | 60.7 | 1.2 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 71.0 | 29.0 | 211,143 |
| Female | 51.2 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 58.5 | 41.5 | 216,206 |
| Urban | 65.7 | 1.0 | 20.0 | 0.1 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 149,095 |
| Male | 59.5 | 0.4 | 35.4 | 0.5 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 207,555 |
| Female | 62.2 | 0.4 | 29.6 | 0.1 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 228,216 |

Table 8. 6: Literacy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by LiteracyStatus, Place of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC






Figure 8. 6: Literacy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above; Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC




Table 8.8 shows that adult illiteracy levels differ across councils with Mpimbwe District Council having the highest illiteracy rate (42.0%). The council with lowest illiteracy rate is Mpanda Municipal (13.3%).

| | Literacy Status | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Place of | | Litera | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residence | Kiswahili only | English Only | Both English and Kiswahili | Other Language(s) | Total Literate | Illiterate | Total | | | | | |
| Total | 58.4 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 0.2 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 576,444 | | | | | |
| Rural | 55.9 | 1.3 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 427,349 | | | | | |
| Urban | 65.7 | 1.0 | 20.0 | 0.1 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 149,095 | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | 576,444 | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 65.7 | 0.9 | 19.9 | 0.1 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 136,750 | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 61.7 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 0.2 | 71.1 | 28.9 | 101,175 | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 59.7 | 1.6 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 67.5 | 32.5 | 177,896 | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 51.8 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 58,307 | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 47.2 | 1.4 | 9.1 | 0.3 | 58.0 | 42.0 | 102,316 | | | | | |

Table 8. 7: Literacy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of
Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

8.3 Numeracy

Numeracy is the ability to access, use, interpret and communicate simple mathematical information and ideas, to engage in and manage mathematical demands for a range of situations in adult life. Basic numeracy skills consist of comprehending fundamental arithmetic operations such as addition, subtraction, multiplication and division.


Numeracy rate in this chapter is defined as the percentage of a population aged five years and above who can perform simple arithmetic calculations. No test was administered to verify those who were numerate. The questions on numeracy were asked in private households only.

Out of 961,340 persons aged 5 years and above, 588,088 persons (61.2%) are numerate. The numeracy rate is higher among males (62.5%) than females (59.9%). It is also noted that numeracy rates are higher (76.9%) for youth aged 30-34 years and is relatively low (8.1%) for young population (5 -9 years). Numeracy rate is higher (77.7%) in urban areas than in rural areas (58.0%). Furthermore, the rate is higher among males than females in both rural and urban areas (Table 8.9 and Figure 8.7).

Table 8. 8: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Five Years and Above by Numeracy Rate, Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Age | | Total | | | Rural | | Urban | | | |
|---------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|--|
| Group | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 61.2 | 62.5 | 59.9 | 56.3 | 58.0 | 54.7 | 76.7 | 77.7 | 75.8 | |
| 5-9 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 13.2 | |
| 10-14 | 33.7 | 31.6 | 35.8 | 28.9 | 26.8 | 31.0 | 52.0 | 50.0 | 53.9 | |
| 15 – 19 | 68.1 | 66.0 | 70.3 | 63.7 | 61.6 | 65.9 | 84.6 | 83.1 | 86.0 | |
| 20 – 24 | 73.1 | 73.8 | 72.4 | 68.6 | 69.7 | 67.6 | 87.4 | 87.7 | 87.1 | |
| 25 – 29 | 72.8 | 74.5 | 71.4 | 67.8 | 70.0 | 66.0 | 86.7 | 87.9 | 85.8 | |
| 30 – 34 | 76.9 | 79.8 | 74.4 | 72.3 | 75.8 | 69.2 | 88.4 | 90.0 | 87.1 | |
| 35 – 39 | 76.0 | 80.4 | 71.6 | 71.4 | 76.6 | 66.2 | 87.5 | 90.0 | 84.9 | |
| 40 – 44 | 73.5 | 78.2 | 68.8 | 69.1 | 74.1 | 64.2 | 85.2 | 88.8 | 81.4 | |
| 45 – 49 | 74.7 | 79.1 | 70.0 | 70.8 | 75.6 | 65.7 | 85.5 | 88.8 | 81.9 | |
| 50 – 54 | 74.0 | 79.1 | 68.2 | 70.5 | 75.9 | 64.6 | 84.7 | 89.0 | 79.6 | |
| 55 – 59 | 72.5 | 77.9 | 66.7 | 69.2 | 75.0 | 62.9 | 84.0 | 87.5 | 80.1 | |
| 60 - 64 | 71.6 | 79.4 | 63.0 | 68.2 | 76.6 | 58.6 | 83.9 | 90.2 | 77.6 | |
| 65 – 69 | 64.6 | 76.4 | 52.0 | 60.8 | 73.3 | 47.0 | 78.7 | 88.8 | 69.1 | |
| 70 – 74 | 60.4 | 73.0 | 47.4 | 57.5 | 70.3 | 43.7 | 71.1 | 83.7 | 59.8 | |
| 75–79 | 53.4 | 67.4 | 40.1 | 50.6 | 65.2 | 35.9 | 64.0 | 77.1 | 54.0 | |
| 80+ | 51.2 | 65.3 | 37.6 | 48.5 | 62.0 | 34.6 | 62.0 | 80.7 | 48.1 | |

Figure 8. 7: Numeracy Rates for Persons Aged Five Years and Above by Sex, and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC



The results reveal that numeracy rate for the youth aged 15–35 years is 85.9 percent. The rate is slightly higher (86.6%) for males than females (85.3%) whereas among primary school group the rate is low (74.2%). Numeracy rate for working age population (15-64

years) is higher (91.3%) in urban than in rural areas (80.8%). A similar pattern is observed for other selected age groups.

Results reveal that numeracy rate for persons in the Primary school age (7-13 years) in Katavi Region is higher (76.2%) for females than for males (72.1%). A similar pattern is observed in rural and urban areas (Table 8.10).

| Table 8. 9: Numeracy Rates for Selected Age Groups by Place of Residence and Sex; |
|---|
| Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |

| | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
|---|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|
| Selected Age Group | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Youth Population | | | | | | | | | |
| Teenagers (13–19 years) | 85.3 | 84.4 | 86.3 | 81.0 | 80.3 | 81.8 | 92.1 | 91.5 | 92.6 |
| Youth Population (15–24 years) | 85.5 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 80.8 | 81.1 | 80.5 | 92.1 | 91.9 | 92.3 |
| Youth Population (15–35 years) | 85.9 | 86.6 | 85.3 | 81.2 | 82.5 | 80.0 | 92.1 | 92.3 | 91.9 |
| School Age Population | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary School (7–13 years) | 74.2 | 72.1 | 76.2 | 68.1 | 65.7 | 70.5 | 85.5 | 84.3 | 86.7 |
| Secondary School (14-17 years) | 85.8 | 84.6 | 87.1 | 81.6 | 80.6 | 82.8 | 92.4 | 91.8 | 93.1 |
| Other Selected Groups | | | | | | | | | |
| Working Age Population (15-64 years) | 85.2 | 87.0 | 83.5 | 80.8 | 83.3 | 78.3 | 91.3 | 92.2 | 90.4 |
| Elderly population (60+ years) | 69.8 | 81.3 | 59.4 | 65.9 | 78.2 | 54.6 | 77.1 | 87.1 | 68.2 |
| Population Aged 14+ years and above | 83.8 | 86.3 | 81.6 | 79.3 | 82.6 | 76.2 | 90.3 | 91.8 | 88.9 |
| Adult Population Aged 18+ years and above | 83.5 | 86.5 | 80.7 | 79.0 | 82.9 | 75.2 | 89.9 | 91.8 | 88.2 |

8.3.1 Adult Numeracy Rates


Numeracy rate for persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region is 72.9 percent and is higher (85.6%) in urban areas than in rural areas (68.4%). The numeracy rate is higher for males than females across every council. The rates vary across councils ranging from 61.3 percent in Mpimbwe District to 86.2 percent in Mpanda Municipal (Table 8.11 and Map 8.2).

Table 8. 10: Numeracy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex,Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Place of Residence | F | opulation | | | Numerate | | Numeracy Rates | | | |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|---------|----------------|------|--------|--|
| Place of Residence | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 562,111 | 273,095 | 289,016 | 409,571 | 209,649 | 199,922 | 72.9 | 76.8 | 69.2 | |
| Rural | 417,418 | 204,400 | 213,018 | 285,670 | 148,876 | 136,794 | 68.4 | 72.8 | 64.2 | |
| Urban | 144,693 | 68,695 | 75,998 | 123,901 | 60,773 | 63,128 | 85.6 | 88.5 | 83.1 | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 133,001 | 63,723 | 69,278 | 114,691 | 56,701 | 57,990 | 86.2 | 89.0 | 83.7 | |

| Place of Residence | P | opulation | | ١ | Numerate | Numeracy Rates | | | |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|--------|------------|----------|----------------|------------|------|--------|
| Place of Residence | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Nsimbo District | 97,341 | 47,683 | 49,658 | 73,204 | 37,885 | 35,319 | 75.2 | 79.5 | 71.1 |
| Tanganyika District | 175,216 | 85,959 | 89,257 | 125,331 | 64,537 | 60,794 | 71.5 | 75.1 | 68.1 |
| Mlele District | 55,037 | 27,100 | 27,937 | 34,144 | 18,148 | 15,996 | 62.0 | 67.0 | 57.3 |
| Mpimbwe District | 101,516 | 48,630 | 52,886 | 62,201 | 32,378 | 29,823 | 61.3 | 66.6 | 56.4 |

Map 8. 2: Numeracy Rates for Adult Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC



8.4 Education

This section provides information on school attendance status, education attainment, net and gross school enrolment in Katavi Region.


8.4.1 School Attendance Status

School attendance refers to attendance at any regular authorised or licensed educational institution or programme for organised learning at any level of education at the time of the

census. Informal training in particular skills, which is not part of the recognised educational structure was not considered under school attendance.

Results show that, out of 979,561 persons aged four years and above, 25.4 percent have completed school at different levels of education system, 25.3 percent are attending school, 13.8 percent have dropped out and 35.5 percent have never been to school. Percentage of females who have never been to school is higher (38.0%) compared with males (33.0%). Furthermore, results show that percentage of dropouts is higher (15.2%) among males than females (12.4%) (Figure 8.8).





More than half (64.6%) of the primary school population age (7-13) are attending school and 30.0 percent had never been to school. Among secondary school population aged 14-17 years, 46.3 percent are attending, 23.0 percent had never been to school, while 12.3 percent had completed school. The rate of dropouts is higher among secondary school age population (18.4%) than primary school age population (4.8%) (Table 8.12).

| Table 8. 11: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Se | ex, School Attendance Status and Age; Katavi |
|--|--|
| Region, 2022 PHC | |

| | Both Sexes | | | | | | | Male | | | Female | | | | | |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| Age | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | |
| Total | 979,561 | 25.3 | 13.8 | 25.4 | 35.5 | 483,903 | 25.0 | 15.2 | 26.8 | 33.0 | 495,658 | 25.6 | 12.4 | 24.0 | 38.0 | |
| 4 | 44,697 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 91.5 | 22,072 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 92.3 | 22,625 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 90.6 | |
| 5 | 40,922 | 21.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 77.8 | 20,601 | 20.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 79.5 | 20,321 | 23.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 76.1 | |
| 6 | 40,363 | 37.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 62.0 | 19,887 | 35.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 64.5 | 20,476 | 39.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 59.6 | |
| 4-6 | 125,982 | 22.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 77.6 | 62,560 | 20.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 79.3 | 63,422 | 23.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 76.0 | |
| 7 | 40,024 | 53.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 46.0 | 20,088 | 50.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 48.5 | 19,936 | 55.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 43.4 | |
| 8 | 38,091 | 62.8 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 35.6 | 18,667 | 59.9 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 38.4 | 19,424 | 65.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 33.0 | |
| 9 | 36,942 | 68.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 28.6 | 17,673 | 66.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 30.6 | 19,269 | 70.4 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 26.8 | |
| 10 | 35,349 | 69.2 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 26.4 | 18,064 | 66.7 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 28.4 | 17,285 | 71.7 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 24.3 | |
| 11 | 28,542 | 71.3 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 22.1 | 14,376 | 69.4 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 23.2 | 14,166 | 73.2 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 21.0 | |
| 12 | 36,965 | 66.7 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 23.5 | 18,640 | 64.5 | 9.9 | 1.1 | 24.5 | 18,325 | 68.9 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 22.5 | |
| 13 | 29,464 | 63.1 | 12.2 | 1.9 | 22.8 | 14,779 | 60.4 | 13.6 | 1.6 | 24.4 | 14,685 | 65.8 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 21.2 | |
| 7-13 | 245,377 | 64.6 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 30.0 | 122,287 | 62.2 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 31.9 | 123,090 | 67.0 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 28.1 | |
| 14 | 31,758 | 55.8 | 15.0 | 5.5 | 23.7 | 16,252 | 53.2 | 16.5 | 4.7 | 25.6 | 15,506 | 58.5 | 13.5 | 6.3 | 21.7 | |
| 15 | 23,160 | 50.5 | 17.5 | 10.6 | 21.5 | 11,721 | 49.0 | 19.7 | 9.0 | 22.3 | 11,439 | 52.0 | 15.3 | 12.1 | 20.6 | |
| 16 | 22,859 | 42.5 | 19.9 | 15.2 | 22.4 | 11,376 | 42.5 | 22.0 | 13.6 | 21.9 | 11,483 | 42.5 | 17.7 | 16.8 | 23.0 | |
| 17 | 22,977 | 32.5 | 22.8 | 20.8 | 24.0 | 11,793 | 33.6 | 25.2 | 18.7 | 22.5 | 11,184 | 31.3 | 20.2 | 22.9 | 25.6 | |
| 14 - 17 | 100,754 | 46.3 | 18.4 | 12.3 | 23.0 | 51,142 | 45.3 | 20.4 | 10.9 | 23.3 | 49,612 | 47.2 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 22.6 | |
| 18 | 25,148 | 20.4 | 23.8 | 27.1 | 28.7 | 11,780 | 24.2 | 26.3 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 13,368 | 17.0 | 21.7 | 29.5 | 31.9 | |
| 19 | 19,697 | 14.4 | 25.8 | 32.3 | 27.4 | 9,026 | 19.5 | 27.5 | 28.8 | 24.3 | 10,671 | 10.2 | 24.5 | 35.3 | 30.0 | |
| 18 - 19 | 44,845 | 17.8 | 24.7 | 29.4 | 28.1 | 20,806 | 22.2 | 26.8 | 26.3 | 24.7 | 24,039 | 14.0 | 22.9 | 32.1 | 31.1 | |
| 20 | 25,283 | 7.5 | 25.1 | 34.8 | 32.6 | 11,044 | 10.9 | 27.6 | 32.2 | 29.3 | 14,239 | 4.9 | 23.2 | 36.7 | 35.2 | |
| 21 | 14,741 | 6.4 | 27.0 | 40.8 | 25.8 | 6,680 | 9.3 | 30.1 | 37.2 | 23.4 | 8,061 | 4.0 | 24.3 | 43.8 | 27.9 | |
| 22 | 26,502 | 3.9 | 27.6 | 41.7 | 26.8 | 11,554 | 6.1 | 31.4 | 38.1 | 24.3 | 14,948 | 2.2 | 24.6 | 44.6 | 28.7 | |
| 23 | 17,038 | 2.7 | 26.0 | 47.4 | 24.0 | 7,693 | 4.0 | 30.1 | 43.9 | 22.0 | 9,345 | 1.6 | 22.5 | 50.3 | 25.6 | |
| 24 | 16,816 | 1.8 | 24.4 | 49.0 | 24.8 | 7,803 | 2.7 | 28.4 | 46.5 | 22.4 | 9,013 | 1.1 | 20.8 | 51.2 | 26.9 | |
| 20 - 24 | 100,380 | 4.6 | 26.1 | 42.0 | 27.3 | 44,774 | 6.8 | 29.6 | 39.0 | 24.7 | 55,606 | 2.8 | 23.2 | 44.5 | 29.4 | |

| | Both Sexes | | | | | | Male | | | | | Female | | | | |
|-----|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| Age | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | |
| 25+ | 362,223 | 0.6 | 18.5 | 49.5 | 31.4 | 182,334 | 0.8 | 20.6 | 55.0 | 23.7 | 179,889 | 0.5 | 16.3 | 44.0 | 39.2 | |

In Katavi Region, the percentage of persons aged four years and above who had never been to school in rural areas is more than twice (41.1%) that of urban (17.6%). Likewise, dropouts are more common in rural (14.1%) than in urban areas (12.9%). However, the proportion of those who completed school is higher (37.1%) in urban areas than in rural areas (21.7%) (Figure 8.9, Table 8.13 and 8.14).




Figure 8. 9: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table 8. 12: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance Status and Age; KataviRural, 2022 PHC

| | | | Both Sexes | | | | | Male | | | | | Female | | |
|---------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Age | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended |
| Total | 747,038 | 23.1 | 14.1 | 21.7 | 41.1 | 371,419 | 22.7 | 15.9 | 23.2 | 38.3 | 375,619 | 23.5 | 12.3 | 20.3 | 43.9 |
| 4 | 35,735 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 94.0 | 17,631 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 94.7 | 18,104 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 93.3 |
| 5 | 32,625 | 16.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 83.4 | 16,433 | 14.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 84.9 | 16,192 | 17.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 81.9 |
| 6 | 32,158 | 30.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 69.0 | 15,851 | 27.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 71.5 | 16,307 | 32.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 66.7 |
| 5-6 | 100,518 | 17.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 82.6 | 49,915 | 15.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 84.1 | 50,603 | 18.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 81.1 |
| 7 | 31,810 | 46.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 52.7 | 16,045 | 43.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 55.6 | 15,765 | 49.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 49.8 |
| 8 | 30,175 | 56.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 41.6 | 14,831 | 53.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 44.6 | 15,344 | 59.9 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 38.6 |
| 9 | 29,249 | 63.5 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 33.5 | 13,976 | 61.1 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 35.9 | 15,273 | 65.6 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 31.3 |
| 10 | 27,956 | 63.9 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 31.3 | 14,385 | 61.1 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 33.6 | 13,571 | 66.8 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 28.8 |
| 11 | 22,602 | 66.6 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 26.1 | 11,465 | 64.8 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 27.1 | 11,137 | 68.5 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 25.2 |
| 12 | 29,125 | 61.6 | 9.8 | 1.0 | 27.6 | 14,854 | 59.3 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 28.6 | 14,271 | 64.1 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 26.6 |
| 13 | 23,168 | 57.8 | 13.4 | 1.7 | 27.1 | 11,783 | 54.9 | 14.9 | 1.5 | 28.7 | 11,385 | 60.8 | 11.8 | 1.9 | 25.5 |
| 7-13 | 194,085 | 59.1 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 35.1 | 97,339 | 56.4 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 37.1 | 96,746 | 61.8 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 33.0 |
| 14 | 25,086 | 50.9 | 16.1 | 5.1 | 27.8 | 13,022 | 48.1 | 17.7 | 4.5 | 29.7 | 12,064 | 54.0 | 14.5 | 5.8 | 25.8 |
| 15 | 17,772 | 45.0 | 19.2 | 10.0 | 25.8 | 9,200 | 43.5 | 21.3 | 8.6 | 26.5 | 8,572 | 46.6 | 16.8 | 11.5 | 25.1 |
| 16 | 17,531 | 37.3 | 21.2 | 14.5 | 26.9 | 8,832 | 37.0 | 23.7 | 13.2 | 26.1 | 8,699 | 37.5 | 18.7 | 16.0 | 27.8 |
| 17 | 17,317 | 27.0 | 24.4 | 19.4 | 29.2 | 9,030 | 28.3 | 26.9 | 17.8 | 27.0 | 8,287 | 25.5 | 21.6 | 21.2 | 31.7 |
| 14 - 17 | 77,706 | 41.2 | 19.8 | 11.6 | 27.5 | 40,084 | 40.2 | 21.9 | 10.4 | 27.6 | 37,622 | 42.2 | 17.6 | 12.8 | 27.4 |
| 18 | 19,217 | 16.4 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 34.3 | 9,038 | 19.9 | 27.6 | 22.7 | 29.8 | 10,179 | 13.3 | 22.1 | 26.3 | 38.3 |
| 19 | 14,737 | 11.2 | 26.9 | 28.4 | 33.5 | 6,836 | 15.5 | 29.1 | 26.1 | 29.3 | 7,901 | 7.4 | 24.9 | 30.5 | 37.1 |
| 18 - 19 | 33,954 | 14.1 | 25.6 | 26.3 | 34.0 | 15,874 | 18.0 | 28.3 | 24.1 | 29.6 | 18,080 | 10.8 | 23.3 | 28.1 | 37.8 |
| 20 | 19,353 | 5.5 | 25.8 | 30.2 | 38.6 | 8,470 | 8.0 | 29.1 | 28.3 | 34.6 | 10,883 | 3.5 | 23.2 | 31.6 | 41.6 |
| 21 | 10,803 | 4.5 | 28.5 | 35.5 | 31.5 | 4,962 | 6.8 | 31.9 | 32.7 | 28.5 | 5,841 | 2.6 | 25.5 | 37.8 | 34.1 |
| 22 | 19,407 | 2.8 | 28.1 | 36.4 | 32.7 | 8,579 | 4.6 | 32.8 | 32.9 | 29.7 | 10,828 | 1.4 | 24.3 | 39.1 | 35.1 |
| 23 | 12,363 | 1.9 | 26.9 | 41.6 | 29.5 | 5,697 | 2.9 | 31.7 | 38.6 | 26.8 | 6,666 | 1.1 | 22.8 | 44.2 | 31.9 |
| 24 | 12,077 | 1.3 | 25.3 | 42.6 | 30.8 | 5,726 | 1.8 | 30.6 | 40.2 | 27.4 | 6,351 | 0.9 | 20.4 | 44.8 | 33.9 |
| 20 - 24 | 74,003 | 3.4 | 26.9 | 36.5 | 33.2 | 33,434 | 5.0 | 31.2 | 33.9 | 29.9 | 40,569 | 2.0 | 23.3 | 38.6 | 36.0 |

| | | | Both Sexes | | | | | Male | | | | | Female | | |
|-----|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Age | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended |
| 25+ | 266,772 | 0.6 | 18.9 | 43.6 | 36.9 | 134,773 | 0.7 | 21.8 | 49.1 | 28.5 | 131,999 | 0.5 | 15.9 | 38.0 | 45.6 |

Table 8. 13: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance Status and Age; KataviUrban, 2022 PHC

| | | | Both Sexes | | | | | Male | | | | | Female | | |
|---------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Age | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended |
| Total | 232,523 | 32.3 | 12.9 | 37.1 | 17.6 | 112,484 | 32.7 | 13.0 | 38.6 | 15.6 | 120,039 | 31.9 | 12.8 | 35.8 | 19.5 |
| 4 | 8,962 | 18.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 81.4 | 4,441 | 17.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 82.9 | 4,521 | 19.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 80.0 |
| 5 | 8,297 | 44.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 55.7 | 4,168 | 41.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 58.0 | 4,129 | 46.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 53.3 |
| 6 | 8,205 | 65.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 34.5 | 4,036 | 62.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 37.1 | 4,169 | 67.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 32.0 |
| 5-6 | 25,464 | 41.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 57.9 | 12,645 | 39.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 60.1 | 12,819 | 44.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 55.8 |
| 7 | 8,214 | 79.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 20.1 | 4,043 | 78.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 20.7 | 4,171 | 79.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 19.5 |
| 8 | 7,916 | 86.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 13.0 | 3,836 | 84.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 14.1 | 4,080 | 87.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 12.0 |
| 9 | 7,693 | 88.2 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 10.0 | 3,697 | 87.5 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 10.4 | 3,996 | 88.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 9.6 |
| 10 | 7,393 | 89.2 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 3,679 | 88.8 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 3,714 | 89.7 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 7.8 |
| 11 | 5,940 | 89.1 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 2,911 | 87.7 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 7.9 | 3,029 | 90.4 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 5.6 |
| 12 | 7,840 | 85.4 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 8.1 | 3,786 | 85.3 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 8.1 | 4,054 | 85.5 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 8.1 |
| 13 | 6,296 | 82.6 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 6.9 | 2,996 | 82.1 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 7.6 | 3,300 | 83.1 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 6.3 |
| 7-13 | 51,292 | 85.5 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 10.7 | 24,948 | 84.8 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 11.3 | 26,344 | 86.2 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 10.2 |
| 14 | 6,672 | 74.3 | 10.8 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 3,230 | 74.1 | 11.4 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 3,442 | 74.4 | 10.2 | 8.2 | 7.1 |
| 15 | 5,388 | 68.6 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 7.2 | 2,521 | 68.9 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 7.1 | 2,867 | 68.3 | 10.6 | 13.8 | 7.3 |
| 16 | 5,328 | 59.8 | 15.4 | 17.3 | 7.6 | 2,544 | 61.5 | 16.0 | 15.1 | 7.4 | 2,784 | 58.2 | 14.8 | 19.3 | 7.8 |
| 17 | 5,660 | 49.3 | 17.8 | 24.8 | 8.1 | 2,763 | 50.7 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 8.0 | 2,897 | 47.9 | 16.2 | 27.8 | 8.1 |
| 14 - 17 | 23,048 | 63.5 | 13.8 | 15.0 | 7.7 | 11,058 | 64.2 | 14.9 | 13.0 | 7.9 | 11,990 | 62.8 | 12.8 | 16.8 | 7.6 |
| 18 | 5,931 | 33.1 | 21.0 | 35.4 | 10.5 | 2,742 | 38.4 | 21.9 | 30.3 | 9.3 | 3,189 | 28.5 | 20.3 | 39.7 | 11.5 |
| 19 | 4,960 | 24.2 | 22.8 | 43.8 | 9.2 | 2,190 | 31.9 | 22.4 | 37.1 | 8.6 | 2,770 | 18.1 | 23.1 | 49.1 | 9.6 |
| 18 - 19 | 10,891 | 29.0 | 21.8 | 39.2 | 9.9 | 4,932 | 35.5 | 22.1 | 33.4 | 9.0 | 5,959 | 23.6 | 21.6 | 44.1 | 10.7 |
| 20 | 5,930 | 14.0 | 23.1 | 49.7 | 13.2 | 2,574 | 20.3 | 22.9 | 45.0 | 11.8 | 3,356 | 9.2 | 23.2 | 53.3 | 14.3 |

| | | | Both Sexes | | | | | Male | | | | | Female | | |
|---------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Age | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended |
| 21 | 3,938 | 11.5 | 22.9 | 55.4 | 10.3 | 1,718 | 16.6 | 25.1 | 49.9 | 8.4 | 2,220 | 7.5 | 21.2 | 59.6 | 11.7 |
| 22 | 7,095 | 6.8 | 26.2 | 56.5 | 10.5 | 2,975 | 10.5 | 27.5 | 53.1 | 8.9 | 4,120 | 4.2 | 25.2 | 58.9 | 11.7 |
| 23 | 4,675 | 4.5 | 23.4 | 62.9 | 9.2 | 1,996 | 7.0 | 25.5 | 59.3 | 8.3 | 2,679 | 2.7 | 21.9 | 65.5 | 9.9 |
| 24 | 4,739 | 3.1 | 22.0 | 65.3 | 9.6 | 2,077 | 5.1 | 22.3 | 63.8 | 8.8 | 2,662 | 1.6 | 21.8 | 66.4 | 10.2 |
| 20 - 24 | 26,377 | 8.1 | 23.7 | 57.5 | 10.7 | 11,340 | 12.0 | 24.8 | 53.8 | 9.3 | 15,037 | 5.1 | 23.0 | 60.3 | 11.7 |
| 25+ | 95,451 | 0.8 | 17.4 | 66.1 | 15.8 | 47,561 | 0.9 | 17.5 | 71.6 | 10.1 | 47,890 | 0.6 | 17.3 | 60.6 | 21.5 |

Among all councils, Mpimbwe District has the highest percentage of persons who had never attended school (47.2%) and the lowest is Mpanda Municipal with 17.0 percent (Figure 8.10). Regarding sex differentials for never attended school category, the percentage is higher for females than males in all councils in both rural and urban areas (Figure 8.10).

Mpimbwe District is the council with the highest (47.2%) dropout rate while Mpanda Municipal has the lowest rate (17.0%). Results also show that the percentage of dropouts is higher among males than females in all councils (Tables 8.15, 8.16 and 8.17).






Table 8. 14: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance Status and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Place of Residence | | В | oth Sexe | es | | | | Male | | | | | Female | | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended |
| Katavi Region | 979,561 | 25.3 | 13.8 | 25.4 | 35.5 | 483,903 | 25.0 | 15.2 | 26.8 | 33.0 | 495,658 | 25.6 | 12.4 | 24.0 | 38.0 |
| Rural | 747,038 | 23.1 | 14.1 | 21.7 | 41.1 | 371,419 | 22.7 | 15.9 | 23.2 | 38.3 | 375,619 | 23.5 | 12.3 | 20.3 | 43.9 |
| Urban | 232,523 | 32.3 | 12.9 | 37.1 | 17.6 | 112,484 | 32.7 | 13.0 | 38.6 | 15.6 | 120,039 | 31.9 | 12.8 | 35.8 | 19.5 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 212,613 | 32.2 | 14.1 | 36.7 | 17.0 | 103,587 | 32.3 | 14.6 | 38.0 | 15.1 | 109,026 | 32.1 | 13.7 | 35.4 | 18.8 |
| Nsimbo District | 172,466 | 27.8 | 16.2 | 24.6 | 31.3 | 86,458 | 27.1 | 18.5 | 25.6 | 28.8 | 86,008 | 28.6 | 13.9 | 23.6 | 33.9 |
| Tanganyika District | 313,286 | 22.8 | 14.3 | 22.4 | 40.5 | 155,855 | 22.4 | 16.0 | 23.6 | 38.0 | 157,431 | 23.3 | 12.5 | 21.1 | 43.0 |
| Mlele District | 100,539 | 20.4 | 12.1 | 22.0 | 45.5 | 49,954 | 20.1 | 13.3 | 24.1 | 42.5 | 50,585 | 20.6 | 11.0 | 19.9 | 48.5 |
| Mpimbwe District | 180,657 | 21.7 | 11.1 | 20.0 | 47.2 | 88,049 | 21.8 | 12.4 | 21.7 | 44.1 | 92,608 | 21.6 | 9.9 | 18.3 | 50.2 |

Table 8. 15: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance Status and Council;Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC

| Council | | В | oth Sexe | S | | | | Male | | | | | Female |) | |
|------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | Total | Attendin g | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended |
| Total | 747,038 | 23.1 | 14.1 | 21.7 | 41.1 | 371,419 | 22.7 | 15.9 | 23.2 | 38.3 | 375,619 | 23.5 | 12.3 | 20.3 | 43.9 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 35,804 | 28.1 | 18.7 | 26.7 | 26.4 | 18,403 | 26.8 | 20.7 | 28.9 | 23.6 | 17,401 | 29.6 | 16.5 | 24.5 | 29.4 |
| Nsimbo District | 172,466 | 27.8 | 16.2 | 24.6 | 31.3 | 86,458 | 27.1 | 18.5 | 25.6 | 28.8 | 86,008 | 28.6 | 13.9 | 23.6 | 33.9 |
| Tanganyika District | 298,116 | 22.4 | 14.3 | 21.6 | 41.7 | 148,358 | 21.9 | 16.2 | 22.9 | 39.1 | 149,758 | 22.9 | 12.5 | 20.4 | 44.2 |
| Mlele District | 100,539 | 20.4 | 12.1 | 22.0 | 45.5 | 49,954 | 20.1 | 13.3 | 24.1 | 42.5 | 50,585 | 20.6 | 11.0 | 19.9 | 48.5 |
| Mpimbwe District | 140,113 | 19.5 | 11.0 | 16.9 | 52.6 | 68,246 | 19.5 | 12.5 | 18.5 | 49.4 | 71,867 | 19.5 | 9.6 | 15.4 | 55.5 |

Table 8. 16: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by Sex, School Attendance Status and Council;Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC

| | | | Both Sexes | | | | | Male | | | | | Female | | |
|------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Council | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Never Attended |
| Total | 232,523 | 32.3 | 12.9 | 37.1 | 17.6 | 112,484 | 32.7 | 13.0 | 38.6 | 15.6 | 120,039 | 31.9 | 12.8 | 35.8 | 19.5 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 176,809 | 33.0 | 13.2 | 38.7 | 15.1 | 85,184 | 33.5 | 13.2 | 40.0 | 13.3 | 91,625 | 32.6 | 13.1 | 37.5 | 16.8 |
| Nsimbo District | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tanganyika District | 15,170 | 32.1 | 13.8 | 36.8 | 17.2 | 7,497 | 32.1 | 13.9 | 38.7 | 15.4 | 7,673 | 32.2 | 13.8 | 35.0 | 19.0 |
| Mlele District | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mpimbwe District | 40,544 | 29.2 | 11.4 | 30.6 | 28.8 | 19,803 | 29.5 | 12.0 | 32.7 | 25.9 | 20,741 | 29.0 | 10.9 | 28.5 | 31.6 |

Among persons aged four years and above who joined primary school education, 39.9 percent completed, 37.1 percent are still attending and 23.0 percent dropped out. Those who joined ordinary level secondary school education, 40.0 percent completed, 39.5 percent are still attending and 20.5 percent dropped out. Those who were admitted for university education, 64.1 percent completed, 35 percent are still attending and 0.9 percent dropped out. Drop out is minimal at the university level compared with other levels of education. Moreover, slightly more males dropped out at university level (1.0%) than females (0.9%). The percentage of persons who completed primary education, secondary education (ordinary and advanced level) and university level is higher in urban areas compared with rural areas (Tables 8.18, 8.19 and 8.20).

Table 8. 17: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and Level of Education;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Level of Education | | Both | Sexes | | | Μ | ale | | | Fei | nale | |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Level of Education | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed |
| Pre-Primary | 19,652 | 92.4 | 6.7 | 0.9 | 9,345 | 91.7 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 10,307 | 93.0 | 6.4 | 0.7 |

| Level of Education | | Both | Sexes | | | Μ | lale | | | Fei | nale | |
|--|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 502,782 | 37.1 | 23.0 | 39.9 | 254,188 | 35.3 | 24.8 | 39.9 | 248,594 | 39.0 | 21.1 | 39.9 |
| Training After Primary | 404 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 97.5 | 285 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 97.9 | 119 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 96.6 |
| Pre-Form One | 56 | 0.0 | 33.9 | 66.1 | 34 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 73.5 | 22 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 86,195 | 39.5 | 20.5 | 40.0 | 45,768 | 37.7 | 21.0 | 41.3 | 40,427 | 41.5 | 20.0 | 38.5 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 5,640 | 57.8 | 4.6 | 37.6 | 3,850 | 53.3 | 4.8 | 41.9 | 1,790 | 67.4 | 4.2 | 28.4 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 2,310 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 98.6 | 1,390 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 98.7 | 920 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 98.4 |
| University and Other Related | 13,337 | 35.0 | 0.9 | 64.1 | 8,535 | 31.5 | 1.0 | 67.5 | 4,802 | 41.2 | 0.9 | 58.0 |
| Education for people with mental disabilities/ mental health disabilities | 4 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Table 8. 18: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and Level of Education;Katavi Rural, 2022 PHC

| Level of Education | | Both S | exes | | | N | lale | | | Fe | male | |
|--|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed |
| Pre-Primary | 12,587 | 89.6 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 5,938 | 88.6 | 10.1 | 1.3 | 6,649 | 90.4 | 8.8 | 0.8 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 367,553 | 36.7 | 25.2 | 38.1 | 189,180 | 34.2 | 27.5 | 38.3 | 178,373 | 39.4 | 22.7 | 37.9 |
| Training After Primary | 214 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 98.1 | 163 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 51 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 94.1 |
| Pre-Form One | 39 | 0.0 | 28.2 | 71.8 | 26 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 13 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 48,683 | 43.8 | 22.7 | 33.4 | 26,606 | 41.6 | 23.4 | 35.0 | 22,077 | 46.5 | 22.0 | 31.5 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 2,845 | 57.6 | 5.4 | 37.0 | 2,057 | 54.6 | 5.2 | 40.3 | 788 | 65.5 | 6.1 | 28.4 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 908 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 98.6 | 602 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 98.5 | 306 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 98.7 |
| University and Other Related | 6,530 | 42.8 | 0.8 | 56.4 | 4,246 | 37.6 | 0.8 | 61.6 | 2,284 | 52.5 | 0.7 | 46.8 |
| Education for people with mental disabilities/ mental health disabilities | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 |

Table 8. 19: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above by School Attendance Status and Level of Education;Katavi Urban, 2022 PHC

| | | Both Sexes | | | | Male | | | | Female | ļ | |
|--------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Level of Education | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed | Total | Attending | Drop Out | Completed |

| Pre-Primary | 7,065 | 97.3 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 3,407 | 97.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 3,658 | 97.5 | 1.9 | 0.5 |
|---|---------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 135,229 | 38.2 | 17.0 | 44.8 | 65,008 | 38.4 | 17.0 | 44.6 | 70,221 | 37.9 | 17.0 | 45.0 |
| Training After Primary | 190 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 96.8 | 122 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 95.9 | 68 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 98.5 |
| Pre-Form One | 17 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 8 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 9 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 37,512 | 33.8 | 17.7 | 48.5 | 19,162 | 32.3 | 17.7 | 50.0 | 18,350 | 35.4 | 17.7 | 46.9 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 2,795 | 58.0 | 3.8 | 38.2 | 1,793 | 51.8 | 4.4 | 43.8 | 1002 | 69.0 | 2.7 | 28.3 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 1402 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 98.6 | 788 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 98.9 | 614 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 98.2 |
| University and Other Related | 6,807 | 27.5 | 1.1 | 71.4 | 4,289 | 25.4 | 1.2 | 73.4 | 2,518 | 30.9 | 1.0 | 68.1 |
| Education for people with mental disabilities/ mental health disabilities | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

8.4.2 Net and Gross School Enrolment

Enrolment rate depict the proportions of children currently attending school which is important indicator in assessing access to education among the population. In primary education, Net Enrolment Rate (NER) is defined as the number of children aged 7-13 years who are attending school divided by the total number of children in that age group. The 7-13 years age group is the official primary school age in Tanzania. On the other hand, Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) is defined as the number of children attending primary school regardless of age divided by the total number of children aged 7-13 years.


Net Enrolment Rate


Results show that, for primary schools NER is 64.6 percent; it is higher (85.5%) in urban areas compared with rural areas (59.1%). Furthermore, results show that NER is higher (67.0%) for females than males (62.2%) (Table 8.21).

| | | Total | | | Rural | | Urban | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|--|--|
| Council | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | |
| Total | 64.6 | 62.2 | 67.0 | 59.1 | 56.4 | 61.8 | 85.5 | 84.8 | 86.2 | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 86.1 | 84.7 | 87.3 | 77.0 | 73.4 | 80.6 | 88.1 | 87.3 | 88.7 | | |
| Nsimbo District | 71.7 | 68.6 | 74.8 | 71.7 | 68.6 | 74.8 | NA | NA | NA | | |
| Tanganyika District | 58.6 | 55.8 | 61.5 | 57.5 | 54.6 | 60.4 | 86.9 | 85.2 | 88.4 | | |
| Mlele District | 51.8 | 49.8 | 53.9 | 51.8 | 49.8 | 53.9 | NA | NA | NA | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 53.9 | 52.1 | 55.6 | 48.4 | 46.4 | 50.4 | 75.0 | 74.6 | 75.3 | | |


Table 8. 20: Net Enrolment Rates in Primary Schools by Place of Residence, Sex and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

NER in primary schools varies across councils ranging from 51.8 percent in Mlele District to 86.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal. Only two Councils have NER above the regional average of 64.6 percent the rest of councils are below the Regional NER (Figure 8.11).





Results show improvement in net enrolment rates from 2012 to 2022 censuses. The overall NER in primary schools increased from 57.3 percent in 2012 to 64.6 percent in 2022 census. Females NER has improved to 67.0 percent from 57.7 percent in 2012 Census; the same for Male NER from 59.9 percent in 2012 to 62.2 percent in 2022 as shown in Figure 8.12.








The Urban NER in primary schools increased from 75.3 percent in 2012 to 85.5 percent in 2022 and the Rural NER has increased from 50.8 percent in 2012 to 59.1 percent in 2022 (Figure 8.13).






8.4.3 Gross Enrolment Rate


The primary school Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Katavi Region is 89.8 percent. GER is higher (124.4%) in urban areas than in rural areas (80.6%) and is higher (92.6%) for females than males (86.8%). (Figure 8.14).





Results show GER increased from 74.3 percent in the 2012 to 89.8 percent in 2022. The increase is higher among females (from 73.8 % to 92.6%) compared with males (from 74.8% to 86.8%) as shown in Figure 8.15.

Figure 8. 15: Primary School Gross Enrolment Rates by Sex; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHCs



8.4.4 Education Attainment

Education attainment is the highest level completed by an individual within the country's official education system. Table 8.22 shows that, majority (82.4%) of population aged four years and above attained primary education and 13.6 percent completed ordinary level secondary school education. More females had attained primary education (84.0%)

compared with males (81.0%). The same pattern is observed in rural and urban areas. Results also show that 2.3 percent attained university education.

Table 8. 21: Number and Percentage of Population Aged Four Years and Above by
Sex, Level of Educational Attainment and Place of Residence; Katavi
Region, 2022 PHC

| Level of Education | | Population | | | Percent | |
|---|------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|--------|
| Level of Education | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 383,679 | 203,141 | 180,538 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Pre-Primary | 1,503 | 778 | 725 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 316,163 | 164,486 | 151,677 | 82.4 | 81.0 | 84.0 |
| Training After Primary | 404 | 285 | 119 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Pre-Form One | 56 | 34 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 52,185 | 28,519 | 23,666 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 13.1 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 2,381 | 1,798 | 583 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 2,310 | 1,390 | 920 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| University and Other Related | 8,673 | 5,848 | 2,825 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| Education for people with mental disabilities/ mental health disabilities | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Dural | 007.045 | 445.050 | 400.000 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Rural | 267,315 | 145,052 | 122,263 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Pre-Primary | 1,314 | 679 | 635 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 232,550 | 124,459 | 108,091 | 87.0 | 85.8 | 88.4 |
| Training After Primary | 214 | 163 | 51 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Pre-Form One | 39 | 26 | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 27,349 | 15,539 | 11,810 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 9.7 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 1,206 | 934 | 272 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 908 | 602 | 306 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| University and Other Related | 3,735 | 2,650 | 1,085 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Education for people with mental disabilities/ mental health disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Urban | 116,364 | 58,089 | 58,275 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Pre-Primary | 189 | 99 | 90 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 83,613 | 40,027 | 43,586 | 71.9 | 68.9 | 74.8 |
| Training After Primary | 190 | 122 | 68 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Pre-Form One | 17 | 8 | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 24,836 | 12,980 | 11,856 | 21.3 | 22.3 | 20.3 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 1,175 | 864 | 311 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 1,402 | 788 | 614 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| University and Other Related | 4,938 | 3,198 | 1,740 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 3.0 |
| Education for people with mental disabilities/ mental health disabilities | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Chapter 9

Employment and Economic Activities

Key Points The overall unemployment rate in Katavi Region is 3.6 percent; the rate is higher (4.0%) among females than males (3.1%). The overall Labour Force Participation Rate (LFPR) of Katavi Region is 83.9 percent; the rate is higher in urban than in rural areas. Agriculture, forestry and fishing industry has the highest proportion (70.1%) of the total employment. Majority of employed persons (62.0%) are agricultural and fishery workers. Agricultural sector constitutes the highest share of employed persons (74.6%). There are 90,664 persons aged 15 years and above engaged in informal non-agricultural economic activities with more females

• There are 90,004 persons aged 15 years and above engaged in informal non-agricultural economic activities, with more females (48,305 persons) than males (42,359 persons).

9.1 Introduction

This chapter presents findings on current economic activities for persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region. In particular, it provides information on people's participation in economic activities, occupation, sector of employment and industry. Further, information on informal non-agricultural economic activities is also presented. The analysis in this chapter does not include population enumerated in institutions.

Statistics on employment and economic activities are important inputs for planning labour related policies and programmes to ascertain the size and structure of the working age population and its distribution by main occupation, industry and employment status. Such information from census on employment and economic activities is critical in setting the baseline data for making appropriate interventions to enhance labour force participation.

9.2 Current Economic Activity Status

Economic activity status refers broadly to economically active population which includes employed and unemployed population. It also includes inactive population covering all persons who were without work during the reference period and were not available for work.

Table 9.1 indicates that, out of 562,111 persons aged 15 years and above, 454,817 persons are in employment, 16,873 are unemployed and 90,421 are economically inactive. Moreover, results show that there are more inactive persons in rural areas than in urban areas in Katavi Region. Further, the results show that there are more inactive persons in rural areas in rural areas.

Table 9. 1: Number of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Economic Activity
Status (Relaxed International Definition of Employment), Sex and
Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Sex | E | conomic Activity Status | | Total |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|---------|
| | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive | |
| Both Sexes | 454,817 | 16,873 | 90,421 | 562,111 |
| Male | 226,377 | 7,266 | 39,452 | 273,095 |
| Female | 228,440 | 9,607 | 50,969 | 289,016 |
| Place of Residence | | | | |
| Rural | 338,258 | 8,945 | 70,215 | 417,418 |
| Urban | 116,559 | 7,928 | 20,206 | 144,693 |

The result further shows that in Katavi Region 80.9 percent of persons aged 15 and above in Katavi Region are employed. The council with highest percentage of employed persons is Nsimbo (83.4%) while the council with the lowest is Mpimbwe (79.0%) (Figure 9. 1).






Table 9.2. shows that, in rural areas total number of persons aged 15 years and above who are employed ranges from 44,111 in Mlele District Council to 140,315 in Tanganyika District Council. In urban areas the number ranges from 7,617 in Tanganyika District Council to 90,448 in Mpanda Municipal Council.

| | | Econ | omic Activity Statu | S | Tatal |
|---------------------|-------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------|
| Place of Residence | | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive | Total |
| | Total | 454,817 | 16,873 | 90,421 | 562,111 |
| Total | Rural | 338,258 | 8,945 | 70,215 | 417,418 |
| | Urban | 116,559 | 7,928 | 20,206 | 144,693 |
| Councils | | | | | |
| | Total | 108,991 | 6,607 | 17,403 | 133,001 |
| Mpanda Municipal | Rural | 18,543 | 436 | 2,620 | 21,599 |
| | Urban | 90,448 | 6,171 | 14,783 | 111,402 |
| | Total | 81,215 | 2,250 | 13,876 | 97,341 |
| Nsimbo District | Rural | 81,215 | 2,250 | 13,876 | 97,341 |
| - | Urban | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | Total | 140,315 | 3,764 | 31,137 | 175,216 |
| Tanganyika District | Rural | 132,698 | 3,340 | 29,859 | 165,897 |
| | Urban | 7,617 | 424 | 1,278 | 9,319 |
| | Total | 44,111 | 1,189 | 9,737 | 55,037 |
| Mlele District | Rural | 44,111 | 1,189 | 9,737 | 55,037 |
| | Urban | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | Total | 80,185 | 3,063 | 18,268 | 101,516 |
| Mpimbwe District | Rural | 61,691 | 1,730 | 14,123 | 77,544 |
| | Urban | 18,494 | 1,333 | 4,145 | 23,972 |

Table 9. 2: Number of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Economic ActivityStatus (Relaxed International Definition of Employment), Council andPlace of Residence Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table 9.3 shows that out of the employed persons aged 15 years and above, the number of employed persons is the largest (296,838) for youth aged 15-35 followed by adults in the age group 36-64 years (137,654).

Table 9. 3: Number of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Economic ActivityStatus (Relaxed International Definition of Employment) and SelectedAge Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC


| Age Group | Ec | Total | | |
|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|---------|
| | Employed | Unemployed | Inactive | Total |
| Total | 454,817 | 16,873 | 90,421 | 562,111 |
| 15-35 | 296,838 | 12,260 | 63,112 | 372,210 |
| 36-64 | 137,654 | 4,403 | 21,445 | 163,502 |
| 65 years and above | 20,325 | 210 | 5,864 | 26,399 |


9.3 Current Unemployment

Unemployment is an indicator of labour under-utilization and it is measured as a percentage of the labour force that is currently unemployed. According to the relaxed

international definition of unemployment, unemployed persons comprise those who in the last seven days prior to the census/survey enumeration were not engaged in any economic activity but were available for work regardless of whether they took any effort to look for work or not.

Figure 9.2 presents current unemployment rates in Katavi Region based on the relaxed international definition of unemployment. The results reveal that the unemployment rate in Katavi Region is 3.6 percent. The rate is higher (6.4%) in urban than in rural areas (2.6%) and is higher (4.0%) among females than males (3.1%).






9.4 Labour Force Participation Rate

The Labour Force Participation Rate (LFPR) provides an estimate of the size of the labour supply currently available for production of goods and services in a country. It is defined as the number of persons in the labour force as a percentage of the population aged 15 years and above. It provides important insight into various policy decisions to enhance performance of the labour market.

Figure 9.3 shows that, the overall Labour Force Participation Rate (LFPR) of Katavi Region is 83.9 percent; it is higher in urban areas (86.0%) than in rural areas (83.2%). Results also reveal that LFPR is higher for males (85.6%) than for females (82.4%).





9.5 Employment by Industry

Employment by industry provides information on relative importance of different economic activities in the labour market. This information is useful for identifying broad shifts in employment and stages of development. Classification of employment by industry in this report is based on the International Standard Industrial Classification of all Economic Activities (ISIC) Revision 4.

The results show that agriculture, forestry and fishing industry has the highest proportion (70.1%) of the total employment. The industry of 'Whole sale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles' ranks second (6.9%), followed by 'other services activities' with 6.7 percent (Table 9.4).

Table 9. 4: Percentage Distribution of Employed Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence, Sex and Industryof Employment; Katavi Region 2022 PHC

| Inductor of Englanding | | Total | | | Rural | | L | Jrban | |
|---|------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|------------|--------|--------|
| Industry of Employment | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total Number | 454,817 | 226,377 | 228,440 | 338,258 | 168,634 | 169,624 | 116,559 | 57,743 | 58,816 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 70.1 | 68.1 | 72.2 | 79.3 | 76.6 | 81.9 | 43.6 | 43.0 | 44.1 |
| Mining and quarrying | 2.8 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 2.1 |
| Manufacturing | 2.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 2.8 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Water supply sewage waste management and remediation activities | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Construction | 1.6 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 0.3 |
| Whole sale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles | 6.9 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 17.4 |
| Transportation and storage | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 0.4 |
| Accommodation and food services activities | 3.0 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 7.2 |
| Information and communication | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Real estate activities | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Administrative and support services activities | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 |
| Public administration and defence compulsory social security | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Education | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Human health and social work activities | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Arts entertainment and recreation | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Other services activities | 6.7 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 14.7 |

9.6 Occupation Status

This section highlights the distribution of employed persons aged 15 years and above by occupation and sex. The information provides critical insights into the economic, societal and individual aspects of the labour market. It also helps to make right decisions related to labour policies, education and skills training programmes as well as facilitating effective manpower planning. Occupation is classified according to the Tanzania Standard Classification of Occupations (TASCO). Results reveal that 62.0 percent of employed persons in Katavi Region are agricultural and fishery workers followed by those in elementary occupation (17.8%) and craft and related workers (12.4%) (Table 9.5).

Table 9. 5: Percentage Distribution of Employed Population Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex and Occupation; Katavi Region, 2022PHC

| Occuration | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|------------|--------|--------|
| Occupation | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total Number | 454,817 | 226,377 | 228,440 | 338,258 | 168,634 | 169,624 | 116,559 | 57,743 | 58,816 |
| Legislators, administrators and managers | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Professionals | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 2.9 |
| Clerks | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Service workers and shop sales workers | 3.8 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 62.0 | 59.5 | 64.5 | 71.1 | 68.3 | 73.9 | 35.6 | 33.9 | 37.3 |
| Craft and related workers | 12.4 | 14.7 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 11.8 | 7.6 | 20.1 | 22.9 | 17.3 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 0.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 17.8 | 16.7 | 18.8 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 15.0 | 26.6 | 23.5 | 29.7 |

9.7 Employment by Sector

This section presents distribution of employed persons aged 15 years and above by sex and sector of employment. The information is an important component for conducting macroeconomic analysis, policy formulation and effective labour interventions. Table 9.6 reveals that agricultural sector accounts for the highest (74.6%) share of employed persons followed by private sector (13.8%) and household activities (9.2%).

Table 9. 6: Percentage Distribution of Employed Persons Aged 15 Years and Aboveby Place of Residence, Sex and Sector; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Oration | | Total | | | Rural | | Urban | | | |
|----------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|--------|--------|--|
| Sector | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 454,817 | 226,377 | 228,440 | 338,258 | 168,634 | 169,624 | 116,559 | 57,743 | 58,816 | |
| Public | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 3.2 | |
| Private | 13.8 | 15.8 | 11.9 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 31.3 | 34.4 | 28.3 | |
| Agriculture | 74.6 | 72.1 | 77.1 | 82.4 | 79.9 | 84.8 | 51.9 | 49.0 | 54.7 | |
| Household activities | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 12.6 | 11.4 | 13.8 | |

9.8 Informal Non-Agricultural Economic Activities

The 2022 PHC refers to informal non-agricultural economic activities as activities which are unregulated and often without formal recorded business transactions and normally occurring outside the framework of formal business regulations and systems. It needs small capital and typically involves individuals such as street vendors and small-scale production activities without legal licenses or adhering to formal reporting and taxation requirements. These activities often operate within local communities to cater for immediate needs and therefore contributes to informal sector's overall economic performance.

Table 9.7 shows that there are 90,664 persons aged 15 years and above who are engaged in informal non-agricultural economic activities, with 48,305 females and 42,359 males. Moreover, results reveal that majority of persons engaged in informal sector comprise youth aged 15-35; accounting for 66.2 percent of total employment, followed by adults aged 36-64 with 29.6 percent. The lowest participation in the informal sector is observed in adults aged 65 years and above with 4.1 percent. A similar distribution is observed in rural and urban areas.

Table 9. 7: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Engagedin Informal Non-Agricultural Economic Activities by Place of Residence,Sex and Selected Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Place of | Sex | | Age Group | | Total Number |
|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|
| Residence | | 15 – 35 | 36 – 64 | 65 years and above | |
| Total | Both Sexes | 66.2 | 29.6 | 4.1 | 90,664 |
| | Male | 65.4 | 30.6 | 3.9 | 42,359 |
| | Female | 66.9 | 28.7 | 4.3 | 48,305 |
| Rural | Both Sexes | 64.1 | 31.2 | 4.6 | 55,946 |
| | Male | 63.2 | 32.2 | 4.6 | 27,151 |
| | Female | 65.0 | 30.3 | 4.6 | 28,795 |
| Urban | Both Sexes | 69.6 | 27.0 | 3.4 | 34,718 |
| | Male | 69.4 | 27.9 | 2.7 | 15,208 |
| | Female | 69.7 | 26.4 | 3.9 | 19,510 |

Chapter 10

Disability

| | Key Points |
|---|---|
| • | There are 82,799 persons in Katavi Region, equivalent to 9.9 percent of the total population aged 7 years and above is living with some form of disability. |
| • | Most common type of disability is seeing (2.8%) followed by walking (1.7%). |
| • | Most Persons with Disabilities (57.2%) reported disease as a major cause of their disability. |
| • | Over seven percent (7.3%) of Persons with Disabilities reported accidents as a cause of their disabilities. |
| • | The use of assistive devices among Persons with Disabilities is very low |

10.1 Introduction

This chapter presents information on disability including prevalence of disability by sex and region/council, causes of disability and use of assistive devices. Disability is a broad term with various definitions depending on different aspects at international, regional and national levels. For instance; the Washington Group on disability statistics defines Persons with Disabilities (PWDs) as *"all those persons who are at greater risk than the general population in experiencing restrictions in completing specific tasks or activities due to limitations in their basic functioning such as walking, seeing, hearing or memory – even if such limitations are ameliorated by use of assistive devices, a supportive environment or plentiful resources*" (United Nations, 2001). The World Health Organisation explains that disability refers to an umbrella term covering impairments, activity limitations and participation.

At National level, the Tanzania National Policy on Disability of 2004 defines disability as a loss or limitation of opportunities to take part in the normal life in the community on an equal level with others due to physical, mental or social factors. All three definitions use the concept of activity limitation in defining disability rather than physical impairment.

Tanzania started collecting data on disability through the population census for the first time in 2002. However, the definition of disability used was based on impairment rather than activity limitation. The 2012 and 2022 PHCs used the broad definition of disability that is based on activity limitation to collect disability statistics. More specifically, the 2022 PHC defines PWDs as all persons with physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairment which may hinder or limit their full and effective participation in society on an equal footing with others.

The main domains of disabilities included in the 2022 PHC were: visual, hearing, communication, mental, physical and self-care. The 2022 PHC also collected information on other disabilities, including: albinism, cleft palate, hydrocephalus, spinal bifida, spinal cord injuries, epilepsy psoriasis and storiasis. Other types were autism, mental health, mental disorder, persons with short stature, leprosy and persons with hunchback. The following are illustrations of some types of "other disabilities". Ethical clearance for using the pictures on types of disability was obtained from the relevant authority⁴

⁴ Shirikisho la Vyama vya Watu wenye Ulemavu Tanzania (SHIVYAWATA)



Albinism

Cleft Palate

psoriasis

Hydrocephalus

Storiasis



Autism



Mental health

Spinal cord injuries

Persons with short stature

Leprosy

Persons with Hunchback

Disability statistics are important for promotion of evidence-based policy and programming decisions and effective monitoring. Moreover, disability statistics are essential for governments and stakeholders for enhancement of inclusiveness of PWDs in all aspects of life by removing the barriers faced by people with disabilities. Data on disability from the Census also provides a benchmark for collecting disability statistics from other household-based sample surveys.

Note that, the number population of PWDs and total population used to compute disability prevalence does not include persons that were enumerated in institutions.

10.2 Prevalence of Disability

The 2022 PHC results show that 82,799 persons in Katavi Region (40,549 males and 42,250 female), which is equivalent to 9.9 percent of the total regional population aged 7 years and above have some form of disability. The rate is slightly higher among those living in urban (10.0%) than in rural areas (9.8%).

Among the six major domains of disabilities, seeing (2.8%) and walking (1.7%) are the most common while self-care is the least (0.3%) of the population aged seven years and above reporting this disability. Disability prevalence for all types of disabilities (six major domains) is the same between males and females (Tables 10.1).

10.2.1 Prevalence of Disability by Councils

The prevalence of disability varies across councils, ranging from 7.8 percent in Mpimbwe District to 12.7 percent in Nsimbo District. Two councils have prevalence of disability above the regional average of 9.9 percent (Figure 10.1 and Table10.1).

Table 10. 1: Disability Prevalence Among Persons Aged 7 Years and Above by Type of Disability, Place of Residence and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Preva | Total | | | | | | | | | | Туре | of Disab | oility | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|--------|--------|------------|------|--------|------------|---------|--------|------------|----------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|------------|----------|--------|------------|------|--------|
| | lence | Number of | | Seeing | | Hearing | | | | Walking | | Re | memberi | ng | ; | Self-care | | Con | nmunicat | ion | Other | | |
| Place of Residence | | PWDs | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 9.9 | 82,799 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Rural | 9.8 | 62,461 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Urban | 10.0 | 20,338 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 10.4 | 19,318 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Nsimbo District | 12.7 | 18,646 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| Tanganyika District | 9.6 | 25,797 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Mlele District | 8.5 | 6,961 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Mpimbwe District | 7.8 | 12,077 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 |




Figure 10. 1: Prevalence of Disability for Persons Aged 7 Years and Above by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

10.2.2 Prevalence of Disability by Sex and Council

The 2022 PHC results show that, prevalence of disability is slightly higher for females than for males in three councils in the Region (Mpanda Municipal, Nsimbo and Tanganyika). Nsimbo District Council has higher prevalence of disability for both males (12.4%) and females (113.0%) whereas Mpimbwe District has smallest prevalence; for both males (7.8%) and females (8.1%) (Table 10.2).

Table 10. 2: Disability Prevalence by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; KataviRegion, 2022 PHC

| Place of Residence | Tot | al Number of PWDs | | Prevalence of Disability by Sex (| | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | | | | |
| Katavi Region | 82,799 | 40,549 | 42,250 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | | | | |
| Rural | 62,461 | 30,873 | 31,588 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.9 | | | | |
| Urban | 20,338 | 9,676 | 10,662 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 19,318 | 9,199 | 10,119 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.5 | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 18,646 | 9,019 | 9,627 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 13.0 | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 25,797 | 12,704 | 13,093 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.7 | | | | |
| Mlele District | 6,961 | 3,570 | 3,391 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.2 | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 12,077 | 6,057 | 6,020 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 7.6 | | | | |

10.2.3 Prevalence of Disabilities by Age and Sex

Results show that disability prevalence increases with age for both males and females. The level of disability prevalence in Katavi Region is almost the same for females and males below 45 years. Thereafter, the rate increases relatively more sharply for females than for males reaching 32.6 percent for females and 31.0 percent for males aged 75-79 years (Figure 10.2).




Figure 10. 2: Prevalence of Disability by Age Groups and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

10.3 Prevalence of Person with Albinism

Katavi Region has a total of 1,409 persons with albinism which is less than one percent (0.12%) of the total PWDs. Out of those, 863 persons (0.15%) are males and 546 (0.09%) are females. About seventy-five percent (74.8%) of persons with albinism are living in rural areas. Councils with large numbers of persons with albinism are Tanganyika District (536 persons) and Nsimbo (208 persons) (Table 10.3).

Table 10. 3: Number and Percentage of Persons with Albinism by Sex, Place of
Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Tet | al Domulati | - 12 | Person with Albinism | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|----------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Place of Residence | 100 | al Populatio | on | T | otal | Ма | ale | Female | | | | | |
| | Total | Male | Female | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | | | | |
| Katavi Region | 1,132,862 | 557,267 | 575,595 | 1,409 | 0.12 | 863 | 0.15 | 546 | 0.09 | | | | |
| Rural | 868,926 | 430,019 | 438,907 | 1,054 | 0.12 | 655 | 0.15 | 399 | 0.09 | | | | |
| Urban | 200,557 | 96,502 | 104,055 | 355 | 0.14 | 208 | 0.16 | 147 | 0.11 | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 241,432 | 117,221 | 124,211 | 347 | 0.14 | 202 | 0.17 | 145 | 0.12 | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 196,577 | 97,379 | 99,198 | 208 | 0.11 | 130 | 0.13 | 78 | 0.08 | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 368,185 | 182,442 | 185,743 | 536 | 0.15 | 342 | 0.19 | 194 | 0.10 | | | | |
| Mlele District | 112,109 | 55,502 | 56,607 | 139 | 0.12 | 88 | 0.16 | 51 | 0.09 | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 214,559 | 104,723 | 109,836 | 179 | 0.08 | 101 | 0.10 | 78 | 0.07 | | | | |

Table 10. 4: Number and Percentage of Persons with Albinism by Sex and Five-YearAge Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Age Group | Both S | Sexes | Ма | le | Fen | nale | Population | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | | |
| Total | 1,409 | 0.12 | 863 | 0.15 | 546 | 0.09 | 1,132,862 | 557,267 | 575,595 | | | |
| 0 – 4 | 121 | 0.06 | 64 | 0.06 | 57 | 0.05 | 215,735 | 106,881 | 108,854 | | | |
| 5 – 9 | 87 | 0.04 | 31 | 0.03 | 56 | 0.06 | 194,469 | 95,917 | 98,552 | | | |
| 10 – 14 | 107 | 0.07 | 61 | 0.07 | 46 | 0.06 | 160,547 | 81,374 | 79,173 | | | |
| 15 – 19 | 86 | 0.08 | 43 | 0.08 | 43 | 0.07 | 112,314 | 54,837 | 57,477 | | | |
| 20 – 24 | 122 | 0.12 | 61 | 0.14 | 61 | 0.11 | 97,707 | 43,096 | 54,611 | | | |
| 25 – 29 | 169 | 0.20 | 114 | 0.30 | 55 | 0.12 | 82,615 | 38,494 | 44,121 | | | |
| 30 – 34 | 136 | 0.20 | 98 | 0.30 | 38 | 0.11 | 66,514 | 33,046 | 33,468 | | | |
| 35 – 39 | 140 | 0.27 | 102 | 0.39 | 38 | 0.15 | 51,531 | 25,928 | 25,603 | | | |
| 40 – 44 | 115 | 0.29 | 80 | 0.39 | 35 | 0.18 | 40,160 | 20,731 | 19,429 | | | |
| 45 – 49 | 96 | 0.30 | 58 | 0.35 | 38 | 0.25 | 31,710 | 16,749 | 14,961 | | | |
| 50 – 54 | 68 | 0.29 | 46 | 0.37 | 22 | 0.19 | 23,813 | 12,355 | 11,458 | | | |
| 55 – 59 | 41 | 0.28 | 30 | 0.39 | 11 | 0.16 | 14,875 | 7,791 | 7,084 | | | |
| 60 – 64 | 57 | 0.39 | 34 | 0.45 | 23 | 0.33 | 14,473 | 7,474 | 6,999 | | | |
| 65 – 69 | 16 | 0.18 | 8 | 0.18 | 8 | 0.19 | 8,682 | 4,424 | 4,258 | | | |
| 70 – 74 | 21 | 0.28 | 15 | 0.41 | 6 | 0.16 | 7,506 | 3,655 | 3,851 | | | |
| 75 – 79 | 12 | 0.31 | 10 | 0.52 | 2 | 0.10 | 3,890 | 1,905 | 1,985 | | | |
| 80+ | 15 | 0.24 | 8 | 0.31 | 7 | 0.19 | 6,321 | 2,610 | 3,711 | | | |

10.4 Population with Other Types of Disability

The results reveal that 24,602 persons in Katavi Region which is equivalent to 2.9 percent of the regional population have other types of disability. Cleft palate (0.38%) and spinal cord injuries (0.36%) are the most common types of other disability. The percentage of males with those two types of disabilities is slightly high among males compared with females. The same pattern is observed in both rural and urban areas (Table 10.5).

| | N | umber of | Persons | | Percentage | | | Rural | | Urban | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|--|
| Other Types of Disability | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 24,602 | 12,677 | 11,925 | 2.94 | 3.09 | 2.80 | 3.04 | 3.18 | 2.91 | 2.62 | 2.81 | 2.45 | |
| Cleft Palate | 3,207 | 1,639 | 1,568 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.33 | |
| Hydrocephalus | 2,319 | 1,151 | 1,168 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.27 | |
| Spinal bifida | 2,243 | 1,095 | 1,148 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | |
| Spinal cord injuries | 2,992 | 1,468 | 1,524 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.35 | |
| Epilepsy or seizures | 1,924 | 1,091 | 833 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.14 | |
| Psoriasis | 1,020 | 467 | 553 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| Storiasis | 722 | 341 | 381 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | |
| Autism | 575 | 295 | 280 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.09 | |
| Mental Health | 2,250 | 1,247 | 1,003 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.22 | |
| Mental Disorder | 2,192 | 1,229 | 963 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.19 | |
| Dwarfism | 320 | 162 | 158 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | |
| Leprosy | 189 | 120 | 69 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Hunch Back | 158 | 79 | 79 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| Other | 4,491 | 2,293 | 2,198 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.37 | |

Table 10. 5: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 7 Years and Above with OtherTypes of Disability by Place of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022PHC

10.5 Causes of Disabilities

There are different causes of disabilities within the population. Some persons are born with disabilities (congenital malformations), or a disability may be a result of a disease, accident, physical violence, drug abuse or pregnancy complications.

The 2022 PHC results reveal that diseases are major causes for all types of disability in Katavi Region. Almost 6 out of 10 PWDs (57.2%) reported disease as a cause of their disability while 22.9 percent were born with disabilities. There is no significance difference between the proportion of PWDs (56.1%) living in urban areas who reported diseases as

the major cause of their disabilities compared with rural areas (57.6%). Another notable cause of disabilities in the region is accidents (7.3%).

There is no clear differences on reported causes of disabilities among males and females. However, relatively large variations is observed with "other causes"; 13.2 percent of women with disabilities reported 'other' as causes of their disabilities compared to 8.4 percent for males (Tables 10.6 and 10.7).

Table 10. 6: Percentage Distribution of Persons with Disability by Cause of Disability,Place of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Place of Residence/Sex | | | | | Cause | of Disabilit | у | | |
|------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| | Total | Percent | Inborn | Disease | Accident | Beaten | Drug abuse | Pregnancy | Others |
| Total | 13,318 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 57.2 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 10.9 |
| Rural | 9,924 | 74.5 | 23.2 | 57.6 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 10.5 |
| Urban | 3,394 | 25.5 | 22.0 | 56.1 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 12.2 |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 6,390 | 48.0 | 25.5 | 55.2 | 9.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | NA | 8.4 |
| Female | 6,928 | 52.0 | 20.4 | 59.1 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 13.2 |

| | Cause of Disability | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|
| | | | | Both S | exes | | | | | Male | | | | | | | Female | | | | | | | |
| Type of Disability | Total | Inborn | Disease | Accident | Beaten | Drug Abuse | Pregnancy | Others | Total | Inborn | Disease | Accident | Beaten | Drug Abuse | Pregnancy | Others | Total | Inborn | Disease | Accident | Beaten | Drug Abuse | Pregnancy | Others |
| Seeing | 3,533 | 14.8 | 53.8 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 20.2 | 1,736 | 16.4 | 52.1 | 12.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 1,797 | 13.2 | 55.5 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 23.4 |
| Hearing | 2,468 | 36.3 | 46.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 11.2 | 1,099 | 40.2 | 45.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 1,369 | 33.2 | 47.9 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 13.2 |
| Walking | 4,552 | 18.7 | 48.5 | 12.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 18.7 | 2,034 | 22.5 | 45.6 | 17.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 2,518 | 15.6 | 50.8 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 23.2 |
| Remembering | 1,680 | 35.2 | 42.9 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 17.3 | 766 | 38.6 | 43.9 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 914 | 32.4 | 42.1 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 20.7 |
| Self-care | 1,564 | 35.4 | 44.4 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 11.9 | 768 | 39.2 | 43.6 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 796 | 31.7 | 45.1 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 15.3 |
| Communication | 3,767 | 27.4 | 69.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1,899 | 30.3 | 67.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1,868 | 24.4 | 71.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.4 |

Table 10. 7: Percentage Distribution of Persons with Disability by Sex, Cause of Disability and Type of Disability; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

10.6 Use of Assistive Devices

Assistive devices help PWDs to perform their daily activities more effectively. Devices enable PWDs to participate in social economic activities such as education and employment and hence improve their social-economic conditions.

Results reveal that, the use of assistive devices among PWDs is very low except for persons with albinism, of whom 17.0 percent are using assistive devices. For other types of disability, the use is very low for example, less than one percent (0.5%) of persons with hearing disabilities are using assistive devices. Use of assistive devices is relatively higher in urban than rural areas for all types of disability (Table 10.8).

Table 10. 8: Percentage of Persons with Disability Using Assistive Devices by Sex and Type of Disability; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | Total | | | Rural | | Urban | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|--|--|
| Type of Disability | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | |
| Total | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | | |
| Albinism | 17.0 | 16.1 | 18.5 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 14.9 | 20.4 | | |
| Seeing | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | | |
| Hearing | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | | |
| Walking | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.7 | | |
| Self-care | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.4 | | |
| Communication | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.9 | | |

Chapter 11

Land Ownership

Key Points Twenty three percent (23.0%) of the population aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region own land. Thirteen percent (13.2%) of males in Katavi Region own land alone compared with 5.9 percent of females. About fifty percent (46.9%) of persons aged 15 years and above who own land in Katavi Region do not possess legal land ownership documents; Among the land owners possessing legal documents in Katavi

11.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the status of land ownership which includes information on persons owning land, type of ownership and possession of legal documents. Land ownership is a process of acquiring land tenure or right of occupancy from a relevant Government authority. The process provides the legal notes or documents to own or occupy the land. Land ownership in Tanzania can be categorized into three main tenure systems namely Customary Land Tenure, Right of Occupancy (ROO) and Government Land.

Region, 21.3 percent own land alone.

Respondents were asked about possession of any legal documents pertaining to land ownership. Legal documents for land ownership in this chapter comprise Certificate of Right of Occupancy (title deed), residential license, letter of offer/acknowledgment of payment, customary ownership, contract, land registration card (Zanzibar) and official documents from Mtaa/Village/Shehia.

11.2 Land Ownership Status

Information on land ownership status was collected from individuals in private households only and is categorised into individuals owning land alone; jointly; both alone and jointly; or do not own land. In this report land ownership is irrespective of type of use. Results reveal that 23.0 percent of persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region own land. Ownership of land is higher (24.8%) among rural population compared with the urban population (17.8%). Land ownership by sex reveals that proportion of females owning land (19.6%) is lower than that of males (26.6%) (Table 11.1).

| | Sex | Land O | wnership | D () |
|--------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Place of Residence | | Total Population | Number of Individuals Owning Land | Percentage of Persons Owning Land |
| Total | Both Sexes | 562,111 | 129,379 | 23.0 |
| | Male | 273,095 | 72,602 | 26.6 |
| | Female | 289,016 | 56,777 | 19.6 |
| Rural | Both Sexes | 417,418 | 103,567 | 24.8 |
| | Male | 204,400 | 57,675 | 28.2 |
| | Female | 213,018 | 45,892 | 21.5 |
| Urban | Both Sexes | 144,693 | 25,812 | 17.8 |
| | Male | 68,695 | 14,927 | 21.7 |
| | Female | 75,998 | 10,885 | 14.3 |

 Table 11. 1: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Owning


 Land by Place of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

About 75 percent (75.2%) of persons aged 15 years and above do not own land, 9.4 percent own land alone and 12.8 percent own land jointly. The ownership pattern in Katavi Region reveals that the percentage of females owning land alone (5.9%) is significantly below that of males (13.2%). However, the percentage of females owning land jointly is slightly higher (13.0%) than that of males (12.6%). The results further show that the percentage of females owning land jointly is higher in both rural and urban areas (14.8% and 8.0% respectively) compared with that of males (14.3% and 7.4% respectively) (Table 11.2). Table 11. 2: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Land
Ownership Status, Place of Residence and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022
PHC.

| | | | | Land Ownershi | p Status | | |
|--------------------|------------|---------|-------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Place of Residence | Sex | Total | Alone | Jointly | Both alone and jointly | Does not own | Don't Know |
| Total | Both Sexes | 562,111 | 9.4 | 12.8 | 0.8 | 75.2 | 1.8 |
| | Male | 273,095 | 13.2 | 12.6 | 0.9 | 71.8 | 1.7 |
| | Female | 289,016 | 5.9 | 13.0 | 0.7 | 78.4 | 2.0 |
| Rural | Both Sexes | 417,418 | 9.4 | 14.6 | 0.9 | 73.4 | 1.8 |
| | Male | 204,400 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 0.9 | 70.2 | 1.6 |
| | Female | 213,018 | 6.0 | 14.8 | 0.8 | 76.5 | 1.9 |
| Urban | Both Sexes | 144,693 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 0.6 | 80.2 | 2.0 |
| | Male | 68,695 | 13.7 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 76.4 | 1.8 |
| | Female | 75,998 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 83.6 | 2.1 |

Results show that percentage of persons who do not own land in Katavi Region is 75.2 percent, while those who own land (alone, jointly or both) is 23 percent. Out of all persons who own land, the proportion is higher (26.9%) for males than for females (19.6%) (Figure 11.1).






The 2022 TPHC results reveal that land is mostly owned by persons aged 20-64 years (85.7%) followed by those aged 65 years and above (7.5%). Similar ownership patterns by age with differentiated magnitudes are observed in rural and urban areas for 20-64 years (84.9% and 89.1% respectively). On the other hand, the proportion of persons aged 15-19 years owning land in rural areas is higher (7.7%) than those in urban areas (3.2%). Regardless of whether a person lives in rural or urban areas, both males and females of age group 20-64 are more likely to own land than any other age group (Table 11.3).

| Land | | Total | | | Rural | | | | Urban | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|
| Ownership Status | Total | 15 - 19 | 20 - 64 | 65+ | Total | 15 - 19 | 20 - 64 | 65+ | Total | 15 - 19 | 20 - 64 | 65+ |
| Total | 562,111 | 20.0 | 75.3 | 4.7 | 417,418 | 20.5 | 74.5 | 5.0 | 144,693 | 18.6 | 77.6 | 3.8 |
| Male | 273,095 | 20.1 | 75.3 | 4.6 | 204,400 | 20.7 | 74.3 | 5.0 | 68,695 | 18.3 | 78.2 | 3.5 |
| Female | 289,016 | 19.9 | 75.3 | 4.8 | 213,018 | 20.3 | 74.7 | 5.0 | 75,998 | 18.9 | 77.0 | 4.1 |
| Owning land | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 129,379 | 6.8 | 85.7 | 7.5 | 103,567 | 7.7 | 84.9 | 7.5 | 25,812 | 3.2 | 89.1 | 7.8 |
| Male | 72,602 | 6.0 | 86.0 | 8.0 | 57,675 | 6.8 | 85.0 | 8.1 | 14,927 | 2.9 | 89.5 | 7.6 |
| Female | 56,777 | 7.8 | 85.4 | 6.9 | 45,892 | 8.8 | 84.6 | 6.6 | 10,885 | 3.6 | 88.4 | 8.0 |
| Does not own | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 422,531 | 23.6 | 72.6 | 3.8 | 306,485 | 24.4 | 71.5 | 4.2 | 116,046 | 21.5 | 75.5 | 2.9 |
| Male | 195,962 | 24.8 | 71.8 | 3.4 | 143,452 | 25.8 | 70.5 | 3.8 | 52,510 | 22.1 | 75.6 | 2.3 |
| Female | 226,569 | 22.6 | 73.2 | 4.2 | 163,033 | 23.1 | 72.4 | 4.5 | 63,536 | 21.0 | 75.5 | 3.5 |
| Don't Know | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 10,201 | 37.9 | 57.3 | 4.8 | 7,366 | 37.5 | 57.2 | 5.3 | 2,835 | 38.9 | 57.4 | 3.7 |
| Male | 4,531 | 42.1 | 55.4 | 2.5 | 3,273 | 42.1 | 55.1 | 2.8 | 1,258 | 42.1 | 56.2 | 1.7 |
| Female | 5,670 | 34.6 | 58.7 | 6.7 | 4,093 | 33.9 | 58.9 | 7.3 | 1,577 | 36.3 | 58.4 | 5.3 |

Table 11. 3: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Place of Residence, Age Groups, Land OwnershipStatus and Sex; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC.

The findings reveal that Mlele District Council has relatively the highest proportion (30.2%) of persons aged 15 years and above owning land compared with other councils. On the other hand, council with lowest proportion of persons owning land is Mpanda Municipal council (19.2%) (Figure 11.2).





11.3 Possession of Legal Land Ownership Documents

The 2022 PHC results show that 46.9 percent of persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region own land without any legal documents. For those possessing legal documents, 21.3 percent own land alone, 29.7 percent own land jointly and 1.9 percent own land alone and jointly. The results further reveal that ownership of legal documents is in favour of females owning land jointly (35.7%) compared with males (25.1%).


Further, about fifty percent (49.5%) of persons owning land in rural areas do not have any legal documents compared with 36.6 percent of persons in urban areas, for those possessing legal documents in rural areas 30.5 percent and 17.9 percent own land jointly and alone respectively. On the other hand, 34.7 percent of persons possessing legal documents in urban areas own land alone while 26.7 percent own land jointly. Results also show that in rural areas, possession of legal documents is higher among females owning


land jointly (35.8%) whereas in urban areas, it is higher (41.8%) among males owning land alone (Table 11.4) (Table 11.4).

Table 11. 4: Percentage Distribution of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above by Sex,Place of Residence and Legal Documents Status; Katavi Region, 2022PHC

| Ownership of Legal | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--|
| Document | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Total | 129,379 | 72,602 | 56,777 | 103,567 | 57,675 | 45,892 | 25,812 | 14,927 | 10,885 | |
| Alone | 21.3 | 26.1 | 15.0 | 17.9 | 22.1 | 12.7 | 34.7 | 41.8 | 24.9 | |
| Jointly | 29.7 | 25.1 | 35.7 | 30.5 | 26.2 | 35.8 | 26.7 | 20.6 | 35.0 | |
| Both alone and jointly | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | |
| No legal document | 46.9 | 46.7 | 47.1 | 49.5 | 49.6 | 49.3 | 36.6 | 35.6 | 37.9 | |
| Don't Know | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | |

The Council with the highest proportion of land owners with legal documents is Mpanda municipal Council (60.0%) followed by Tanganyika District (54.4%). On the other hand, council with the lowest proportion of land owners with legal documents is Nsimbo District (43.4%) (Figure 11.3).





Chapter 12

Housing Characteristics, Assets Ownership and Environmental Control

Key Points

- Sixty four percent (64.2%) households in Katavi Region own houses they live in.
- More than fifty percent (56.4%) of households use improved sources of drinking water.
- About forty seven percent (47.0%) of households use improved toilet facilities.
- More than seventy percent (74.0%) of households in rural areas use firewood for cooking.
- Percentage of households using electricity from national grid for lighting increased from 8.5 percent in 2012 to 20.3 percent in 2022.
- Nearly four in ten (41.9%) households use burning of solid waste as the main method of solid disposal.

12.1 Introduction

The 2022 PHC collected information on household ownership and characteristics as an indicator on household's wealth information. This chapter presents information on tenure and ownership status of the household's main dwelling; legal right over the ownership of land where the main dwelling is built; building materials for the main dwelling and number of rooms available for sleeping. In addition, it also presents information on the main source of drinking water; sanitation; main source of energy used for cooking and lighting; environmental control methods used by households; ownership of assets and equipment. The questions on housing characteristics, assets ownership and environmental control were asked in private households only.

12.2 Housing Ownership and Tenure Status

Households were asked about the ownership of houses where they live and the availability of legal documents over the land where the house is built. In Katavi Region, 64.2 percent of private households are living in their own houses; it is higher (66.6%) in rural areas than in

urban (50.8%). The percentages of male and female headed households owning houses are almost the same (65.0% and 62.5% respectively). Across councils, Tanganyika District has the largest percentage (73.5%) of households living in their own houses while Mpanda Municipal has the smallest percentage (53.7%) (Figure 12.1 and Table 12.1).






Table 12. 1: Percentage Distribution of Households by Ownership Status of the Main
Dwelling, Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022
PHC

| | | | | Ov | vnership Stat | tus | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Total Number of Households | Owned by Household | Lived in Without Paying any Rent | Rented Privately | Rented by Employer | Rented by Government at Subsidize Rent | Owned by Employer - Free of Charge | Owned by Employer - with Rent |
| Total | 213,825 | 64.2 | 5.9 | 19.2 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 69.6 | 6.2 | 13.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 50.8 | 5.0 | 34.5 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Male Headed Households | 147,583 | 65.0 | 5.9 | 18.4 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Female Headed Households | 66,242 | 62.5 | 5.9 | 21.0 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Council | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 53.7 | 5.0 | 33.9 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 60.5 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 73.5 | 6.1 | 11.8 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 68.0 | 4.9 | 18.2 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 64.8 | 5.2 | 17.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 |

12.2.1 Legal Ownership of Land

In Katavi Region, 39.0 percent of the households do not have legal documents for the land where their houses are built while 8.2 percent have title deeds. Among households that live in their own houses 21.0 percent have customary type of ownership. Households in urban areas are more likely (19.3%) to have title deed documents than those in rural areas (5.0%). On the other hand, rural households living in their own houses are more likely (23.5%) to have customary type of ownership than those living in urban areas (12.1%). Female-headed households are more likely (9.1%) to have title deeds than male-headed households (7.8%).

Across councils the percentage of households with title deeds ranges from 2.4 percent in Nsimbo District to 19.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal (Table 12.2).

Table 12. 2: Percentage Distribution of Households Living in Own Houses by Type of Legal Rights, Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Total Number of | | Type of Legal Right | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|----------|---|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Place of Residence/Headshi p | Households Living in Own Houses | Title Deed | Residential Licence | Letter of Offer or Acknowledgem ent of Payment | Customary Ownership | Contract | Land Registration Card (Zanzibar) | Official Document from Mtaa/Kijiji/Sheh ia | No Legal Right | | | | |
| Total | 137,276 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 6.1 | 21.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 39.0 | | | | |
| Rural | 106,393 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 23.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 44.7 | | | | |
| Urban | 30,883 | 19.3 | 1.4 | 15.6 | 12.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 27.9 | 19.4 | | | | |
| Male Headed Households | 95,858 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 20.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 39.5 | | | | |
| Female Headed Households | 41,418 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 21.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 38.0 | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 30,199 | 19.1 | 1.5 | 14.7 | 12.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 21.3 | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 22,185 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 21.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 51.3 | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 49,742 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 19.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 46.5 | | | | |
| Mlele District | 13,759 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 35.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 36.0 | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 21,391 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 36.1 | | | | |

12.3 Household Characteristics

Household characteristics describe the living conditions of households that are useful in guiding policy interventions. The living conditions include the type of building materials for roofing, walls and floor as well as number of rooms for sleeping.

12.3.1 Roofing Materials

In Katavi Region, 75.1 percent of households are living in houses with improved roofing materials (iron sheet, tiles, concrete and asbestos). At the council level, more than 60.0 percent of households in all councils are living in houses with improved roofing materials and Mpanda Municipal has the highest percent of 93.3 (Figure 12.2).

Figure 12. 2: Percentage Distribution of Households with Improved and Unimproved Roofing Material by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC




Table 12.3 show that, the majority of households in urban areas (71.9%) are living in houses roofed with iron sheets compared with 28.0 percent of households in rural areas. The percentage of households living in houses roofed with iron sheets ranges from 21.9 percent in Tanganyika District Council to 71.8 percent in Mpanda Municipal.

Table 12. 3: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Roofing Material of Main Dwelling, Place of Residence and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Total Number | | | | Туре о | f Roofing Materials | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|------|
| Place of Residence | Total Number of Household | Iron sheets | Tiles | Concrete | Asbestos | Grass/Leaves | Mud and Leaves | Plastics/Box | Tent |
| Total | 213,825 | 40.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 56.0 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 28.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 69.3 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 71.9 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 22.4 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 71.8 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 22.3 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 29.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 68.3 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 21.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 75.1 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 39.0 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 57.2 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 38.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 59.4 |

12.3.2 Floor Covering Materials

The percentage of households in Katavi Region living in houses with improved floor covering materials (cement, ceramic tiles, parquet or polished wood, terrazzo, vinyl or asphalt strips) is 42.8 while 57.2 percent live in houses with non-improved materials (wood planks, palm or bamboo, earth or sand, dung, containers or tents). Across councils, households with improved floor covering materials range from 22.9 percent in Tanganyika District to 77.3 percent in Mpanda Municipal (Figure 12.3).




Figure 12. 3: Percentage Distribution of Households Living in Households with Improved and Unimproved Floor Covering Materials by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

The results further show that, 56.0 percent of households in Katavi Region are living in dwellings with earth or sand floors followed by cement (40.5%). In urban areas, households (71.9%) are living in houses with cement as floor covering materials followed by those living in dwelling with earth or sand floor (22.4%). In rural areas, most households (69.3%) are living in houses that have earth or sand as the floor covering materials followed by those living in houses with cement floors (28.0%).

Percentage of households living in houses with cement as floor covering materials in the main dwelling is highest (71.8%) in Mpanda Municipal and the council with the lowest percentage of households living in houses with cement as floor covering materials in the main dwelling ranges are Tanganyika District (21.9 percent) (Table 12.4).

| | | | | | | Type of Flo | oring Materials | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|------|---------|
| Place of Residence | Total Number of Households | Cement | Ceramic Tiles | Parquet or Polished Wood | Terrazzo | Vinyl or Asphalt Strips | Wood Planks | Palm/Bamboo | Earth/Sand | Dung | Others⁵ |
| Total | 213,825 | 40.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 56.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 28.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 69.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 71.9 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 22.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 71.8 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 22.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 29.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 68.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 21.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 75.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 39.0 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 57.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 38.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 59.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

Table 12. 4: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Floor Covering Material of Main Dwelling, Place of Residence and
Council; Katavi, 2022 PHC

⁵ Others include households living in containers or tents.

12.3.3 Wall Materials

Most households in Katavi Region, have their house walls built with baked bricks (71.5%) followed by sundried bricks (14.3%) and poles and mud (8.7%). In urban areas, more than three quarter of households (88.8%) use baked bricks as wall materials, followed by cement bricks (5.3%). In rural areas, 64.7 percent of the households are living in houses built with baked bricks and percent (18.4%) sundried bricks. The same pattern is observed across all councils (Table 12.5).

| | Total | Type of Wall Materials | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Place of Residence | Number of Households | Stones | Cement Bricks/Roc k Bricks | Sundried Bricks | Baked Bricks | Timber | Timber and Sheets | Poles and Mud | Grass | Glass/ Aluminium | Tent/ Containers | | |
| Total | 213,825 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 14.3 | 71.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | |
| Rural | 152,972 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 18.4 | 64.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 11.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | | |
| Urban | 60,853 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 88.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 85.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 26.4 | 59.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 19.9 | 62.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 13.6 | 57.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 22.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 87.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | |


Table 12. 5: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Wall Materials of Main Dwelling, Place of Residence and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

12.3.4 Rooms for Sleeping

The number of people sleeping in a room is a major determinant of crowding and hence affects household members' health. A room for sleeping in the 2022 PHC is defined as any space within or outside the dwelling of the household which is currently used by household members for sleeping. This implies that any space within the dwelling can be termed as a room for sleeping if currently used by household members for sleeping purpose. By this definition any other space such as a sitting room, dining room or even stores were included if they were used for sleeping.

About four in ten (35.5%) households in Katavi Region have one room for sleeping, 32.0 percent have two rooms and 19.3 percent have three rooms. On the other hand, 13.3 percent of households have more than three rooms for sleeping. There is no difference between male and female headed households in regard to the average number of rooms for sleeping.

The proportion of households with only one room for sleeping is higher (45.6%) in urban than in rural areas (31.5%). Mpanda Municipal has the highest (45.5%) percentage of households with one room for sleeping compared with other councils. The Council with the lowest percentage of households with one room for sleeping is Tanganyika District (30.1%) (Figure 12.4 and Table 12.6).








Table 12. 6: Percentage Distribution of Households by Number of Rooms for
Sleeping, Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022
PHC

| Place of | Total | Average | | | Number of | of Rooms | | | Average |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------|------|-----------|----------|-----|-----|--------------------|
| Residence/Headship | Number of Households | Household size | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ | Number of Rooms |
| Total | 213,825 | 5.3 | 35.5 | 32.0 | 19.3 | 7.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.2 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 5.7 | 31.5 | 33.9 | 20.3 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 2.3 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 4.3 | 45.6 | 27.2 | 16.6 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Male Headed Households | 147,583 | 5.5 | 34.9 | 31.4 | 19.8 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.3 |
| Female Headed Households | 66,242 | 4.9 | 36.9 | 33.3 | 18.1 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.2 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 4.3 | 45.5 | 27.4 | 16.4 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 5.4 | 32.2 | 34.5 | 21.2 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 5.4 | 30.1 | 34.9 | 21.5 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 5.5 | 36.5 | 30.4 | 17.7 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 2.3 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 6.5 | 32.6 | 32.1 | 18.2 | 7.9 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 2.4 |

12.4 Water and Sanitation


Inadequate and inequitable access to water and sanitation services along with inappropriate hygiene practices at household level, largely contributes to barriers that hinder efforts to support realization of personal rights to live in a safe and clean environment.

12.4.1 Source of Drinking Water


Sources of drinking water are categorized into improved and unimproved sources. Improved sources of water include piped water, tube well/borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, rainwater, bottled water, carts with small tank/drum and tanker truck. On the other hand, unimproved sources include unprotected dug well, unprotected spring and surface water.

Results show that in Katavi region 56.4 percent of households use improved sources of drinking water. Across councils, Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage of improved percentage of drinking water about (84.1%) while Tanganyika District has the lowest percent (35.5%) (Figure 12.5).





Piped water is one of the improved water sources which include piped water into dwelling, piped water in the yard/plot, public tap/standpipe, neighbours tap or standpipe. Overall, one third of households (34.7%) in Katavi Region are using piped water as the main source of drinking water (Map 12.1).



Map 12. 1: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Piped Water as the Main Source of Drinking Water by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Households living in urban areas are more likely to use piped water than those living in rural areas (65.0% urban and 22.6% rural). Households in urban areas having piped water into their dwelling or yard is 15.2 percent, while 9.4 percent are getting water from a neighbours' piped supply and 27.2 percent from a public tap. In rural areas, 2.3 percent of households have piped water into their dwelling or yard, 2.0 percent get drinking water from a neighbours' piped supply and 17.2 percent from a public tap. Percentage of households using piped water ranges from 14.6 percent in Mpimbwe District Council to 57.7 percent in Mpanda Municipal (Table 12.7).

| | | | | | | | Ma | ain source | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Total Number of Households | Piped Water into Dwelling | Piped Water in the Yard/Plot | Public Tap/Standpipe | Neighbours Tap/Stand Pipe | Tube well/Borehole | Protected Dug Well | Unprotected Dug Well | Protected Spring | Unprotected Spring | Rainwater | Bottled Water | Cart With Small Tank/Drum/Bic | Tanker Truck | Surface Water |
| Total | 213,825 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 20.0 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 13.2 | 24.4 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 12.8 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 17.2 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 12.1 | 30.5 | 0.9 | 8.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 16.3 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 15.2 | 9.4 | 27.2 | 13.1 | 2.6 | 16.0 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| Male Headed Households | 147,583 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 19.7 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 13.2 | 24.7 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 13.3 |
| Female Headed Household | 66,242 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 20.8 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 13.2 | 23.9 | 0.7 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 11.6 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 15.1 | 9.4 | 20.4 | 12.9 | 5.6 | 18.9 | 13.0 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 20.3 | 1.8 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 29.4 | 1.2 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 12.3 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 10.2 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 14.0 | 31.3 | 1.0 | 10.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 22.3 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 21.5 | 2.8 | 14.8 | 12.8 | 40.0 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 38.5 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 14.8 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 20.6 |

Table 12. 7: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Source of Drinking Water, Place of Residence, Headship and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Note: Surface water includes river, dam, lake, pond, stream, charco dam, canal and irrigation channels

12.4.2 Sanitation Facilities

The 2022 PHC collected information on toilet facilities used by households. Toilet facilities are categorized into two groups; improved and unimproved. Improved facilities include flush or pour flush toilet that flushes the water and waste to a piped sewer system, septic tank, covered pit or unknown destination; ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrine, pit latrine with washable slab and with lid, pit Latrine with washable slab without lid and pit latrine without washable slab (soil slab). Unimproved facilities include pit latrine without slab (open pit) and bucket.

More than forty percent (47.0%) of households in Katavi Region use improved toilet facilities. In rural households' 32.1 percent are using improved toilet facilities; this is below the Third Tanzania Five Years Development Plan target of 75.0 percent by 2025/26. Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage (81.6%) of households using improved toilet facilities, while Nsimbo District Council has the lowest (32.2%). The most commonly (45.1%) used toilet facilities in private households are pit latrines without slab (open pit). A higher proportion of households using pit latrines without slab/open pit are in rural than in urban areas (45.5% in rural and 44.2% urban). The percentage of households without toilet facilities (open defecation) ranges from 2.2 percent in Mpanda Municipal to 11.6 percent in Mpimbwe District (Figure 12.6 and Table 12.8).




Figure 12. 6: Percentage Distribution of Households with Improved, Unimproved and without Toilet Facility by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | | | Types | of Toilet Facilit | ies | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|--------|---|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Total Number of Households | Flush/ Pour Flush to Piped Sewer System | Flush/ Pour Flush to Septic Tank | Flush/ Pour Flush to Covered Pit | Flush/ Pour Flush to Somewhere Else | Ventilated Improved Pit (VIP) Latrine | Pit Latrine with Washable Slab and with Lid | Pit Latrine with Washable Slab without Lid | Pit Latrine with Not- Washable/ Soil Slab | Pit Latrine Without Slab/ Open Pit | Bucket | No Facility/ Bush/ Field/ Beach |
| Total | 213,825 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 18.4 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 45.1 | 0.9 | 7.0 |
| Rural | 147,583 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 18.1 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 8.4 | 45.5 | 0.9 | 6.8 |
| Urban | 66,242 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 19.2 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 7.6 | 44.2 | 0.9 | 7.4 |
| Male Headed Households | 152,972 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 9.5 | 57.5 | 1.2 | 9.1 |
| Female Headed Households | 60,853 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 41.0 | 4.4 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 4.8 | 13.9 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 164,917 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 37.5 | 4.0 | 9.3 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 4.7 | 16.1 | 0.1 | 2.2 |
| Nsimbo District | 101,585 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 10.9 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 60.3 | 0.1 | 7.4 |
| Tanganyika District | 131,885 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 11.7 | 57.1 | 1.4 | 7.6 |
| Mlele District | 75,964 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 12.7 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 6.4 | 53.3 | 3.3 | 9.8 |
| Mpimbwe District | 81,459 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 16.1 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 8.0 | 48.2 | 0.7 | 11.6 |

Table 12. 8: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Type of Toilet Facility, Place of Residence, Headship and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

12.5 Sources of Energy

The 2022 PHC collected information on households' main source of energy for cooking and lighting. The information collected enabled assessment of access to clean sources of energy (electricity, solar energy and gas). Use of clean energy as an alternative source has two benefits which are (a) to reduce the heavy reliance on wood as the main source of fuel for cooking hence protecting the environment and (b) to improve the health of the population especially women through reduced exposure to smoke from wood fuels.

12.5.1 Sources of Energy for Cooking

Table 12.9 shows that the majority of households in Katavi Region use firewood (57.9%) as the main source of energy for cooking followed by charcoal (33.4%). In rural areas 74.0 percent of households use firewood for cooking while in urban is 17.4 percent. The percentage of households using charcoal for cooking in rural areas is 18.6 percent and in urban areas it is 70.6 percent.

Across councils, the percentage of households using firewood for cooking ranges from 23.6 percent in Mpanda Municipal to 74.6 percent in Nsimbo District Council. On the other hand, the percentage of households using charcoal for cooking ranges from 18.7 percent in Tanganyika District to 64.4 percent in Mpanda Municipal (Table 12.9).

Table 12.9: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Source of Energy for Cooking, Place of Residence, Headship and
Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | Main Source of Energy for Cooking | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-----|--------|--|----------|------|----------|----------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Total Number of Households | Electricit y (TANESC O) | Solar | Generato r/ Private Sources | Gas | Biogas | Wind Generate d Electricit y | Paraffin | Coal | Charcoal | Firewood | Wood/ Residual s | Animal residuals | Charcoal Briquette | Not Applicabl e |
| Total | 213,825 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 33.4 | 57.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Rural | 152,972 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 18.6 | 74.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Urban | 60,853 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 70.6 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Male Headed Households | 147,583 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 32.6 | 58.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Female Headed Households | 66,242 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 35.2 | 56.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 64.4 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.2 | 74.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 18.7 | 72.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 25.9 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 30.0 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |

Clean energy includes electricity, gas, biogas, solar, generator or private sources and wind generated electricity. The use of clean energy for cooking by households has increased from 0.5 percent in 2012 to 7.3 percent in 2022. At council level, there is high increase of households using clean energy in Mpanda Municipal from 1.9 percent in 2012 to 10.7 percent in 2022 and in Mlele District from 0.1 percent in 2012 to 10.1 percent in 2022 (Figure 12.7).




Figure 12. 7: Percentage Distribution of Households Using Clean Energy for Cooking by Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2012 and 2022 PHC

12.5.2 Sources of Energy for Lighting

Results show that, 47.8 percent of households using solar energy in Katavi Region, followed by 20.3 percent of households use electricity from the national grid (TANESCO) as the main source of energy for lighting, Electricity use percentage is nearly the same in both areas, Rural (20.0%) and urban (21.0). Use of electricity for lighting ranges from 6.6 percent in Tanganyika District Council to 47.7 percent in Mpanda Municipal

Councils with lower percentages of households using electricity as the main source for lighting have relatively high percentages of households using solar energy. The Councils with the highest percentage of households using solar as the main source of lighting is Tanganyika District (60.7%) (Figure 12.8 and Table 12.10)






Figure 12.9 shows that, there is an increase in use of national grid electricity for lighting in Katavi Region. Percentage of households using electricity from the national grid for lighting increased from 8.5 percent in 2012 to 20.3 percent in 2022. A similar increase is observed in all councils.






Table 12. 10: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Source of Energy for Lighting, Place of Residence, Headship and
Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | Main Source of Energy | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|--|---|--|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Total Number of Households | Electricity (TANESCO) | Solar | Generator/ Private Source | Gas (Industrial) | Gas (Biogas) | Wind Generated Electricity | Acetylene Lamp | Kerosene (Lantern/ Chimney) | Kerosene (Wick Lamps) | Candles | Firewood | Torch/Chi nese Rechargea ble Lamp | Electricity (Generate d from Plant Residuals) | |
| Total | 213,825 | 20.3 | 47.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 26.6 | 0.0 | |
| Rural | 147,583 | 20.0 | 49.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 25.1 | 0.0 | |
| Urban | 66,242 | 21.0 | 43.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 29.8 | 0.0 | |
| Male Headed | 152,972 | 9.5 | 53.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 31.9 | 0.0 | |
| Female Headed | 60,853 | 47.5 | 34.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 13.2 | 0.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 47.7 | 34.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 0.0 | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 11.6 | 49.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 35.7 | 0.1 | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 6.6 | 60.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 26.5 | 0.1 | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 16.2 | 42.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 32.8 | 0.0 | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 14.0 | 45.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 35.9 | 0.0 | |

12.6 Household Environmental Control

12.6.1 Waste Disposal

Waste disposal is a collection, processing and recycling or deposition of the waste materials generated by human being. Waste materials are either in form of liquid or solid and effect of their components may be either hazardous or inert on health and environment. Improper disposal of waste is a burden to public health provision. This section discusses the major means used by households in disposing solid and electronics waste.

Nearly four out of ten (41.9%) of households in Katavi Region use burning of solid waste as the main method of solid disposal, followed by burying or pit (26.7%). In urban areas, 41.2 percent of private households use burning as means of disposing solid waste, followed by burying or pit (25.7%) and Regularly Collection or pit (13.1%). In rural areas 42.2 percent of households use burning followed by burying or pit (27.2%) and open space (8.4%). Mpanda Municipal Council has a higher percentage (42.2%) of households using regular collection of solid waste followed by Mlele District Council (9.0%) (Table 12.11).

| | | Means of Solid Waste Disposal | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Total Number of Households | Regularly Collected | Irregularly Collected | Burnt | Roadside Dumping | Burying/ Pit | Open Space | Ocean/ Lake/ River/ Shore | In the Farm/ Manure | Bush/ Ravine | | | |
| Total | 213,825 | 11.3 | 2.1 | 41.9 | 0.5 | 26.7 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 4.6 | | | |
| Rural | 147,583 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 42.2 | 0.4 | 27.2 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 4.6 | | | |
| Urban | 66,242 | 13.1 | 2.3 | 41.2 | 0.5 | 25.7 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 4.5 | | | |
| Male Headed Households | 152,972 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 45.1 | 0.4 | 25.4 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 | | | |
| Female Headed Households | 60,853 | 24.3 | 4.9 | 33.9 | 0.6 | 30.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 23.8 | 4.8 | 35.2 | 0.5 | 27.9 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 43.5 | 0.4 | 27.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 3.1 | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 42.4 | 0.4 | 29.6 | 10.0 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 5.9 | | | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 49.1 | 0.5 | 18.9 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.8 | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 46.2 | 0.7 | 23.2 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 7.3 | | | |

Table 12.11: Percentage Distribution of Households by Main Means of Solid Waste Disposal, Place of Residence, Headship
and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

12.6.2 Waste Collection Authorities

The results show that private individuals (47.3%) and Councils (40.3%) are the main authorities that usually collect solid waste in private. Out of the total households which reported that household waste is collected, households in rural areas are more likely to use private individuals (49.2%) as waste collectors while urban households are more likely to use Councils (43.7%) and private individuals (43.9%) (Figure 12.10 and Table 12.12).




Figure 12. 10: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Authorities Collecting Waste and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table 12. 12: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of Authorities Collecting
Waste, Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022
PHC

| | Total | Type of Authority | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Number of Households | Contractor | Waste Collecting Groups | Council | Private Individuals | | | | | |
| Total | 28,534 | 1.9 | 10.5 | 40.3 | 47.3 | | | | | |
| Rural | 18,369 | 1.9 | 10.5 | 38.4 | 49.2 | | | | | |
| Urban | 10,165 | 1.9 | 10.4 | 43.7 | 43.9 | | | | | |
| Male Headed Households | 10,721 | 2.0 | 14.1 | 3.0 | 80.9 | | | | | |
| Female Headed Households | 17,813 | 1.8 | 8.3 | 62.7 | 27.2 | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 16,068 | 1.9 | 8.4 | 68.5 | 21.2 | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 3,028 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 94.1 | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 3,990 | 2.2 | 14.2 | 3.1 | 80.6 | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 2,007 | 3.3 | 35.6 | 3.8 | 57.2 | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 3,441 | 1.2 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 84.0 | | | | | |

12.6.3 Electronic Waste Disposal

Electronic waste (e-waste) refers to electronic products that are unwanted, not working and nearing or at the end of their useful life. Electronic waste are products that have exhausted their utility value through either redundancy, replacement, or breakage and include goods such as computers, televisions, radios, cell phones, copiers, fax machines, refrigerators, washing machines and microwaves. The method through which households use to dispose their electronic waste can pose a risk to public health.

The results show that 53.1 percent of households in Katavi Region mix electronic waste with other refuse. Households living in urban areas (52.7%) and 54.0 percent of those in rural areas mix electronic waste with other refuse. The percentage of households mixing electronic waste with other refuse as main method of disposal is highest (73.4%) in Nsimbo District followed by Mpanda Municipal (58.5%). All councils have more than 40 percent of their households mixing electronic waste with other refuse. (Table 12.13).

Table 12.13: Percentage Distribution of Households by Methods of Disposing Electronic Waste by Place of Residence, Headshipand Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | Electronic Waste Disposal | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Place of Residence/Headship | Total Number of Households | Mixed with other Refuse | Collected by Government | Collected by Private Company | Dumped in the Compound/ Street | Dumped in the Latrine | Burnt in Open/ Pit | Buried | Sold/ Giving as Gift | Collected by Individual(s) | | | |
| Total | 213,825 | 53.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 12.9 | 18.4 | 6.6 | 1.9 | | | |
| Rural | 147,583 | 52.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 13.1 | 18.5 | 6.7 | 1.9 | | | |
| Urban | 66,242 | 54.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 12.6 | 18.0 | 6.4 | 1.9 | | | |
| Male Headed Households | 152,972 | 51.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 13.3 | 18.7 | 7.3 | 2.1 | | | |
| Female Headed Households | 60,853 | 58.3 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 12.1 | 17.5 | 4.8 | 1.5 | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 58.5 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 13.2 | 17.4 | 3.1 | 1.0 | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 73.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 9.7 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 1.1 | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 46.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 13.0 | 22.8 | 8.2 | 2.1 | | | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 43.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 17.8 | 22.8 | 4.1 | 3.2 | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 41.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 13.0 | 21.0 | 14.6 | 3.3 | | | |

12.7 Ownership of Assets

Ownership of assets refers to possession of any personal property regardless of the purpose it serves. Any asset owned by any member of the household was treated as a household asset in the 2022 PHC. The information collected about assets in this section are in three groups: transport, housing and agricultural equipment. Questions on ownership of specific assets aim at obtaining non-monetary poverty indicators.

12.7.1 Transport Assets

Transport assets are motorized equipment (i.e., motor vehicles, motorcycles or vespa and tri-motorcycles), non-motorized equipment (i.e., bicycles and tricycle) and draft animals (i.e., donkey or camel). In Katavi Region, bicycle is the main transportation asset owned by households (38.9%) followed by motorcycles (6.8%) and motor vehicles (1.1%). The percentage of households owning bicycles is higher in male-headed households (42.8%) compared with female-headed households (29.1%). The percentage of households owning bicycles ranges from 31.8 percent in Mpanda Municipal to 50.2 percent in Mlele District (Table 12.14).

| Place of | Total Number of | Types of Assets | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Residence/Headship | Households | Bicycle | Motor vehicle | Motorcycle or Vespa | Tricycle (<i>Guta</i>) | Trimotor cycle | Donkey/Camel | | | | |
| Total | 213,825 | 38.9 | 1.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | | | | |
| Rural | 147,583 | 43.2 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | | | | |
| Urban | 66,242 | 29.3 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | | | | |
| Male Headed Households | 152,972 | 42.8 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | | | | |
| Female Headed Households | 60,853 | 29.1 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 31.8 | 2.5 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 38.1 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 40.7 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | | | | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 50.2 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 41.2 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | | | | |

 Table 12.14: Percentage Distribution of Households Owning Transport Assets by

 Type, Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

12.7.2 Housing and Cooking Assets

Housing and cooking assets include a house, electric or charcoal iron, electric or gas cooker and refrigerator or freezer. The 2022 PHC results show that, 65.6 percent of households own houses. The percentage of households in Katavi Region owning houses is higher (67.0%) in rural area than in urban areas (62.4%). The percentage of male headed households owning houses is higher (72.4%) than for female headed households (48.5%). Other housing and cooking assets owned by households are charcoal iron (8.4%), electric iron (4.4%), electric or gas cooker (3.7%) and refrigerator or freezer (2.1%). Except for ownership of electric or gas cooker, households living in urban areas are more likely to own housing, electric iron and charcoal iron assets than households living in rural areas (Table 12.15).

| Table 12.15: Percentage Distribution of Households Owning Housing and Cooking |
|---|
| Assets by Type, Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, |
| 2022 PHC |

| Place of | Total Number | | | Types of As | sets | |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Residence/Headship | of Households | House | Electric Iron | Charcoal Iron | Electric or Gas Cooker | Refrigerator or Freezer |
| Total | 213,825 | 65.6 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 3.7 | 2.1 |
| Rural | 147,583 | 67.0 | 4.5 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 2.1 |
| Urban | 66,242 | 62.4 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 2.1 |
| Male Headed Households | 152,972 | 72.4 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Female Headed Households | 60,853 | 48.5 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 5.6 |
| Council | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 52.1 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 5.8 |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 65.3 | 1.5 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 73.7 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 73.4 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 67.3 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 |

12.7.3 Agricultural Assets

Agricultural assets include plough, power tiller, hand hoe, oxen, land or farm and tractor. In Katavi Region, 59.3 percent of households own land or farm and 62.0 percent own a hand hoe. The percentage of households Owning land or farm is higher (61.0%) among households living in rural areas than in urban area (54.9%). Households in rural areas are also more likely 63.9% to own hand hoes than those in urban areas 57.9%.

Across councils, ownership of land or farm ranges from 41.4 percent of households in Mpanda Municipal to 75.8 percent of households in Mlele District. On the other hand, the percentage of households owning hand hoe ranges from 53.2 percent in Mpanda Municipal to 68.8 percent in Mlele District (Table 12.16).

Table 12.169: Percentage Distribution of Households Owning Agricultural Assets byType, Place of Residence, Headship and Council; Katavi Region, 2022PHC

| Place of | Total | Types of Assets | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------|--------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Residence/Headship | Number of Households | Plough | Power Tiller | Hand Hoe | Wheel barrow | Oxen | Farm or Land | Tractor | | | | | |
| Total | 213,825 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 62.0 | 2.4 | 11.5 | 59.2 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Rural | 147,583 | 10.2 | 0.3 | 63.9 | 2.6 | 12.0 | 61.1 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Urban | 66,242 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 57.9 | 1.9 | 10.1 | 54.9 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Male Headed Households | 152,972 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 67.1 | 2.5 | 15.0 | 66.6 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Female Headed Households | 60,853 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 49.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 40.5 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 53.2 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 41.4 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 58.7 | 1.3 | 8.0 | 63.1 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 68.0 | 1.4 | 13.2 | 64.5 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 13.9 | 0.2 | 68.8 | 3.7 | 15.8 | 75.8 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 20.5 | 0.5 | 64.3 | 5.6 | 23.2 | 64.1 | 0.3 | | | | | |

Chapter 13

Access and Use of Information and Communication Technology by Individuals and Households.

- About three quarter of (75.3%) person aged 15 years and above own mobile phone.
- About nine percent (8.8%) of persons aged 15 years and above own both smart phone and non-smart phone.
- Less than one percent (0.5%) of persons aged 15 years and above own only smart phone or tablets
- About two thirds (66.0%) of persons aged 15 years and above own only non-smart phone
- Almost eight out of ten households (80.3%) have at least one person aged 4 or above who own a mobile phone in Katavi Region.
- Less than one percent (0.8%) of persons aged 15 years and above own desktop and almost one percent (1.4%) own laptop computers.
- Majority of persons aged 15 years and above (77.8%) use ICT equipment for communication.
- Non-smartphone is mostly owned by individuals with training after secondary education (98.0%).
- Smartphone is mostly owned among individuals with university and other related education level (73.8%)

13.1 Introduction

Information, Communication and Technology (ICT) is increasingly setting the pace of global socio-economic development. Countries are prioritising investment in the digital ecosystem to transform and modernise their economies in recognising ICT's developmental role in facilitating and accelerating overall development. ICT statistics have been an agenda in the International statistical community for many years, and it has been receiving increasing attention due to its impact on the economy and society in general.

The availability of ICT infrastructure and its use continues to grow. The Internet, in particular, is transforming society through the growing amount of information and related tools available. These advances and recognition that ICTs drive society and economic development have led to the need for reliable, comprehensive and comparable statistics to support Government decisions.

This chapter describes the status of individuals and household's access and the use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT), in Katavi Region which includes ownership and use of ICT equipment. Although the information on ICT access and use by households and individuals was collected from all persons aged four years and above, for the purpose of this report, some indicators are analysed based on persons aged 15 years and above to facilitate national, regional comparisons of ICT Indicators. In addition, ICT indicators in this report comply with the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Guidelines for Measuring ICT Access and Usage by Individuals and Households.

The Information collected during 2022 PHC, includes access and usage of radios, televisions, computers, mobile phones, fixed-line telephones and internet facilities for all individuals aged 4 years and above. Access, usage and ownership of ICT equipment are key in linking communities, facilitating businesses and empowering communities socially and economically.

13.2 Households with Radio

The 2022 PHC results reveal that 45.5 percent of households in Katavi Region have a radio⁶. Higher proportions of households with radios are in urban areas (74.8%) than in rural areas (33.8%). The Council with the largest percentage of households with radio is Mpanda Municipal Council (87.7%), followed by Mlele District (39.5%). Mpimbwe District has the smallest percentage of households with radio (24.9%) (Table 13.1).

13.3 Ownership of Television

According to the 2022 PHC results, 26.3 percent of the households in Katavi Region owns televisions. Analysis by the place of residence indicates that urban areas have a higher proportion (64.0%) of households with television sets than in rural areas (11.3%). Across councils, Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest proportion of households owning television (74.4%), followed by Mlele District (14.0%). On the other hand, Tanganyika District Council has the smallest proportion (7.5%) of households owning television (Table 13.1).

⁶ For the purpose of the 2022 PHC, radio was limited to a standalone unit only.

13.4 Households with Landline Telephone

According to the Tanzania Communications Regulatory Authority (TCRA) communication statistics report, landline telephone market is characterised by general stagnation, manifested by drastically decreasing subscriptions from 176,367 fixed telephone lines in 2012 to 85,976 in 2022.

The 2022 PHC results reveal that about one percent (1.6%) of households in Katavi Region has landline telephones. Households with landline telephone is proportionately higher in urban areas (4.2%) than in rural areas (0.7%). Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest proportion of households with landline telephone (4.4%), the rest of Councils has less than one percent of households with landline telephones (Table 13.1).

13.5 Households with Internet Facility

The 2022 PHC results reveal that 0.2 percent of households in Katavi Region have Internet⁷ facilities. Urban areas have higher proportion (0.3%) of households with Internet facilities than in rural areas (0.1%). Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest percentage of households with internet facilities (0.4%) and the remaining Districts (Nsimbo District, Tanganyika District, Mpimbwe District and Mlele District) has relatively small percentages of households with internet facilities (0.1% each) (Table 13.1).

⁷ 'Household with Internet access' means that the Internet is generally available for use by all members of the household at any time, regardless of whether it is actually used.

Table 13. 1: Percentage Distribution of Households Owning Selected ICT Equipment and Selected Household Attributes by Placeof Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC


| | Total Number of | | Type of ICT Equipments | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------|---|---|----------------------|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Place of Residence | Households | Owning Radio | Owning Radio with children under 15 | Owning Radio without children under 15 | Owning Television | Owning Television with children under 15 | Owning Television without children under 15 | Has land-line telephone | Has internet facility | | | | | | | |
| Total | 213,825 | 45.5 | 34.4 | 11.1 | 26.3 | 19.5 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 152,972 | 33.8 | 27.2 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 60,853 | 74.8 | 52.4 | 22.3 | 64.0 | 45.3 | 18.7 | 4.2 | 0.3 | | | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 87.7 | 61.7 | 26.0 | 74.4 | 52.7 | 21.7 | 4.4 | 0.4 | | | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 26.5 | 21.3 | 5.2 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | | | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 32.5 | 26.4 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | | | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 39.5 | 30.6 | 8.9 | 14.0 | 11.1 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | | | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 24.9 | 21.1 | 3.8 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | | | | | | | |


13.6 Mobile Phones

13.6.1 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Individuals

An individual is considered owns a mobile cellular telephone if he/she has a mobile phone device with at least one active SIM card for personal use. It includes those with a mobile phone for personal use that is not registered under his/her name but it excludes individuals with only active SIM cards (s) and not mobile phones.


Results reveal that 75.3 percent of the population aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region owning any mobile phone. Individuals in urban areas reported higher proportion of households owning mobile phones (83.6%) than rural areas (72.4%). Ownership of mobile phones is higher among males (84.4%) than females (66.7%) (Figure 13.1 and Table 13.1).






| | Total Pop | ulation Aged | 15 Years | | | | | N | Iobile Phon | e Ownership | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|------------------|------|--------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------------------|------|--------|
| Place of Residences | | and Above | | Any Mobile Phone | | | Both Smart and | Both Smart and Non smart phone | | | or Table | et Only | Non-Smart phone Only | | |
| Residences | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 562,111 | 273,095 | 289,016 | 75.3 | 84.4 | 66.7 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 66 | 72.5 | 59.9 |
| Rural | 417,418 | 204,400 | 213,018 | 72.4 | 83 | 62.3 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 66.5 | 74.9 | 58.5 |
| Urban | 144,693 | 68,695 | 75,998 | 83.6 | 88.5 | 79.2 | 18.3 | 22.4 | 14.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 64.4 | 65.4 | 63.6 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 133,001 | 63,723 | 69,278 | 83.5 | 88.4 | 79 | 18.1 | 21.7 | 14.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1 | 64.6 | 66 | 63.3 |
| Nsimbo District | 97,341 | 47,683 | 49,658 | 71 | 82.6 | 59.9 | 6 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 64.6 | 73.7 | 55.8 |
| Tanganyika District | 175,216 | 85,959 | 89,257 | 74.7 | 84.7 | 65 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 69.3 | 77.2 | 61.7 |
| Mlele District | 55,037 | 27,100 | 27,937 | 71.8 | 81.3 | 62.7 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 64.1 | 71.3 | 57.2 |
| Mpimbwe District | 101,516 | 48,630 | 52,886 | 71.7 | 82.1 | 62.1 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 64.6 | 72.2 | 57.6 |


Table 13. 2: Number and Percentage of Population aged 15 years and above Owning Mobile Phones by Type, Sex, Place of
Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC




Map 13. 1: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 years and Above Owning Any Mobile Phones by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC.



Map 13. 2: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 years and Above Owning Both Smartphones and Non-Smartphone by Council; Katavi Region 2022 PHC.



Map 13. 3: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 years and Above Owning only Smartphones or Tablet by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC.



Map 13. 4: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 years and Above Owning only Non-Smart Phones by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC.

13.6.2 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Households

Ownership of a mobile phone by phone type indicates that in Katavi Region 80.3 percent of households have at least one member aged four years and above owning any type of mobile phone. The proportion of households owning mobile phones is higher (88.8%) in urban areas than in rural areas (76.9%). In urban areas, the proportion of households that own smart mobile phone is 27.7 percent and 83.3 percent for non-smartphone; which is higher than in rural areas (10.1% and 75.5%, respectively).

Across all Councils, the proportion of households with smartphones in Katavi Region is less than 30 percent of all households. Results also indicate that Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest percentage of households that owns smart mobile phones (26.9%), followed by Mpimbwe District (13.6%) while Tanganyika District recorded 8.9 percent which is the least (Table 13.3).

| Place of Residence | Number of | Mobile Phone Ownership | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Private Households | At least one Member Owning a Mobile Phone | Households with Smart Phone | Households with Non-Smart Phone | | | | | | | |
| Total | 213,825 | 80.3 | 15.1 | 77.7 | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 152,972 | 76.9 | 10.1 | 75.5 | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 60,853 | 88.8 | 27.7 | 83.3 | | | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 56,199 | 88.6 | 26.9 | 83.1 | | | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 36,647 | 79.1 | 11.0 | 77.6 | | | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 67,696 | 73.5 | 8.9 | 72.3 | | | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 20,248 | 79.4 | 13.4 | 77.3 | | | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 33,035 | 81.8 | 13.6 | 80.2 | | | | | | | |

Table 13. 3: Number and Percentage Distribution of Households with at Least One
Member Aged Four Years and Above Owning Mobile Phone by Type, Place
of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

13.6.3 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Age Groups and Sex

Non-smartphone is the most widely owned phone type among persons aged four years and above in Katavi Region; it is owned by 50.7 percent of all individuals (53.8% of males and 47.7% of females). When the data is disaggregated by locality, 48.3 percent of individuals in rural areas owns non-smartphones compared with 58.5 percent of those in urban areas (Table 13.4).

The proportion of ownership of smartphone is the highest (14.5%) for individuals in the age group 30-34 years while for non-smartphone, it is the highest (90.9%) which is in the age group 45-49 years. The results also show that proportion of ownership of non-smart phone increases steadily from age group 5-9 years and attains its maximum point at age group 45-49, thereafter declines steadily to persons of age groups 80 and above. Ownership of smart phone increases from age group 5 – 9 years and attains its maximum position in the age group 30 -34 and then steadily declines to older age groups (Figure 13.2).




Figure 13. 2: Percentage Distribution of Individuals Owning Mobile Phone by Type and Age Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | Non-smartphone | | | | | | | | | | Smartphone | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|--|--|--|
| Age | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban Total | | | | | | Rural | Urban | | | | | | |
| Groups | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | | |
| Total | 50.7 | 53.8 | 47.7 | 48.3 | 52.0 | 44.7 | 58.5 | 60.0 | 57.1 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 13.4 | 15.7 | 11.2 | | | |
| 4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | |
| 5 - 9 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | | | |
| 10- 14 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | | | |
| 15 - 19 | 59.0 | 60.8 | 57.3 | 57.2 | 59.8 | 54.6 | 64.9 | 64.4 | 65.4 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 8.5 | | | |
| 20 - 24 | 79.7 | 86.7 | 74.1 | 77.0 | 85.2 | 70.4 | 87.1 | 91.0 | 84.2 | 11.6 | 15.0 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 5.0 | 23.2 | 28.2 | 19.6 | | | |
| 25 - 29 | 86.3 | 93.7 | 79.9 | 83.8 | 92.5 | 76.0 | 92.6 | 96.5 | 89.3 | 14.4 | 18.4 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 13.1 | 6.4 | 26.5 | 31.7 | 22.0 | | | |
| 30 - 34 | 89.0 | 95.6 | 82.5 | 87.1 | 94.8 | 79.4 | 93.8 | 97.6 | 90.1 | 14.5 | 18.6 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 26.9 | 31.9 | 22.0 | | | |
| 35 - 39 | 89.3 | 96.3 | 82.3 | 87.5 | 95.7 | 79.2 | 94.3 | 97.9 | 90.5 | 11.8 | 15.3 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 10.6 | 4.2 | 23.5 | 27.9 | 18.9 | | | |
| 40 - 44 | 90.3 | 96.6 | 83.7 | 88.7 | 96.0 | 80.9 | 94.9 | 98.3 | 91.3 | 9.8 | 12.5 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 20.7 | 25.0 | 16.2 | | | |
| 45 - 49 | 90.9 | 96.7 | 84.4 | 89.6 | 96.3 | 82.2 | 94.8 | 97.8 | 91.5 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 18.0 | 21.2 | 14.4 | | | |
| 50 - 54 | 90.1 | 96.1 | 83.7 | 88.9 | 95.5 | 81.8 | 94.3 | 97.8 | 90.2 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 15.8 | 19.4 | 11.8 | | | |
| 55 - 59 | 89.7 | 96.7 | 82.1 | 88.5 | 96.2 | 79.7 | 94.3 | 98.8 | 89.9 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 15.3 | 20.2 | 10.6 | | | |
| 60 - 64 | 87.9 | 96.0 | 79.2 | 86.8 | 95.7 | 77.0 | 91.8 | 97.2 | 86.6 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 14.8 | 8.4 | | | |
| 65 - 69 | 86.5 | 95.3 | 77.2 | 85.3 | 95.1 | 74.8 | 90.6 | 96.4 | 85.4 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 8.7 | 11.9 | 5.9 | | | |
| 70 - 74 | 84.1 | 94.0 | 74.7 | 82.5 | 93.5 | 71.4 | 89.9 | 96.0 | 85.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 2.1 | | | |
| 75 - 79 | 81.4 | 93.2 | 70.1 | 80.3 | 92.8 | 67.6 | 85.9 | 95.5 | 78.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 2.4 | | | |
| 80 + | 72.7 | 86.4 | 63.1 | 71.4 | 85.8 | 60.8 | 77.7 | 89.0 | 71.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 1.7 | | | |

Table 13. 4: Percentage Distribution of Population Aged Four Years and Above Owning Mobile Phone by Type, Place of Residence,Sex and Age Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

13.6.4 Ownership of Mobile Phones by Level of Education

The 2022 PHC results reveal that non-smartphones are mostly owned by individuals with training after secondary education (98.0%) compared with other levels of education and least owned by those with pri-primary education level (69.1%). Analysis by sex shows that, non-smartphone ownership is higher among males in all education levels than female (Table 13.5).

Table 13. 5: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Owning Non-smartphone by Place of Residence, Sexand Education Level Attained, Katavi Region; 2022 PHC

| | | Percent | | | | | | | | | Number of Persons | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|---------------|-------------------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|--|
| Education | Total | | Rural | | Urban | | Total | | | Rural | | | | Urban | | | | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Pre-Primary | 69.1 | 79.8 | 59.7 | 66.7 | 77.7 | 57.3 | 83.0 | 90.7 | 75.0 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 11.5 | |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 79.6 | 88.4 | 70.3 | 77.2 | 87.4 | 65.8 | 86.0 | 91.4 | 81.1 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 3.5 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 11.5 | |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 70.1 | 73.9 | 65.8 | 64.3 | 69.3 | 58.2 | 77.6 | 80.2 | 75.0 | 18.2 | 20.3 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 14.9 | 9.8 | 25.5 | 27.7 | 23.1 | |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 77.3 | 80.1 | 71.3 | 73.7 | 76.8 | 66.0 | 80.9 | 83.9 | 75.5 | 32.2 | 34.1 | 28.2 | 26.1 | 28.6 | 19.6 | 38.6 | 40.5 | 35.2 | |
| University and Other Related | 92.6 | 94.5 | 89.5 | 89.7 | 92.9 | 84.1 | 95.5 | 96.0 | 94.6 | 64.6 | 67.1 | 60.3 | 54.6 | 59.0 | 46.7 | 74.4 | 75.3 | 72.9 | |
| Training After Primary | 94.9 | 97.8 | 88.1 | 93.2 | 97.4 | 80.4 | 96.7 | 98.3 | 94.0 | 33.7 | 34.7 | 31.4 | 30.7 | 33.1 | 23.5 | 37.0 | 36.8 | 37.3 | |
| Training After Secondary education | 98.0 | 98.4 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 97.9 | 96.3 | 98.3 | 98.7 | 97.9 | 73.8 | 72.6 | 75.7 | 72.0 | 71.5 | 73.1 | 75.0 | 73.4 | 77.0 | |

The 2022 PHC results reveal that, smartphones in Katavi Region are mostly owned by individuals who attained training after Secondary education (73.8) followed by individuals who attained university and other related levels of education (64.6%) and least owned by those attained Pre-Primary education (4.1%). Ownership of Smartphone in Katavi Region is higher in urban areas than in rural areas for all education levels (Table 13.6).

Table 13. 6: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Owning Smartphone by Place of Residence, Sex andEducation Level Attained, Katavi Region; 2022 PHC

| | | Percent | | | | | | | | | Number of Persons Own Smartphone | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Education | Total | | | Rural | | | | Urban | | Total | | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | |
| Pre-Primary | 4.1 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | | |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 7.9 | 9.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 14.1 | 16.6 | 11.8 | 23,223 | 14,564 | 8,659 | 12,036 | 8,331 | 3,705 | 11,187 | 6,233 | 4,954 | | |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 19.6 | 21.6 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 15.9 | 10.9 | 27.3 | 29.4 | 25.1 | 15,542 | 9,105 | 6,437 | 6,143 | 3,916 | 2,227 | 9,399 | 5,189 | 4,210 | | |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 34.1 | 35.1 | 31.7 | 26.9 | 29.0 | 21.6 | 41.4 | 42.3 | 39.8 | 1,852 | 1,298 | 554 | 742 | 574 | 168 | 1,110 | 724 | 386 | | |
| University and Other Related | 64.6 | 67.1 | 60.3 | 54.6 | 59.0 | 46.7 | 74.4 | 75.3 | 72.9 | 8,189 | 5,366 | 2,823 | 3,430 | 2,379 | 1,051 | 4,759 | 2,987 | 1,772 | | |
| Training After Primary | 33.7 | 34.7 | 31.4 | 30.7 | 33.1 | 23.5 | 37.0 | 36.8 | 37.3 | 131 | 94 | 37 | 63 | 51 | 12 | 68 | 43 | 25 | | |
| Training After Secondary Education | 73.8 | 72.6 | 75.7 | 72.0 | 71.5 | 73.1 | 75.0 | 73.4 | 77.0 | 1,659 | 976 | 683 | 628 | 411 | 217 | 1,031 | 565 | 466 | | |

13.6.5 Usage of Mobile Phones by Individuals

The results reveal that 80.9 percent of individuals aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region are using non-smartphones and 9.7 percent are using smartphones. The proportion of individuals using non smartphones is higher (86.9%) in urban areas than in rural areas (78.8%). A similar situation is observed in the usage of smartphones, where the proportion of individuals using smartphones in urban areas (19.8%) is higher than that of rural areas (6.1%). Generally, proportion of males who are using mobile phones are slightly higher than that for females for both smart and non-smart mobile phone.

Across all councils, the proportion of individuals using non smartphone is the highest in Mpanda Municipal Council 87.7% followed by Tanganyika District 79.9%. The proportion of individuals using smartphone is the highest in Mpanda Municipal 19.6% followed by Mlele District 5.7%. The district with the smallest proportion of individuals using non smartphone is Tanganyika District is 3.6%. On the other hand, Mpimbwe District Council has the smallest proportion of individuals using of individuals using both non smartphones (77.0%) and smartphones (7.3%) (Table 13.7).


| Table 13. 7: Number and Percenta | ge of I | Populati | ion | Aged 15 Ye | ears a | and Above | e Using |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|-----|------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Mobile phone by Type | , Sex, | Place | of | Residence | and | Council, | Katavi |
| Region; 2022 PHC | | | | | | | |


| Place of | | f Persons Us quipments | ing ICT | Percent | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|--------|----------------|------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Residence | | quipmento | | Smar | t mobile ph | one | Non-smartphone | | | | | | | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | | | | | |
| Total | 562,111 | 273,095 | 289,016 | 9.7 | 12.2 | 7.2 | 80.9 | 87.1 | 75.1 | | | | | | |
| Rural | 417,418 | 204,400 | 213,018 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 4.0 | 78.8 | 86.0 | 72.0 | | | | | | |
| Urban | 144,693 | 68,695 | 75,998 | 19.8 | 23.7 | 16.3 | 86.9 | 90.3 | 83.9 | | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 133,001 | 63,723 | 69,278 | 19.6 | 23.0 | 16.5 | 87.7 | 90.8 | 84.9 | | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 97,341 | 47,683 | 49,658 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 4.4 | 79.3 | 86.3 | 72.5 | | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 175,216 | 85,959 | 89,257 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 79.9 | 87.1 | 73.0 | | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 55,037 | 27,100 | 27,937 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 5.7 | 77.7 | 84.1 | 71.4 | | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 101,516 | 48,630 | 52,886 | 7.3 | 10.1 | 4.8 | 77.0 | 84.6 | 70.0 | | | | | | |

13.6.6 Usage of Mobile Phones by Type, Age Group and Sex

The majority of persons (50.7%) aged four years and above in Katavi Region used nonsmartphones in the three months prior to the 2022 PHC. The proportion of males (53.8%) using of non-smartphones is slightly less than that of females (47.7%). Non-smartphone usage among individuals in rural areas is lower with (48.3%) compared with individuals in urban areas (58.5%). Similar situation is observed in the usage of smart phone where the proportion of population that use smart phone is higher in urban areas (13.4%) than in rural areas (3.7%) (Table 13.8).

Non-smart phones are mostly used by individuals aged 20 - 79 years while smart phones are mostly used by individuals aged 20 - 34 years. The use of non-smartphones increases steadily from age 5-9 up to age 20-24, then increases at a slow pace up to age group 45 - 49, thereafter decreases slowly down to age group 80 years and above (Figure 13.3).





| Table 13. 8: Percentage of Population Aged 4 Years and Above Using Mobile Phone by Type, Place of Residence, Sex and A | Age |
|--|-----|
| Group; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC. | - |

| Age Groups | | | | Percent of N | Ion-Smartph | one Users | | | | | | | Percent | of Smartpho | ne Users | | | |
|------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------------|-------|--------|
| | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 50.7 | 53.8 | 47.7 | 48.3 | 52.0 | 44.7 | 58.5 | 60.0 | 57.1 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 13.4 | 15.7 | 11.2 |
| 4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 05-09 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 10-14 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 15 - 19 | 59.0 | 60.8 | 57.3 | 57.2 | 59.8 | 54.6 | 64.9 | 64.4 | 65.4 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 8.5 |
| 20 - 24 | 79.7 | 86.7 | 74.1 | 77.0 | 85.2 | 70.4 | 87.1 | 91.0 | 84.2 | 11.6 | 15.0 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 5.0 | 23.2 | 28.2 | 19.6 |
| 25 - 29 | 86.3 | 93.7 | 79.9 | 83.8 | 92.5 | 76.0 | 92.6 | 96.5 | 89.3 | 14.4 | 18.4 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 13.1 | 6.4 | 26.5 | 31.7 | 22.0 |
| 30 - 34 | 89.0 | 95.6 | 82.5 | 87.1 | 94.8 | 79.4 | 93.8 | 97.6 | 90.1 | 14.5 | 18.6 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 26.9 | 31.9 | 22.0 |
| 35 - 39 | 89.3 | 96.3 | 82.3 | 87.5 | 95.7 | 79.2 | 94.3 | 97.9 | 90.5 | 11.8 | 15.3 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 10.6 | 4.2 | 23.5 | 27.9 | 18.9 |
| 40 - 44 | 90.3 | 96.6 | 83.7 | 88.7 | 96.0 | 80.9 | 94.9 | 98.3 | 91.3 | 9.8 | 12.5 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 20.7 | 25.0 | 16.2 |
| 45 - 49 | 90.9 | 96.7 | 84.4 | 89.6 | 96.3 | 82.2 | 94.8 | 97.8 | 91.5 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 18.0 | 21.2 | 14.4 |
| 50 - 54 | 90.1 | 96.1 | 83.7 | 88.9 | 95.5 | 81.8 | 94.3 | 97.8 | 90.2 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 15.8 | 19.4 | 11.8 |
| 55 - 59 | 89.7 | 96.7 | 82.1 | 88.5 | 96.2 | 79.7 | 94.3 | 98.8 | 89.9 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 15.3 | 20.2 | 10.6 |
| 60 - 64 | 87.9 | 96.0 | 79.2 | 86.8 | 95.7 | 77.0 | 91.8 | 97.2 | 86.6 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 14.8 | 8.4 |
| 65 - 69 | 86.5 | 95.3 | 77.2 | 85.3 | 95.1 | 74.8 | 90.6 | 96.4 | 85.4 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 8.7 | 11.9 | 5.9 |
| 70 - 74 | 84.1 | 94.0 | 74.7 | 82.5 | 93.5 | 71.4 | 89.9 | 96.0 | 85.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 2.1 |
| 75 - 79 | 81.4 | 93.2 | 70.1 | 80.3 | 92.8 | 67.6 | 85.9 | 95.5 | 78.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 2.4 |
| 80 + | 72.7 | 86.4 | 63.1 | 71.4 | 85.8 | 60.8 | 77.7 | 89.0 | 71.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 1.7 |

13.6.7 Type of Use of ICT Equipment

The Census further sought to establish the use of ICT equipment (mobile phones and computers) for all persons aged 15 years and above. The results show that majority of persons aged 15 and above years in Katavi Region mostly use ICT equipment for communication (77.8%), followed by 34.3 percent of person using ICT equipment for sending and receiving money and 18.0 percent of person using ICT equipment for searching/receiving information (Table 13.9).

The results further show that persons who are living in both rural and urban areas mostly use ICT equipment for communication (75.5% for rural and 84.4% for urban), followed by sending and receiving money (28.6% for rural and 50.6% for urban) and searching or receiving information (28.1% for rural and 45.6% for urban). However, the likelihood of using ICT equipment for any purpose is higher in urban areas than in rural areas (Table 13.9). The use of ICT equipment by individuals aged 15 years and above varies across Councils. The most common use of ICT equipment across councils is for communication followed by sending and receiving money and searching/receiving information. Online business was the least use of ICT equipment across councils (Table 13.9).

Table 13. 9: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Using ICT Equipment by Type of Use, Sex, Place of Residence and
Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Council | Comn | nunicatio | on | Search/reco | eive info | rmation | Online | busines | 6 | Le | earning | | Playing gam | es/Enter | tainment | Sending a | and Rece noney | eiving | Number | of People Usi Equipment | ing ICT |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|--------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
| Council | Both | Mal | Femal | Both | Mal | Femal | Both Sexes | Mal | Femal | Both | Mal | Femal | Both | Mal | Femal | Both | Mal | Femal | Both | Male | Female |
| | Sexes | е | е | Sexes | е | е | | е | е | Sexes | е | е | Sexes | е | е | Sexes | е | е | Sexes | | |
| Total | | 83. | | | 21. | | | | | | | | | | | | 39. | | | | |
| | 77.8 | 8 | 72.1 | 18.0 | 1 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 34.3 | 5 | 29.3 | 562,111 | 273,095 | 289,016 |
| Rural | | 82. | | | 17. | | | | | | | | | | | | 34. | | | | |
| | 75.5 | 5 | 68.8 | 14.7 | 7 | 11.8 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 28.6 | 5 | 23.0 | 417,418 | 204,400 | 213,018 |
| Urban | | 87. | | | 31. | | | | | | 10. | | | 10. | | | 54. | | | | |
| | 84.4 | 7 | 81.3 | 27.7 | 3 | 24.5 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 7 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 6 | 7.1 | 50.6 | 5 | 47.1 | 144,693 | 68,695 | 75,998 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 84.4 | 87. | 81.7 | 27.9 | 31. | 24.8 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 8.8 | 10. | 7.2 | 9.0 | 10. | 7.4 | 49.5 | 53. | 46.2 | 133,001 | 63,723 | 69,278 |
| Mpanda Municipal | | 5 | | | 2 | | | | | | 5 | | | 8 | | | 0 | | | | |
| | 77.2 | 84. | 70.5 | 19.2 | 23. | 15.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 34.3 | 41. | 27.4 | 97,341 | 47,683 | 49,658 |
| Nsimbo District | | 2 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | |
| | 76.2 | 83. | 69.5 | 11.6 | 14. | 9.2 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 28.9 | 34. | 23.6 | 175,216 | 85,959 | 89,257 |
| Tanganyika District | | 2 | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | | |
| | 75.0 | 81. | 69.2 | 12.4 | 14. | 10.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 25.4 | 29. | 21.0 | 55,037 | 27,100 | 27,937 |
| Mlele District | | 0 | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | | | | |
| | 73.9 | 81. | 67.1 | 18.2 | 22. | 14.7 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 28.3 | 34. | 23.1 | 101,516 | 48,630 | 52,886 |
| Mpimbwe District | | 4 | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | |

13.6.8 Usage of Mobile Phones by Education Level

The 2022 PHC results reveal that, in Katavi Region non-smartphone is mostly used by individuals who have attained training after secondary education (98.4%) and least used by those who have attained pre-primary level (75.8%). Analysis by sex shows that, the usage of non-smartphone is higher for males and females who have attained training after secondary education than other education level.

The uses of non-smartphone in Katavi Region are higher in urban areas than in rural areas for all levels of education (Table 13.10).

Table 13. 10: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Non-smartphones by Sex and EducationLevel; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | Nu | mber of Pers | ons Using No | on-smartphor | nes | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Education Level | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| Education Level | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | |
| Pre-Primary | 75.8 | 82.8 | 69.7 | 74.1 | 81.3 | 68.0 | 85.8 | 90.7 | 80.8 | 540 | 275 | 265 | 449 | 226 | 223 | 91 | 49 | 42 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 85.4 | 91.0 | 79.6 | 83.8 | 90.2 | 76.7 | 89.8 | 93.4 | 86.5 | 252,081 | 137,921 | 114,160 | 180,721 | 102,785 | 77,936 | 71,360 | 35,136 | 36,224 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 77.0 | 79.4 | 74.1 | 72.6 | 75.9 | 68.5 | 82.7 | 84.4 | 81.0 | 61,079 | 33,558 | 27,521 | 32,621 | 18,687 | 13,934 | 28,458 | 14,871 | 13,587 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 83.2 | 85.2 | 79.0 | 80.7 | 82.9 | 75.0 | 85.8 | 87.8 | 82.1 | 4,524 | 3,145 | 1,379 | 2,224 | 1,641 | 583 | 2,300 | 1,504 | 796 |
| University and Other Related | 94.2 | 95.6 | 91.8 | 92.0 | 94.4 | 87.7 | 96.4 | 96.9 | 95.7 | 11,948 | 7,650 | 4,298 | 5,778 | 3,805 | 1,973 | 6,170 | 3,845 | 2,325 |
| Training After Primary | 96.7 | 97.8 | 94.1 | 95.6 | 97.4 | 90.2 | 97.8 | 98.3 | 97.0 | 376 | 265 | 111 | 196 | 150 | 46 | 180 | 115 | 65 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 98.4 | 98.8 | 97.9 | 98.1 | 98.3 | 97.6 | 98.7 | 99.2 | 98.0 | 2,212 | 1,329 | 883 | 855 | 565 | 290 | 1,357 | 764 | 593 |

In Katavi Region, the smartphone is mostly used by individuals who with training after secondary education (73.8%) compared with other levels of education and it is less used by those who attained pre-primary level (4.1%). The percentage of individuals who use smartphone is higher for males in all levels of education than for females except for those with training after primary levels and training after secondary education whereby it is higher for females than for males. The percentage of individuals who use smartphone in Katavi Region is higher in urban areas than in rural areas for all education levels (Table 13.11).

Table 13. 11: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Smartphone by Place of Residence Sex andEducation Level; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | Nur | nber of Per | sons Using | Smartphor | nes | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------|--------|
| Education Level | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Pre-Primary | 4.1 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 7.9 | 9.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 14.1 | 16.6 | 11.8 | 23,223 | 14,564 | 8,659 | 12,036 | 8,331 | 3,705 | 11,187 | 6,233 | 4,954 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 19.6 | 21.6 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 15.9 | 10.9 | 27.3 | 29.4 | 25.1 | 15,542 | 9,105 | 6,437 | 6,143 | 3,916 | 2,227 | 9,399 | 5,189 | 4,210 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 34.1 | 35.1 | 31.7 | 26.9 | 29.0 | 21.6 | 41.4 | 42.3 | 39.8 | 1,852 | 1,298 | 554 | 742 | 574 | 168 | 1,110 | 724 | 386 |
| University and Other Related | 64.6 | 67.1 | 60.3 | 54.6 | 59.0 | 46.7 | 74.4 | 75.3 | 72.9 | 8,189 | 5,366 | 2,823 | 3,430 | 2,379 | 1,051 | 4,759 | 2,987 | 1,772 |
| Training After Primary | 33.7 | 34.7 | 31.4 | 30.7 | 33.1 | 23.5 | 37.0 | 36.8 | 37.3 | 131 | 94 | 37 | 63 | 51 | 12 | 68 | 43 | 25 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 73.8 | 72.6 | 75.7 | 72.0 | 71.5 | 73.1 | 75.0 | 73.4 | 77.0 | 1,659 | 976 | 683 | 628 | 411 | 217 | 1,031 | 565 | 466 |

13.6.9 Usage of Mobile Phones by Employment Status and Sex

The results reveal that, in Katavi Region, the percentage of individuals who use non-smartphones ranges from 88.6 percent among unclassified workers category to 95 percent among paid employee category. Regarding the usage of mobile phones across Employment status by sex and place of residence, results show that the percentage of male using mobile phones is higher than females across all employment categories. Furthermore, results show that individuals who use non-smartphone is higher in urban (97.5%) than in rural (93.3%) areas for all types of labour force (Table 13.12).

Table 13. 12: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Non-smartphone by Place of Residence, Sexand Employment Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Nun | ber of Pers | ons | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Employment Status | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | |
| Paid employee | 95.0 | 95.7 | 88.9 | 93.3 | 94.2 | 85.7 | 97.5 | 97.8 | 94.4 | 1,725 | 1,556 | 169 | 996 | 894 | 102 | 729 | 662 | 67 |
| Self-employed without employees | 92.4 | 96.7 | 84.1 | 91.0 | 96.3 | 81.9 | 96.0 | 97.4 | 92.1 | 8,529 | 5,902 | 2,627 | 6,049 | 4,059 | 1,990 | 2,480 | 1,843 | 637 |
| Self-employed with employees | 93.6 | 97.0 | 89.2 | 90.9 | 95.5 | 86.9 | 97.5 | 98.5 | 95.3 | 1,309 | 753 | 556 | 757 | 365 | 392 | 552 | 388 | 164 |
| Casual labourers | 94.0 | 96.1 | 85.4 | 92.1 | 95.6 | 82.0 | 96.5 | 96.6 | 95.2 | 1,578 | 1,298 | 280 | 871 | 671 | 200 | 707 | 627 | 80 |
| Workers not classified by status | 88.6 | 92.1 | 84.0 | 85.8 | 89.6 | 80.5 | 95.7 | 98.5 | 92.2 | 1,069 | 639 | 430 | 735 | 446 | 289 | 334 | 193 | 141 |

Results reveal that, the percentage of employed person aged 15 years and above using smart phones in Katavi Region ranges from 14.0 percent among self-employed without employees to 20.4 percent among the paid employees. In regarding to use of smart phones among employed persons, the Census results show that usage of smart phones is higher for males than females across all employment status categories. Furthermore, results show that the percentage of persons using smart phones is higher in urban areas than in rural areas for all employment categories (Table 13.13).

Table 13. 13: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Smartphones by Place of Residence, Sex and
Employment Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Num | ber of Pers | ons | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Employment Status | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | |
| Paid employees | 20.4 | 21.5 | 11.6 | 15.2 | 16.3 | 5.9 | 27.9 | 28.7 | 21.1 | 371 | 349 | 22 | 162 | 155 | 7 | 209 | 194 | 15 |
| Self-employed without | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| employees | 14.0 | 16.3 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 12.8 | 6.8 | 22.7 | 24.2 | 18.5 | 1,292 | 998 | 294 | 706 | 540 | 166 | 586 | 458 | 128 |
| Self-employed with | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| employees | 19.2 | 25.3 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 17.3 | 6.2 | 30.7 | 33.0 | 25.6 | 268 | 196 | 72 | 94 | 66 | 28 | 174 | 130 | 44 |
| Casual labourers | 17.9 | 19.2 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 15.4 | 7.0 | 24.0 | 23.3 | 29.8 | 301 | 259 | 42 | 125 | 108 | 17 | 176 | 151 | 25 |
| Workers not classified by | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| status | 16.0 | 20.3 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 15.3 | 5.6 | 27.8 | 33.2 | 20.9 | 193 | 141 | 52 | 96 | 76 | 20 | 97 | 65 | 32 |

13.6.10 Usage of Mobile Phones by Occupation

The 2022 PHC results reveal that in Katavi Region, non-smart phones are used by more than 79 percent of all persons aged 15 years and above across all occupation categories. The analysis by sex shows that the use of mobile phones among males is slightly higher than of females across all occupation categories. There is no significant difference for the use of non-smartphones between rural and urban areas across all categories (Table 13.14).

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | Num | ber of Perso | ons Using N | on-Smartph | iones | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Ooccupation Status | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| occupation status | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | |
| Legislators, administrators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and managers | 97.7 | 98.5 | 95.7 | 96.3 | 97.0 | 94.3 | 98.6 | 99.5 | 96.4 | 67.0 | 68.6 | 63.2 | 62.8 | 63.3 | 61.3 | 69.8 | 72.3 | 64.2 |
| Professionals | 97.7 | 98.5 | 95.7 | 96.3 | 97.0 | 94.3 | 98.6 | 99.5 | 96.4 | 1,004 | 718 | 286 | 391 | 291 | 100 | 613 | 427 | 186 |
| Technicians and associate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| professionals | 97.4 | 98.3 | 95.8 | 97.0 | 97.9 | 95.1 | 97.7 | 98.6 | 96.2 | 3,502 | 2,260 | 1,242 | 1,411 | 963 | 448 | 2,091 | 1,297 | 794 |
| Clerks | 94.9 | 96.7 | 90.0 | 95.7 | 97.3 | 89.9 | 94.3 | 96.3 | 90.1 | 9,228 | 6,836 | 2,392 | 4,107 | 3,273 | 834 | 5,121 | 3,563 | 1,558 |
| Service workers and shop | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sales workers | 96.6 | 98.3 | 94.8 | 98.4 | 99.2 | 97.1 | 95.5 | 97.5 | 93.9 | 971 | 518 | 453 | 373 | 241 | 132 | 598 | 277 | 321 |
| Agricultural and fishery | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| workers | 92.5 | 95.7 | 90.1 | 93.3 | 96.6 | 90.6 | 91.8 | 95.0 | 89.7 | 16,040 | 7,086 | 8,954 | 6,910 | 3,245 | 3,665 | 9,130 | 3,841 | 5,289 |
| Craft and related workers | 79.3 | 86.1 | 73.1 | 78.2 | 85.5 | 71.4 | 85.8 | 89.6 | 82.5 | 223,699 | 116,027 | 107,672 | 188,073 | 98,495 | 89,578 | 35,626 | 17,532 | 18,094 |
| Plant and machine operators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and assemblers | 89.1 | 93.2 | 83.3 | 88.9 | 93.8 | 81.3 | 89.5 | 92.3 | 85.8 | 50,181 | 30,920 | 19,261 | 29,231 | 18,716 | 10,515 | 20,950 | 12,204 | 8,746 |
| Elementary occupations | 95.1 | 96.5 | 84.7 | 96.4 | 97.1 | 91.2 | 94.4 | 96.2 | 80.6 | 2,787 | 2,493 | 294 | 1,041 | 917 | 124 | 1,746 | 1,576 | 170 |

Table 13. 14: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Non-Smartphone by Place of Residence, Sexand Occupation Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

The 2022 PHC results reveal that in Katavi Region, smartphone are mostly used by professionals (70.1%) and least used by agricultural and fishery workers (6.2%). The analysis by sex shows that, the use of smartphones among males is higher than among female in most of occupation categories except for the technicians and associate professionals' categories. Use of smartphones is more pronounced among individuals living in urban areas than among those living in rural areas across all of occupational categories except for the clerk category for which the percentage of person using smartphones is slightly higher in rural areas than in urban areas (Table13.15).

Table 13. 15: Number and Percentage of Population Aged 15 Years and Above Using Smart Phones by Place of Residence, Sex andOccupation Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | Nu | Imber of Per | rsons Using | Smartphon | es | | |
|--|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------|--------|
| Ooccupation Status | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Legislators, administrators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and managers | 67.0 | 68.6 | 63.2 | 62.8 | 63.3 | 61.3 | 69.8 | 72.3 | 64.2 | 689 | 500 | 189 | 255 | 190 | 65 | 434 | 310 | 124 |
| Professionals | 70.1 | 72.9 | 65.1 | 68.4 | 71.0 | 62.8 | 71.3 | 74.4 | 66.4 | 2,521 | 1,677 | 844 | 995 | 699 | 296 | 1,526 | 978 | 548 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 38.4 | 37.5 | 40.7 | 32.3 | 32.0 | 33.6 | 43.2 | 42.5 | 44.6 | 3,732 | 2,649 | 1,083 | 1,388 | 1,076 | 312 | 2,344 | 1,573 | 771 |
| Clerks | 63.2 | 65.3 | 60.9 | 65.2 | 67.1 | 61.8 | 62.0 | 63.7 | 60.5 | 635 | 344 | 291 | 247 | 163 | 84 | 388 | 181 | 207 |
| Service workers and shop sales workers | 26.5 | 33.6 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 27.4 | 15.8 | 30.6 | 38.8 | 25.0 | 4,599 | 2,487 | 2,112 | 1,559 | 919 | 640 | 3,040 | 1,568 | 1,472 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 6.2 | 8.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 14.0 | 16.6 | 11.8 | 17,352 | 10,776 | 6,576 | 11,521 | 7,526 | 3,995 | 5,831 | 3,250 | 2,581 |
| Craft and related workers | 13.4 | 15.2 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 11.4 | 5.9 | 19.2 | 21.0 | 16.8 | 7,525 | 5,052 | 2,473 | 3,032 | 2,275 | 757 | 4,493 | 2,777 | 1,716 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 31.0 | 32.2 | 22.2 | 25.7 | 26.0 | 24.3 | 34.1 | 35.8 | 20.9 | 908 | 831 | 77 | 278 | 245 | 33 | 630 | 586 | 44 |
| Elementary occupations | 11.0 | 13.7 | 8.6 | 6.3 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 18.7 | 23.3 | 15.1 | 8,914 | 5,199 | 3,715 | 3,121 | 2,043 | 1,078 | 5,793 | 3,156 | 2,637 |

13.7 Computers

13.7.1 Ownership of Computers by Individuals

Table 13.16 presents information on persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region owning computers by type, sex, place of residence and Council. The results show that about one percent (1.4%) of persons aged 15 years and above in Katavi Region own laptops and less than one percent (0.8%) own desktop computers. Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest percentage of persons owning desktop computers (1.2%), followed by Mlele (0.7%). Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest percentage of persons owning laptops (2.3%). The Nsimbo District Council has the smallest percentage of persons owning both desktop and laptop computers (0.5% and 1.0% respectively).

Table 13. 16: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 and Above Owning Computers by Type,Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Place of Residence | | Desktop | | | Laptop | |
|---------------------|------------|---------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| Rural | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Urban | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| Council | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.8 |
| Nsimbo District | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Tanganyika District | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Mlele District | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Mpimbwe District | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 |

13.7.2 Usage of Computers by Individuals

The results, reveal that about three percent (2.5%) of person aged 15 and above in Katavi Region use laptops and almost two percent (1.6%) use desktop computers. Generally, the proportion of males that uses laptops and/or desktop computers is slightly higher than female.

Usage of computers by individuals vary across councils, with Mpanda Municipal Council having the largest percentage (2.7% uses desktop and 4.1% uses laptop) of individuals using computers, followed by Mlele District Council (2.2% uses desktops and 1.5 % uses

laptops). Nsimbo District Council has the smallest percentage of individuals using computers (1.1% uses Desktops and 1.8% uses Laptops (Table 13.17).

| | Number o | of Persons U | sing ICT | | | Type of C | omputers | | |
|---------------------|----------|--------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|
| Place of Residence | E | Equipments | | | Desktops | | | Laptops | |
| | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| | Sexes | | | Sexes | | | Sexes | | |
| Total | 562,111 | 273,095 | 289,016 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.0 |
| Rural | 417,418 | 204,400 | 213,018 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Urban | 144,693 | 68,695 | 75,998 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 3.4 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 133,001 | 63,723 | 69,278 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 3.4 |
| Nsimbo District | 97,341 | 47,683 | 49,658 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| Tanganyika District | 175,216 | 85,959 | 89,257 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| MleleDistrict | 55,037 | 27,100 | 27,937 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.7 |
| Mpimbwe District | 101,516 | 48,630 | 52,886 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.6 |

Table 13. 17: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 and Above Using
Computers by Type, Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi
Region, 2022 PHC

13.7.3 Usage of Computers by Age Group and Sex

Computer usage includes the use of all types of computers, namely personal computers, laptops or portable electronic boards. The details on distribution of usage of computers during the 3 months period prior to the Census are given in Table 13.18. The table shows that during the reference period, Katavi Region has about one (1.2%) and two percent (2.0%) of individuals aged 4 years and above that used desktop and laptop computers respectively. The proportion of persons that used both desktop and laptop computers has been increases from age 4 years and attains the maximum point at age group 30 - 34 years and thereafter decreases as age increases.

The proportion of persons aged 4 years and above reported having used a laptop computer during the reference period is higher across all age groups than those reported using desktop computers.

The proportion of person aged 4 years and above that use desktop computers is higher among males than among females from age groups 15 - 19 years to 80 and above but is the same from age 4 years to age group 10 -14 years (Table 13.18).

| | Pers | ons Using Desk | top | Pers | ons Using Lapt | ор | Total Popula | ation Aged 4 Years | and Above |
|------------|------------|----------------|--------|------------|----------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Age Groups | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 961,340 | 472,219 | 489,121 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 44,213 | 21,833 | 22,380 |
| 5 - 9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 194,469 | 95,917 | 98,552 |
| 10 -14 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 160,547 | 81,374 | 79,173 |
| 15 - 19 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 112,314 | 54,837 | 57,477 |
| 20 - 24 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 97,707 | 43,096 | 54,611 |
| 25 - 29 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 82,615 | 38,494 | 44,121 |
| 30 - 34 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 66,514 | 33,046 | 33,468 |
| 35 - 39 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 51,531 | 25,928 | 25,603 |
| 40 - 44 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 40,160 | 20,731 | 19,429 |
| 45 - 49 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 31,710 | 16,749 | 14,961 |
| 50 - 54 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 23,813 | 12,355 | 11,458 |
| 55 - 59 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 14,875 | 7,791 | 7,084 |
| 60 - 64 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 14,473 | 7,474 | 6,999 |
| 65 - 69 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 8,682 | 4,424 | 4,258 |
| 70 - 74 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 7,506 | 3,655 | 3,851 |
| 75 - 79 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 3,890 | 1,905 | 1,985 |
| 80 + | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 6,321 | 2,610 | 3,711 |

Table 13. 18: Number of Persons Aged 4 Years and Above, Using Computers by type, Sex and Age Group; Katavi Region,2022 PHC

13.7.4 Usage of Computers by Highest Level of Education attained and Sex

The results reveal that, the highest proportion of persons aged 4 years and above who used desktop computers in the last three months prior the census in Katavi Region had attained university and other related education levels (24.1%) with males having a higher proportion (27.5%) than females (18.3%).

The results further show that percentage of persons who used desktop computers is higher among males than females for most of levels of education except for those who attained pre-primary and training after primary of which the percentage of males is lower than females.

The proportion of persons who used desktop computers is higher for persons live in urban areas than those in rural areas across all level of education (Table 13.19).

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Num | ber of Pers | sons | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Education Level | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Pre-Primary | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 712 | 332 | 380 | 606 | 278 | 328 | 106 | 54 | 52 |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 295,07 5 | 151,64 3 | 143,43 2 | 215,56 6 | 114,00 8 | 101,55 8 | 79,509 | 37,635 | 41,874 |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 79,354 | 42,238 | 37,116 | 44,951 | 24,609 | 20,342 | 34,403 | 17,629 | 16,774 |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 6.3 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 5,439 | 3,693 | 1,746 | 2,757 | 1,980 | 777 | 2,682 | 1,713 | 969 |
| University and Other Related | 24.1 | 27.5 | 18.3 | 18.6 | 21.2 | 14.0 | 29.4 | 33.9 | 22.2 | 12,680 | 8,000 | 4,680 | 6,281 | 4,031 | 2,250 | 6,399 | 3,969 | 2,430 |

 Table 13. 19: Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Desktop Computers in the Last Three Months

 Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Education Level; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Education Level | | | | | Percent | | | | Number of Persons | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|------|-------------------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|------|--------|---------------|------|--------|
| | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Training After Primary | 5.9 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 11.9 | 389 | 271 | 118 | 205 | 154 | 51 | 184 | 117 | 67 |
| Training After Secondary Education | 17.9 | 18.8 | 16.6 | 13.1 | 15.0 | 9.4 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 20.2 | 2,247 | 1,345 | 902 | 872 | 575 | 297 | 1,375 | 770 | 605 |

The results reveal that, the highest proportion of persons aged 15 years and above who used Laptop computers in the last three months prior the census in Katavi Region had attained university and other related education levels (14.9%) with proportionately higher for males (17.1%) than females (11%). The results also show that the percentage of persons who used laptop computers increases as the level of education increases and attains the maximum position at university and other related level.

The results further show that percentage of persons who used laptop computers is higher among persons live in urban areas than rural areas for all levels of education (Table 13.20).

Table 13. 20: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Laptop Computers in the Last Three Months Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Education Level; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Education Level | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Num | ber of Pers | sons | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------|--------|--|
| | Total | | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | |
| Pre-Primary | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 712 | 332 | 380 | 606 | 278 | 328 | 106 | 54 | 52 | |
| Primary School (1 - 8) | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 295,07 5 | 151,64 3 | 143,43 2 | 215,56 6 | 114,00 8 | 101,55 8 | 79,509 | 37,635 | 41,874 | |
| Secondary School O - level (1 - 4) | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 79,354 | 42,238 | 37,116 | 44,951 | 24,609 | 20,342 | 34,403 | 17,629 | 16,774 | |
| Secondary School A - level (5 - 6) | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 5,439 | 3,693 | 1,746 | 2,757 | 1,980 | 777 | 2,682 | 1,713 | 969 | |
| University and Other Related | 14.9 | 17.1 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 13.9 | 8.8 | 17.6 | 20.4 | 13.0 | 12,680 | 8,000 | 4,680 | 6,281 | 4,031 | 2,250 | 6,399 | 3,969 | 2,430 | |
| Training After Primary | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 389 | 271 | 118 | 205 | 154 | 51 | 184 | 117 | 67 | |
| Training After Secondary Education | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 2,247 | 1,345 | 902 | 872 | 575 | 297 | 1,375 | 770 | 605 | |

13.7.5 Usage of Computers by Employment Status and Sex

Results reveal that in Katavi Region, the percentage of persons who used desktop computers in the last three months prior the Census' date ranges from 1.9 percent for both workers not classified by status and self-employed without employees to 3.1 percent for the Self-employed with employee's category.

Furthermore, results show that, the proportion of persons who used desktop computers is higher for persons living in urban areas than for those living in rural areas for all types of employment status categories (Table 13.21).

Table 13. 21: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Desktop Computers in the Last ThreeMonths Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Employment Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Employment Status | | | | | Percent | | | | | Number of Persons | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|------|--------|-------------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|------------|
| | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e |
| Paid employees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 1,816 | 1,626 | 190 | 1,068 | 949 | 119 | 748 | 677 | 71 |
| Self-employed without | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| employees | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 9,228 | 6,106 | 3,122 | 6,644 | 4,214 | 2,430 | 2,584 | 1,892 | 692 |
| Self-employed with employees | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 1,399 | 776 | 623 | 833 | 382 | 451 | 566 | 394 | 172 |
| Casual labourers | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 1,679 | 1,351 | 328 | 946 | 702 | 244 | 733 | 649 | 84 |
| Workers not classified by status | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1,206 | 694 | 512 | 857 | 498 | 359 | 349 | 196 | 153 |

The results reveal that the percentage of persons who used laptop computers in the last three months prior the Census' date ranges from 2.4 percent for self-employed without employees to 4.9 percent for self-employed with employee's category. Regarding the use of laptop computers, results show that the percentage of persons who used laptop computer is higher among males than females across all employment status categories except for the paid employees (4.2% for females and 3.1% for males).

Furthermore, results show that the proportion of persons who used laptop computers is higher for persons live in urban than in rural areas for all types of employment status categories (Table 13.22).

| Table 13. 22: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Laptop Computers in the Last Three |
|--|
| Months Prior to the Census Date by Sex and Employment Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |

| | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Num | ber of Pers | sons | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------------|---------|------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------|------------|--|
| Employment Status | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | |
| Delidentelan | | 2.4 | | | 0.4 | | | 4.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 4.0 | | | 2.0 | | |
| Paid employees | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 4.2 | |
| Self-employed without employees | 2.4 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 1.7 | |
| Self-employed with employees | 4.9 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.1 | |
| Casual labourers | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.8 | |
| Workers not classified by status | 3.6 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | |

13.7.6 Usage of Computers by Occupation

The 2022 PHC results reveal that in Katavi Region, Legislators, administrators and managers constitute the highest percentage (10.0%) among person who used desktop computers in the last three months prior to the census date compared with other occupations, while Agricultural and fishery workers. occupations recorded the smallest percentage (0.6%).

The percentage of persons who used desktop computer is higher among males than females across most of the occupation except for clerks category for which females percentage (4.0%) is higher than males (2.8%). The percentage of persons who used desktop computer is slightly higher among persons who live in urban than in rural areas across all occupation status categories (Table 13.23).

Table 13. 23: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Desktop Computers in the Last ThreeMonths Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Occupation Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Occupation | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Nu | mber of Perso | ons | | | |
|---|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------------|--------|--------|
| | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Legislators, administrators and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| managers | 10.0 | 11.1 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 5.7 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 1,028 | 729 | 299 | 406 | 300 | 106 | 622 | 429 | 193 |
| Professionals | 6.6 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 4.7 | 3,595 | 2,299 | 1,296 | 1,455 | 984 | 471 | 2,140 | 1,315 | 825 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 9,724 | 7,066 | 2,658 | 4,293 | 3,365 | 928 | 5,431 | 3,701 | 1,730 |
| Clerks | 3.4 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 1,005 | 527 | 478 | 379 | 243 | 136 | 626 | 284 | 342 |
| Service workers and shop sales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| workers | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 17,346 | 7,405 | 9,941 | 7,405 | 3,360 | 4,045 | 9,941 | 4,045 | 5,896 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 282,074 | 134,744 | 147,330 | 240,569 | 115,178 | 125,391 | 41,505 | 19,566 | 21,939 |
| Craft and related workers | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 56,292 | 33,169 | 23,123 | 32,885 | 19,954 | 12,931 | 23,407 | 13,215 | 10,192 |

| Occupation | | | | | Percent | | | | | | | | Nur | nber of Perso | ons | | | |
|---|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|
| | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 2,930 | 2,583 | 347 | 1,080 | 944 | 136 | 1,850 | 1,639 | 211 |
| Elementary occupations | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 80,823 | 37,855 | 42,968 | 49,786 | 24,306 | 25,480 | 31,037 | 13,549 | 17,488 |

The 2022 PHC results reveal that Legislators, administrators and managers have the highest percentage (29.7%) of person who used laptop computers in the three months prior to the census date compared with other occupations in Katavi Region, while agricultural and fishery workers recorded the least (1.8%).

The percentage of persons who used laptop computer is higher among males than females across all the occupation. The percentage of persons who used laptop computers is more pronounced among persons who live in urban than rural areas across all occupation categories (Table 13.24).

| Table 13. 24: Number and Percentage of Persons Aged 15 Years and Above Who Used Laptop Computers in the Last Three |
|--|
| Months Prior to the Census Date by Place of Residence, Sex and Occupation Status; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC |

| | | | | | Percent | : | | | | Number of Persons | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|---------------|-------------------|-------|------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| Occupation Status | | Total | | l | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Mal e | Femal e | Both Sexes | Mal e | Femal e | Both Sexes | Mal e | Both Sexes | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Both Sexes |
| Legislators',administra tors and managers. | 29.7 | 32.2 | 23.4 | 26.8 | 29.7 | 18.9 | 31.5 | 34.0 | 25.9 | 1,028 | 729 | 299 | 406 | 300 | 106 | 622 | 429 | 193 |
| Professionals. | 29.6 | 34.2 | 21.5 | 25.5 | 29.1 | 18.0 | 32.4 | 38.0 | 23.4 | 3,595 | 2,299 | 1,296 | 1,455 | 984 | 471 | 2,140 | 1,315 | 825 |
| Technicians and associate professionals. | 9.0 | 9.5 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 9,724 | 7,066 | 2,658 | 4,293 | 3,365 | 928 | 5,431 | 3,701 | 1,730 |
| Clerks. | 15.1 | 15.9 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 17.7 | 9.6 | 15.3 | 14.4 | 16.1 | 1,005 | 527 | 478 | 379 | 243 | 136 | 626 | 284 | 342 |

| | Percent | | | | | | | | | | | | Num | ber of Perso | ons | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Occupation Status | | Total | | I | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Mal e | Femal e | Both Sexes | Mal e | Femal e | Both Sexes | Mal e | Both Sexes | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Femal e | Both Sexes | Male | Both Sexes |
| Service workers and shop sales workers. | 3.9 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 17,346 | 7,405 | 9,941 | 7,405 | 3,360 | 4,045 | 9,941 | 4,045 | 5,896 |
| Agricultural and fishery workers. | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 282,074 | 134,74 4 | 147,33 0 | 240,569 | 115,17 8 | 125,39 1 | 41,505 | 19,56 6 | 21,939 |
| Craft and related workers. | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 56,292 | 33,169 | 23,123 | 32,885 | 19,954 | 12,931 | 23,407 | 13,21 5 | 10,192 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers. | 4.0 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 2,930 | 2,583 | 347 | 1,080 | 944 | 136 | 1,850 | 1,639 | 211 |
| Elementary occupations | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 80,823 | 37,855 | 42,968 | 49,786 | 24,306 | 25,480 | 31,037 | 13,54 9 | 17,488 |

Chapter 14

Information on Buildings

Key Points

- About thirty-five percent (35.3%) of all buildings have permanent flooring materials (sand-cement or Tiles/with cement or concrete, tiles or marble).
- About thirteen percent (12.7%) of all buildings in Katavi Region are connected to the national grid electricity, while 41.1 percent are powered by other sources.
- About eighteen percent (17.6%) of all buildings have water services.
- About four percent (4.3%) of all buildings in Katavi Region have infrastructure for the people with disabilities.
- About seventy nine percent (75.9%), of all individually owned buildings in Katavi Region are owned by men,17.3 percent by women, and 4.4 percent are jointly owned.
- About seventy five percent (75.3%) of all buildings in Katavi Region are used by the owners themselves and 11.2 percent are used by tenants.
- About Seventy-three percent (72.7%) of all buildings in Katavi Region are built on un-surveyed land.
- About forty percent (40.2%) of all buildings in Katavi Region are constructed on land that do not have legal documents.

14.1 Introduction

This chapter provides information on the number of buildings, types of buildings, main uses of buildings and the number of units in residential and commercial-residential buildings in Katavi Region and Council levels as per the 2022 Tanzania Building Census (2022 TBC) results. It also presents findings on buildings information which constitutes building categories, occupancy status, building ownership, construction status, building materials used for construction, number of bedrooms, building tenure status and buildings condition. In addition, the chapter presents information on basic services which are categorized as services within the


building (electricity, water, and toilets) and accessibility of buildings (roads) and infrastructure for People with Disabilities (PWD). Furthermore, it provides an overview of building ownership status, land surveying, and the presence of legal documents for land ownership where the buildings are built.

14.2 Number of Buildings

The results show that Katavi Region has a total of 189,349 buildings whereby 141,955 buildings are in rural and 45,394 are in urban areas. Tanganyika District Council has the largest number of buildings (52,663 buildings) in the Region followed by Mpanda Municipal. On the other hand, Mlele District council has fewer numbers of buildings (16,503). Further results show that Mpanda Municipal has the largest number (45) of multi-storey buildings while Mlele District Council (3) has the least number of multi-storey buildings (Map 14.1 and Table 14.1).

Table 14. 1: Number of Buildings by Place of Residence, Type, Place of
Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

| | | То | otal | | | R | ural | | | U | rban | |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Council | Total Numb er of Buildi ngs | Mult i Stor eys | Singl e Store ys | Under Constr uction | Total Num ber of Build ings | Mul ti Stor eys | Singl e Store ys | Under Constr uction | Total Num ber of Build ings | Mult i Stor eys | Singl e Store ys | Under Constr uction |
| Total | 189,34 9 | 70 | 180,1 46 | 9,133 | 141,9 55 | 26 | 136,3 85 | 5,544 | 47,3 94 | 44 | 43,76 1 | 3,589 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 45 | 44,65 3 | 3,792 | 10,72 8 | 2 | 10,11 3 | 613 | 37,7 62 | 43 | 34,54 0 | 3,179 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 6 | 36,02 0 | 1,360 | 37,38 6 | 6 | 36,02 0 | 1,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 9 | 50,81 1 | 1,843 | 50,15 9 | 9 | 48,34 4 | 1,806 | 2,50 4 | 0 | 2,467 | 37 |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 3 | 15,82 1 | 679 | 16,50 3 | 3 | 15,82 1 | 679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 7 | 32,84 1 | 1,459 | 27,17 9 | 6 | 26,08 7 | 1,086 | 7,12 8 | 1 | 6,754 | 373 |




Map 14. 1: Number of Buildings by Councils; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

14.2.1 Buildings with Physical Addresses

The results show that 75.0 percent of all buildings in Katavi Region have physical addresses. The percentage of buildings with physical addresses is relatively high in urban (83.2%) than in rural areas (72.2%) (Figure 14.1 and Table 14.2).

Figure 14. 1: Percentage of Buildings with and without Physical Addresses by Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC




Across councils, results show that, percentage of buildings with physical addresses ranges from 85.6 percent in Mpimbwe District Council to 59.6 percent in Tanganyika District Council (Table 14.2 and Figure 14.2).

Table 14. 2: Number of Buildings by Place of Residence, Physical Address and
Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

| | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Council | Total Number of Buildings | With Physical Address | Without Physical Address | Total | With Physical Address | Without Physical Address | Total | With Physical Address | Without Physical Address |
| Total | 189,349 | 141,988 | 47,361 | 141,955 | 102,538 | 39,417 | 47,394 | 39,450 | 7,944 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 40,078 | 8,412 | 10,728 | 8,617 | 2,111 | 37,762 | 31,461 | 6,301 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 28,462 | 8,924 | 37,386 | 28,462 | 8,924 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Katavinyika District | 52,663 | 31,396 | 21,267 | 50,159 | 29,587 | 20,572 | 2,504 | 1,809 | 695 |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 12,683 | 3,820 | 16,503 | 12,683 | 3,820 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 29,369 | 4,938 | 27,179 | 23,189 | 3,990 | 7,128 | 6,180 | 948 |





14.2.2 Type of Buildings

Building types are categorized into single storey buildings (one floor buildings) and multi-storey buildings (more than one floor buildings). Information on buildings under construction including those at foundation stage was also collected.

The results reveal that, 95.1percent of all buildings in Katavi Region are single storey and only less than one percent (0.0%) are multi-storey. The percentage of multi-storey buildings is slightly higher (0.1%) in urban than in rural areas (0.0%). Results further reveal that 4.8 percent of all buildings in the region are under construction. Percentage of buildings under-construction in urban areas (7.6%) is almost twice of rural areas (3.9.%) (Figure 14.3).

Figure 14.3: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Type and Place of Residence, Katavi region, 2022 TBC



14.2.3 Main Uses of Buildings

The main uses of buildings are divided into three groups, which are residential, commercial-residential and non-residential uses. The results show that 91.8 percent of buildings in Katavi Region are used for residential purpose, 3.0 percent for commercial-residential and 5.1 percent for non-residential. The pattern of use of building is almost similar in rural and urban areas.

At the Council level, Tanganyika District Council has the highest proportion of residential buildings (93.3%) compared with Mlele District Council, which has the lowest (90.0%). With regard to non-residential buildings, Nsimbo District Council has the highest proportion (6.4%) while Mpimbwe District Council has lowest (4.2%) (Table 14.3).

Table 14. 3: Percentages Distribution of Buildings by Main Use, Council and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

| | | | Main | Use | |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Councils | Place of Residential | Total Buildings | Residential | Residential and Commercial | Non- residential use |
| Total | Total | 189,349 | 91.8 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| | Rural | 141,955 | 92.5 | 2.4 | 5.0 |
| | Urban | 47,394 | 89.8 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| Mpanda Municipal | Total | 48,490 | 90.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| | Rural | 10,728 | 92.6 | 2.8 | 4.6 |
| | Urban | 37,762 | 89.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 |

| | | | Main | Use | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Councils | Place of Residential | Total Buildings | Residential | Residential and Commercial | Non- residential use |
| Nsimbo District | Total | 37,386 | 91.2 | 2.4 | 6.4 |
| | Rural | 37,386 | 91.2 | 2.4 | 6.4 |
| | Urban | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tanganyika District | Total | 52,663 | 93.3 | 2.0 | 4.7 |
| | Rural | 50,159 | 93.4 | 1.9 | 4.7 |
| | Urban | 2,504 | 90.6 | 3.6 | 5.8 |
| Mlele District | Total | 16,503 | 90.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| | Rural | 16,503 | 90.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| | Urban | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mpimbwe District | Total | 34,307 | 93.1 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| | Rural | 27,179 | 94.2 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| | Urban | 7,128 | 88.9 | 4.0 | 7.1 |

The main usage of non-residential buildings in Katavi Region is commercial purposes (46.9%), followed by institutional services places (14.5%). Tanganyika District Council has the largest percentage of buildings about (58.4%) used for commercial purposes while Mpanda Municipal has the lowest percent (30.7%). Mlele District Council, has the highest percentage of buildings (3.6%) used for industrial purposes (Table 14.4).

| | | | | | | | Type of Use | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|---------|------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Council | Total | Offices | Commercial | Industrial | Tourists Cottages | Guest Houses/Lod ges (%) | Hotels | Godown /Ware House | Institutions | Diplomatic and Internationa I Agencies | Religious Institutions | Small Scale Industries |
| Total | 9,748 | 5.9 | 46.9 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 8.5 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 6.6 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 2,423 | 9.4 | 30.7 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 11.6 | 21.7 | - | 10.6 | 7.0 |
| Nsimbo District | 2,380 | 4.6 | 57.6 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 9.4 | - | 13.1 | 5.9 |
| Tanganyika District | 2,492 | 3.8 | 58.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 6.2 | 12.3 | - | 11.4 | 4.2 |
| Mlele District | 998 | 6.0 | 45.8 | 3.6 | - | 4.7 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 11.2 | - | 9.7 | 10.6 |
| Mpimbwe District | 1,455 | 5.6 | 37.3 | 2.5 | - | 4.5 | 0.4 | 15.3 | 17.1 | 0.1 | 10.9 | 8.2 |

Table 14. 4: Percentage Distribution of Non-Residential Buildings by Type of Use and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC


14.2.4 Number of Units in Building

Information on the number of units in the building was collected only in residential and commercial-residential buildings. Results show that, most of buildings in Katavi Region (88.2%) have one unit. The pattern of distribution of buildings by number of units is similar for both rural and urban areas (Table 14.5).

At the council level, Nsimbo District have highest (93.9%) proportion of buildings with single unit, while the Mlele District Council has lowest (82.0%). Results further show that, percentage of buildings with two units range from 1.8 percent in Nsimbo District Councils to about four percent (4.2%) in Mlele District Council (Table 14.5 and Figure 14.4).

| | | Number of Units in Buildings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | Tot | al | | | | | | Ru | ral | | | | | Urban | | | | | |
| Council | Total Number of Buildings | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ |
| Total | 179,601 | 88.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 134,788 | 88.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 44,813 | 87.0 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 46,067 | 85.1 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 10,234 | 78.5 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 35,833 | 86.9 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Nsimbo District | 35,006 | 93.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 35006 | 93.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tanganyika District | 50,171 | 88.9 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 47,813 | 89.1 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2,358 | 85.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| Mlele District | 15,505 | 82.0 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 15,505 | 82.0 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mpimbwe District | 32,852 | 88.2 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 26,230 | 88.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 6,622 | 87.6 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 |

Table 14. 5: Percentage Distribution of Residential and Commercial-Residential Buildings by Number of Units, Place of
Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC





14.3 Buildings Attributes

This section presents findings on building attributes which constitute categories, occupancy status, ownership, construction status, materials used for construction, number of bedrooms, tenure status and condition of buildings. Buildings in this section refers to all buildings irrespective of their use and ownership.

14.3.1 Buildings Categories

For the purpose of 2022 TBC, the building categories are semi-detached, terrace or row of houses, and detached or stand-alone buildings. The results show that most (93.2%) of the buildings in Katavi Region are detached or stand-alone buildings. The same pattern is observed in rural and urban areas and across all councils in Katavi Region (Table 14.6).

| | Building Category | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Place of Residence | Total Number of Buildings | Semi- detached | Percent | Terrace/Row of Houses | Percent | Detached/Stand Alone | Percent | | | | | | | |
| Total | 189,349 | 4,998 | 2.6 | 7,807 | 4.1 | 176,544 | 93.2 | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 141,955 | 2,903 | 2.0 | 4,830 | 3.4 | 134,222 | 94.6 | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 47,394 | 2,095 | 4.4 | 2,977 | 6.3 | 42,322 | 89.3 | | | | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 1,824 | 3.8 | 2,624 | 5.4 | 44,042 | 90.8 | | | | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 601 | 1.6 | 1,304 | 3.5 | 35,481 | 94.9 | | | | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 1,224 | 2.3 | 1,659 | 3.2 | 49,780 | 94.5 | | | | | | | |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 441 | 2.7 | 1,118 | 6.8 | 14,944 | 90.6 | | | | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 908 | 2.6 | 1,102 | 3.2 | 32,297 | 94.1 | | | | | | | |

Table 14. 6: Number and Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Building Category, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

14.3.2 Construction Status of Buildings

The construction status of buildings is divided into four categories, namely completed, partly completed, under construction and temporary buildings. The 2022 TBC results show that, 75.9 percent of all buildings in Katavi Region have been completed and 9.9 percent are partly completed. Buildings under construction account for 11.9 percent while temporary buildings accounts for 2.3 percent. The results also indicate that, 79.9 percent of all buildings in the rural areas are completed compared with 64.0 percent in urban areas.


Tanganyika District Council has the highest percentage of completed buildings (85.3%) whileMpanda Municipal has the lowest (63.2%). Percentage of temporary buildings in Katavi Region range from 1.7 percent in Tanganyika District Council to 4.1 in Mlele District Council (Table 14.7).

Table 14. 7: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Construction Status, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

| Place of | Total Number | Completed | Partly | Under | Temporary |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Residence | of Buildings | | Completed | Construction | Building |
| Total | 189,349 | 75.9 | 9.9 | 11.9 | 2.3 |

| Place of Residence | Total Number of Buildings | Completed | Partly Completed | Under Construction | Temporary Building |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Rural | 141,955 | 79.9 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 2.5 |
| Urban | 47,394 | 64.0 | 14.1 | 20.1 | 1.8 |
| Council | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 63.2 | 14.2 | 20.6 | 2.1 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 77.7 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 3.1 |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 85.3 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 73.9 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 4.1 |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 78.4 | 11.3 | 8.4 | 1.9 |

Figure 14. 5: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Construction Status and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC




14.3.3 Building Occupancy Status

The occupancy status of buildings refers to, is determined by checking whether the building is in use or vacant. The results show that 88.9 percent of all buildings in Katavi Region are in use, while 11.1 percent are vacant. Furthermore, the results indicate that rural areas have a higher proportion of buildings in use (90.5%) compared with urban areas (83.8%). Mpimbwe District Council has the highest percentage (92.6%) of buildings in use while Mpanda Municipal Council has the lowest (82.8%) (Figure 14.6 and Table 14.8).

Table 14. 8: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Occupancy Status, Place ofResidence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

| | | Per | cent |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------|
| Place of Residence | Total Number of Buildings | Occupied | Vacant |
| Total | 189,349 | 88.9 | 11.1 |
| Rural | 141,955 | 90.5 | 9.5 |
| Urban | 47,394 | 83.8 | 16.2 |
| Council | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 82.8 | 17.2 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 88.6 | 11.4 |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 92.0 | 8.0 |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 89.6 | 10.4 |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 92.6 | 7.4 |

Figure 14. 6: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Place of Residence and Occupancy Status; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC



14.3.4 Building Construction Materials

This section describes the types of building materials used in flooring, wall and roofing. Analysis in this section is based on buildings and not on households as presented in chapter twelve of this publication.

14.3.4.1 Floor Materials

Materials used for floor include cement, PVC tiles, ceramic tiles (marble), terrazzo, clay, earth/sand, hard plastic or bitumen, polished wood, cow dung, wood planks, or bamboo or plant residues. About fifty percent (49.9%) of buildings in Katavi Region

have earth/sand or soil floor, followed by sand-cement floor (33.4%) and ceramic tiles floor (1.9%).

Furthermore, in rural areas, buildings with cement floor constitute 25.2 percent of all buildings while in urban areas it is 57.8 percent. Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage (80.7%) of buildings with cement floor while Mlele District Council has lower percentage of about 63.7 percent (Table. 14.9).

| | | Flooring Materials | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|
| Place of Residence | Total | Sand- cement | Ceramic tiles | Parquet or polished wood | Terrazzo | PVC tiles | Wood planks | Earth/sand/ soil | Cow dung | No Floor | |
| Total | 189,349 | 33.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 49.9 | 0.1 | 14.3 | |
| Rural | 141,955 | 25.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 59.1 | 0.1 | 14.4 | |
| Urban | 47,394 | 57.8 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 13.9 | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 80.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10.1 | |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 72.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 58.6 | 0.2 | 12.6 | |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 66.8 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 11.5 | |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 63.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 41.9 | 0.1 | 19.1 | |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 71.9 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 31.2 | 0.1 | 16.6 | |

Table 14. 9: Percentage of Buildings by Types of Flooring Materials, Place of Residence and Council; Tanzania, 2022 TBC

Note: Other flooring materials are PVC tiles, parquet or polished wood, wood planks, terrazzo or cow dung

14.3.4.2 Wall Materials

Materials used in wall construction include stones, cement or stone blocks, sun-dried clay bricks, burnt clay bricks, glass, wood, iron sheets, bamboo/poles/mud and poles/grass or tents. Most of the buildings in Katavi Region have walls built burnt bricks (77.3%) followed by bamboo poles (5.3%). Over ninety percent (90.2%) of buildings in urban areas have walls constructed with burnt bricks. Same pattern shown in rural areas, most of the buildings (73.0%) are also constructed by burnt bricks.

Also, all Councils have similar pattern of the highest percentage of houses built with burnt bricks. Other types of wall materials contribute a very minimal percent in wall construction (Table 14.10).

| | Wall Materials | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Place of Residence | Total | Stones | Cement blocks/Sto ne blocks | Sundried bricks | Burnt bricks | Glass | Wood and Iron Sheets | Bamboo poles/wood planks | Grass | Tent/ container | No Walls | | |
| Total | 189,349 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 13.6 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | | |
| Rural | 141,955 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 17.1 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | | |
| Urban | 47,394 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | | |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 26.3 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | | |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 18.7 | 72.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | | |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 12.6 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 16.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 90.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | |

| Table 14. 10: Percentages Distribution of Buildings by | Types of Wall Materials, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi |
|--|---|
| Region, 2022 TBC | |

Note:

"No walls" refers to buildings that were under construction (at foundation stage) during Census enumeration

14.3.4.3 Roofing Materials

Construction materials used for roofing can be permanent or temporary. Permanent construction materials include corrugated iron sheets, tiles, concrete and asbestos, while temporary roofing materials are grass (leaves or palm leaves), mud/grass and poles, plastics, tarpaulin and straw.

The results show that, the highest percentage (72.0 %) of all buildings in Katavi Region are roofed with corrugated iron sheets, followed by grass/ leaves (19.9%). In urban areas, 85.0 percent of all buildings are roofed with corrugated iron sheets compared with 67.7 percent in rural areas. Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage (80.7%) of buildings roofed with corrugated iron sheets and the lowest is Mlele District with (63.7%). (Table 14.11).

| | | Type of Roofing Material | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------|----------|----------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|--|
| Place of Residence | Total | Corrugated Iron sheets | Tiles | Concrete | Asbestos | Grass/ leaves | Mud and leaves | Plastics/ Tins | Tent/ Container | No roof | |
| Total | 189,349 | 72.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 19.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.4 | |
| Rural | 141,955 | 67.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.3 | |
| Urban | 47,394 | 85.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 9.6 | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 80.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10.1 | |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 72.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 5.7 | |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 66.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 26.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.5 | |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 63.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.9 | |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 71.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.3 | |

Table 14. 11: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Type of Roofing Materials, Place of Residence and Council; KataviRegion, 2022 TBC

14.3.5 Number of Bedrooms


This section provides information on the number of bedrooms in residential and commercial-residential buildings only. The results show that 29.6 percent of such buildings in Katavi Region have one bedroom, 34.8 percent have two bedrooms, 21.8 percent have three bedrooms and 13.8 percent have four or more bedrooms.

Furthermore, in rural areas, the proportion of buildings with two bedroom is slightly high (36.7%) compared with 32.5 percent with one bedroom. In urban areas, most (29.3%) of buildings have two bedrooms, followed by those with three bedrooms (25.1%). (Figure 14.7 and Table 14.12).

Table14.12:PercentagesofResidentialandCommercial-ResidentialBuildings/UnitsbyNumberofBedrooms,PlaceofResidenceandCouncil;KataviRegion,2022TBC

| Place of | Number of | Number of Bedrooms | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Residence | Buildings | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7+ | | | |
| Total | 191,678 | 29.6 | 34.8 | 21.8 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | | | |
| Rural | 143,289 | 32.5 | 36.7 | 20.7 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | | | |
| Urban | 48,389 | 21.1 | 29.3 | 25.1 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 5.3 | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 49,465 | 25.3 | 29.5 | 23.3 | 9.4 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 4.6 | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 37,734 | 30.9 | 38.7 | 21.4 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 53,301 | 27.2 | 36.9 | 24.7 | 6.6 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | | | |
| Mlele District | 16,683 | 31.8 | 34.9 | 19.2 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.8 | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,495 | 36.9 | 34.7 | 17.0 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | | | |

Figure 14. 7: Percentage Distribution of Residential and Commercial-Residential Buildings/Units by Number of Bedrooms and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, TBC 2022



14.4 Basic Services in Buildings


This section presents information on basic services in buildings/units. The services are electricity, water and toilets.

14.4.1 Electricity

Electricity service in buildings is divided into two main groups: - electricity from the national grid (TANESCO) and electricity from alternative sources such as solar energy and generators.

The results indicate that 12.7 percent of all buildings/units in Katavi Region are connected to the national grid. Almost (30.5%) of all buildings in urban areas are connected to the national grid compared with 6.7 percent in rural areas. Across Councils, Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest proportion (28.4%) of buildings connected to the national grid while Tanganyika District has the lowest percentage (4.6%) (Figure 14.8).





Alternative sources of electricity include all other sources such as solar electricity and generators. The results reveal that 41.1 percent of all buildings/units in Katavi Region have alternative sources of electricity. The percentage of buildings with alternative sources of electricity is higher in rural areas (44.3%) than in urban areas (31.7%).

Percentage of buildings with alternative sources of energy is generally high in Councils with low percentage of buildings connected to the national grid, ranging from about (31.3%) in Mpanda Municipal Council to 53.1 percent in Tanganyika District Council. (Table 14.13).

14.4.2 Water

According to the 2022 TBC, water service in a building means the presence of water inside the building and/or on the premises of the relevant building. The results reveal that 17.6 percent of all buildings/units in Katavi Region have water service. Proportion of buildings with water services is higher in urban (30.8%) than in rural areas (13.1%).

Across councils, Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage (30.3%) of buildings with water service while Nsimbo District Council has the lowest percentage (8.6%) (Figure 14.9 and Table 14.13).




Figure 14. 9: Percentage Distribution of Buildings/Units with Water Services by Council; Katavi, 2022 TBC

14.4.3 Toilets

Toilet service in a building includes the presence of a toilet inside the building and/or on the premises of the concerned building. The results reveals that 75.9 percent of all buildings/units in Katavi Region have toilet facilities. The percentage of buildings with toilet facilities in urban areas is higher (78.1%) than in rural areas (75.1%). There are marked disparities in percentage of households with toilet facilities across councils, ranging from 69.9 percent in Mpimbwe District to 79.5 percent in Tanganyika District (Figure 14.16 and Table 14.13).




Figure 14. 10: Percentage Distribution of Buildings/Units with Toilet Facilities by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

Table 14. 13: Percentage Distribution of Buildings/Units by Type of BasicServices, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC


| Disconf | Number of Buildings/Units | Elec | ctricity | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|
| Place of Residence | | National Grid | Alternative source | Water | Toilet |
| Total | 191,678 | 12.7 | 41.1 | 17.6 | 75.9 |
| Rural | 143,289 | 6.7 | 44.3 | 13.1 | 75.1 |
| Urban | 48,389 | 30.5 | 31.7 | 30.8 | 78.1 |
| Council | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 49,465 | 28.4 | 31.3 | 30.3 | 76.6 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,734 | 8.9 | 41.4 | 8.6 | 76.7 |
| Tanganyika District | 53,301 | 4.6 | 53.1 | 16.2 | 79.5 |
| Mlele District | 16,683 | 11.2 | 34.9 | 15.5 | 72.5 |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,495 | 7.6 | 39.4 | 12.4 | 69.9 |


14.5 Accessibility of the Building

This section provides information on the accessibility of buildings by road and the presence of infrastructure for Person with Disabilities.

14.5.1 Accessibility by roads

The results indicate that 71.7 percent of all buildings in Katavi Region are accessible by road. Notably, most of the buildings (77.5%) in urban areas are accessible by road, while it is 69.8 percent in rural areas. Mpimbwe District has the highest percentage (75.2%) of buildings accessible by road while Tanganyika District Council has the lowest (68.2%) (Figure 14.11).





14.5.2 Infrastructure for Persons with Disabilities

Results reveal that 4.3 percent of all buildings in Katavi Region have infrastructure for Persons with Disabilities (PWDs). Buildings with infrastructure for PWDs account for 8.6 percent and 2.8 percent of buildings in urban and rural areas respectively. Mpanda Municipal has the highest percentage (8.8%) of buildings with infrastructure for PWDs while Mpimbwe District Council has the lowest percentage (0.9%) (Figure 14.12).




Figure 14. 12: Percentage Distribution of Buildings with Infrastructure for Persons with Disabilities by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

14.6 Tenure Status of Buildings

This section provides an overview of building ownership status, land surveying and the presence of legal documents for the land ownership where the building is built.

14.6.1 Buildings Ownership Status

The results reveal that the majority (89.9%) of buildings in Katavi Region are individually owned, followed by at 7.4 percent of co-owned buildings. The same pattern is observed in rural and urban areas (Figure 14.13).




Figure 14. 13: Percentage Distribution of Buildings by Ownership Status and Place of Residence; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

14.6.2 Buildings Ownership by Sex

Ownership by sex considers only individually owned buildings. Ownership status may be categorised by male only, female only or jointly. The results show that most of the buildings in Katavi Region are owned by male only (75.9%), followed by female only (17.3%) and 4.4 percent by male(s) and female(s) jointly. The same pattern is observed in rural and urban areas.

Mpanda Municipal has the highest proportion (19.9%) of buildings owned by females alone while Mpimbwe District Council has the lowest proportion (15.9%) (Table 14.14).

| | | | Total | | | | | Rural | | | | | | | Urban | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|-------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------|------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Council | Total | Male | Female | Male(s) and Female(s) | Males Jointly Only | Females Jointly Only | Total | Male | Female | Male(s) and Female(s) | Males Jointly Only | Females Jointly Only | Total | Male | Female | Male(s) and Female(s) | Males Jointly Only | Females Jointly Only | | | |
| Total | 191,678 | 75.9 | 17.3 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 143,289 | 77.1 | 16.3 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 48,389 | 72.1 | 20.1 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 49,465 | 71.6 | 19.9 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 10,790 | 70.1 | 19.2 | 7.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 38,675 | 72.0 | 20.1 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 37,734 | 76.6 | 16.7 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 37734 | 76.6 | 16.7 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 53,301 | 79.1 | 16.3 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 50,789 | 79.8 | 15.7 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2,512 | 65.4 | 28.1 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | | | |
| Mlele District | 16,683 | 76.3 | 16.6 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 16,683 | 76.3 | 16.6 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,495 | 76.0 | 15.9 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 27,293 | 76.2 | 15.6 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 7,202 | 75.1 | 17.1 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | | | |

Table 14. 14: Percentage Distribution of Individually Owned Building by Place of Residence, Sex of Owner and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

14.6.3 Buildings Occupancy Tenure Status

Occupancy tenure status considers only occupied buildings. The 2022 TBC results indicate that 75.3 percent of all buildings in Katavi Region are occupied by the owners themselves followed by building occupied by tenants alone (11.2%).

In rural areas, the results reveal that 79.6 percent of all buildings are occupied by owners themselves followed by buildings occupied by tenants alone (9.3%). A similar pattern is observed in urban areas, however the proportion of buildings occupied by tenants (17.0%) is substantially higher than that in rural areas.

Tangayika District Council has the highest percentage (82.0%) of buildings occupied by owners themselves, while Mpanda Municipal Council has the lowest percentage (63.4%). In addition, Mpanda Municipal Council has the highest percentage (16.3%) of tenant-occupied buildings, while the Tanganyikai District Council has the lowest (8.3%) (Table 14.15).

Table 14. 15: Percentage Distribution of of Occupied Buildings/Units by Place of Residence, Occupancy Tenure Status, and
Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

| Council | | | | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Total | Occupied by Owner | Live in Without paying rent | Occupied by Both Owner and Tenant(s) | Occupied by Tenant(s) | Total | Occupied by Owner | Live in Without paying rent | Occupied by Both Owner and Tenant(s) | Occupied by Tenant(s) | Total | Occupied by Owner | Live in Without paying rent | Occupied by Both Owner and Tenant(s) | Occupied by Tenant(s) |
| Total | 191,678 | 75.3 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 11.2 | 143,289 | 79.6 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 9.3 | 48,389 | 62.6 | 7.8 | 12.5 | 17.0 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 49,465 | 63.4 | 8.2 | 12.1 | 16.3 | 10,790 | 73.4 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 12.2 | 38,675 | 60.6 | 8.4 | 13.5 | 17.5 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,734 | 79.7 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 9.0 | 37734 | 79.7 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 9.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tanganyika District | 53,301 | 82.0 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 50,789 | 82.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 2,512 | 72.6 | 4.9 | 9.1 | 13.5 |
| Mlele District | 16,683 | 75.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 11.6 | 16,683 | 75.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 11.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,495 | 77.2 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 27,293 | 79.1 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 7,202 | 70.1 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 15.7 |

14.6.4 Buildings Land Survey Status

Results reveal that the majority of buildings in Katavi Region (72.7%) are built on unsurveyed land while 19.1 percent on surveyed land and 6.0 percent are built on regularized land. Moreover, 44.5 percent of urban buildings are built on surveyed land compared with 10.6 percent of rural buildings. Nsimbo Council has the highest percentage (87.8%) of buildings built on un-surveyed land while the Mpanda Municipal has the lowest (41.1%) (Table 14.16).

| Council | | То | otal | | | | Urban | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Total Buildings | Surveyed | Not surveyed | Regularized | Don't Know | Total Buildings | Surveyed | Not surveyed | Regularized | Don't know | Total Buildings | Surveyed | Not surveyed | Regularized | Don't know |
| Total | 189,349 | 19.1 | 72.7 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 141,955 | 10.6 | 84.2 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 47,394 | 44.5 | 38.3 | 13.5 | 3.7 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 42.3 | 41.1 | 13.8 | 2.8 | 10,728 | 5.9 | 86.4 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 37,762 | 52.6 | 28.2 | 15.9 | 3.3 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 8.4 | 87.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 37,386 | 8.4 | 87.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 14.1 | 78.8 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 50,159 | 13.9 | 79.2 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2,504 | 19.1 | 70.0 | 8.3 | 2.6 |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 12.4 | 82.4 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 16,503 | 12.4 | 82.4 | 3.1 | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 8.6 | 86.7 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 27,179 | 8.1 | 88.4 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 7,128 | 10.8 | 80.4 | 2.4 | 6.3 |

Table 14. 16: Percentage Distribution of Building Plots by Place of Residence, Land Survey Status and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

14.6.5 Buildings Land Ownership Documents

Information on land ownership status includes title deeds, residential licenses, letters of offer or acknowledgments of payment, certificates of customary right of occupancy (CCRO in Mainland), agreements or contracts, registration card (Zanzibar), and local Government documents. Results show that 40.2 percent of all buildings are built on land without legal documents, 13.8 percent are built on land with certificate of customary right of occupancy land ownership documents and 6.8 percent have title deeds (Table 14.17).

| | | | | | Land | Ownership Sta | tus | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|--|--|---------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|---------|
| Council | Total Buildings | Title Deed | Residential License | Letter of Offer/ Acknowledgement of Payment | Certificate of Customary Right of Occupancy | Agreement/ Contract | Land Registration Card | Local Government Documents (Mtaa/Village) | No Document | Unknown |
| Total | 191,678 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 13.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 40.2 | 5.0 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 49,465 | 15.0 | 1.1 | 17.2 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 18.5 | 8.3 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,734 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 14.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 54.8 | 2.3 |
| Tanganyika District | 53,301 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 12.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 49.2 | 3.9 |
| Mlele District | 16,683 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 28.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 37.9 | 3.7 |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,495 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 16.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 42.4 | 5.6 |

Table 14. 17: Number of Buildings/Units and Land Ownership Status by Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

Chapter 15

Summary of Key Findings, Implications and Recommendations

15.1 Introduction

This chapter presents key findings of the 2022 PHC result with the aim of informing Regional, Local Government Authorities and stakeholders on the key indicators, implications and recommendations for policies and programmes to realise socioeconomic development of Katavi Region.

15.2 Key Findings

This chapter presents key findings of the 2022 PHC result with the aim of informing Regional, Local Government Authorities and stakeholders on the key indicators, implications and recommendations for policies and programmes to realise socioeconomic development of Katavi Region.

15.2.1 Population Size and Growth

Key Findings

- The population of Katavi Region increased from 564,604 persons in 2012 to 1,152,958 persons in 2022; and
- ii. The population of Katavi Region has been growing at a rate of 7.1 percent annually during the 2012 2022 intercensal period.

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- i. With the current annual growth rate of 7.1 percent (which is significantly above the national average of 3.2%), the population of Katavi Region is expected to double in the next 10 years;
- ii. On the positive side, growth rate of 7.1 percent annually may lead to greater human capital, higher economic growth and higher economies of scale when appropriate investment are made in education, health and development of skills; and
- iii. On the negative side, high population growth of 3.7 percent may lead to increased pressure on social services, contribute to depletion of natural and

environmental resources, as well as increased pressure on land use, urban housing and other urban infrastructure.

Recommendations

- i. Regional, Local Government Authorities and stakeholders need to effectively implement respective national and sector policies while advocating for planned and balanced approach to population growth and economic development;
- ii. Regional and Local Government Authorities need to consistently undertake land use and urban planning as well as putting in place effective supervision and implementation mechanisms to ensure compliance; and
- iii. Regional and, Local Government Authorities as well as stakeholders should strengthen enforcement mechanisms, including by - laws to protect and safeguard the environment in line with the National Environmental Policy (2022) to ensure that negative impact caused by human activities on environment is minimized.

15.2.2 Age Profile

Key Findings

- i. About nineteen percent (18.9%) of the Katavi Region population is aged below five years;
- ii. Fifty six percent (56.0 %) of total population in Katavi region is below 18 years of age;
- iii. About thirty three percent (33.1%) of the Katavi Region population are youths aged 15 35 years; and
- iv. Proportion of persons aged 60 years and above is 3.6 percent of the total regional population.

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- High proportion of children under five years implies increased demand for Mother and Child Health Services as well as potential demand in social services including education and healthcare;
- ii. High proportion of persons under 18 years of age implies increased demand for education, healthcare and other social services leading to strain in resources

and infrastructure. This may lead to higher unemployment rates, which can contribute to social unrest and political instability;

- iii. High proportion of youths (15 35 years) exerts pressure on the economy to create more jobs and skills to absorb a growing youth population. However, high proportion of youth population, means a potential labour force that can actively contribute to economic growth, especially when they are educated and skilled and corresponding investments are made in human capital development; and
- iv. Elderly persons need social protection which includes universal pension, health insurance and housing.

Recommendations

- i. Regional and Local Government Authorities to strengthen implementation of policies and enforcement of laws related to child and youth development and protection;
- ii. Regional and Local Government Authorities to ensure allocation of enough resources to cater for education, health, social security and protection of young people; and
- iii. Regional and Local Government Authorities to effectively implement policies programmes that are intended to provide services to the elderly as indicated in related national and sectoral policies on the plight of older people in Katavi Region.

15.2.3 Marital Status and Early Marriages

Key Findings

- (a) About twenty nine percent (29.4%) of the female population aged 15 19 in Katavi Region are married; and
- (b) About four percent (3.6%) of persons aged 15 years and above are widowed. The percentage of females widowed is higher (6.0%) than that of males (1.0%).

Implications for Policies and Programmes

i. Early marriages have potentially lifelong harmful consequences such as early pregnancies, child and maternal mortality, and domestic violence. Young

women who marry early are also denied education, economic opportunity opportunities and can experience psychological consequences; and

ii. Widowhood may lead to social and economic difficulties, such as declining economic growth and increasing poverty levels as well as change of family formation and unbalanced child upbringing.

Recommendations

- i. Regional and Local Government Authorities should strengthen supervision and implementation of national and sector policies related to girl child education and welfare;
- ii. Regional and Local Government Authorities need to scale up measures to enforce laws and regulations that prohibit incidences of early marriage; and
- iii. The Regional and Local Government Authorities to develop supportive programmes for widows such as cash transfer, public works programmes like those implemented under Tanzania Social Action Fund (TASAF).

15.2.4 Possession of National Identification Documents

Key Findings

- i. About seventy three percent (73.3%) of children under five years of age in Katavi Region have neither birth certificate nor birth notification;
- ii. About 22 percent (22.4%) and 10.5 percent of persons aged 18 years and above have national identification cards (NIDA) and national identification number respectively; and
- iii. About five percent (4.9%) of persons in Katavi Region have health insurance from National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF) or Community Health Insurance Fund (CHIF).

Implications for Policies and Programmes

i. National identification documents are important for citizens to access services and fulfil their rights and obligations. They also help in association of information in the national databases, national security issues and law enforcement. Moreover, birth and national certification is mandatory for every citizen and therefore high proportion of persons without birth certificate and national identification is contrary to Births and Deaths Registration Act, (CAP 108, R.E 2002) and Registration and Identification of Persons Act of 1986 (R.E 2012);

- Birth certificate is a crucial document for children to access services before the age of 18 years, which is the minimum age for acquiring the National Identification Card; and
- iii. Health Insurance Identification card is important for ensuring accessibility to quality health care services.

Recommendations

- i. Regional and Authorities and Local Government Authorities as well as other stakeholders need to educate and regularly sensitize the public, especially the youths on the importance and benefits of national identification documents; and
 - Regional and local government authorities as well as stakeholders need to educate and regularly sensitive the public on the importance and benefits of Universal Health Insurance Act of 2023.

15.2.5 Orphanhood

Key Findings

- i. About twelve percent (12.2%) of children under age 18 in Katavi Region are orphans (7.7% with one parent dead and 4.5% with both parents' dead); and
- ii. Percentage of orphaned children range from 10.5 percent in Nsimbo District Council to 17.3 percent in Mlele District Council.

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- i. Orphans are likely to become victims of violence, human trafficking and exploitation, as well as likelihood to experience mental health challenges; and
- ii. Orphanhood may lead to increased cost of care to families, households and councils.

Recommendations

- Region and Councils as well as stakeholders need to strengthen sensitization of communities on the plight of orphan children and take actions to minimize their suffering;
- Regional and Local Government Authorities should consider sustaining mobilisation and allocation of adequate resources to support plans and strategies regarding to orphanage services including education subsidies and running cost of caring centres; and

iii. Region, Councils and stakeholders to identify and address factors behind the high percentage (17.3%) of orphanhood in Mlele District Council which is way above the national average of 10.4 percent.

15.2.6 Literacy and Education

Key Findings

- About 70.4 percent of population aged 15 years above in Katavi Region is literate. Literacy rates range from 58 percent in Mpimbwe District Council to 86.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal;
- Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary school in Katavi Region is 64.6 percent with notable disparity among councils, ranging from 51.8 percent in Mlele District to 86.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal;
- iii. About eighteen percent (18.4%) percent of secondary school age children (14-17 years) dropped out of school;
- iv. About three quarters (75%) of Persons with Disabilities (PWD) dropped out of education for people with mental health challenges; and
- v. Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Katavi Region is 89.8 percent. The rate is higher in urban (124.4%) than in rural areas (80.6%)

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- i. The adult literacy rate (70.4%) in Katavi Region is relatively low compared to the national average (83%) which implies the presence of significant number of illiterate populations in the region. This may lead to unhealthier population, low economic growth and poor labour market participation;
- ii. Disparities in education (literacy and enrolment) may lead to slow achievement in national development targets and may lead to lack harmony in the society;
- iii. The Net Enrolment Rate for Katavi Region is below the national average of 83.3 percent which indicates that a large proportion of children of official primary school age are not enrolled in schools which translates into poor education outcomes for Region's children and in a long-term resulting to poverty and inequality;
- School dropout has significant implication both at the individual and society level. Dropouts lead to cycle of poverty and increased risks of committing crime and may also imply inefficiency in education system;

- Persons with Disabilities are already disadvantaged; this coupled with lack or poor education aggravates their level of poverty leading to an increase in intergenerational poverty;
- vi. Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) of over 100 percent in urban areas of Katavi Regions implies that, the Region is able to accommodate most of its urban school age population in its education system. However, the rate of over 100 percent also shows that the challenges of achieving GER of 100 percent by year 2025/26 as stipulated in Five Year Development Plan III still exists. These challenges include under and over age school registration

Recommendations

- Regional and Local Government Authorities need to enhance efforts (awareness campaigns, by-laws, resource mobilization and allocation) to raise literacy and enrolment in the Region in order to achieve national targets and address disparities among councils;
- Regional and Local Government Authorities need to put in place effective mechanisms to ensure supervision and implementation of provision of skills training as emphasized in the National Education Policy (2023);
- Regional and Local Authorities to establish major causes of relatively high dropout rate in secondary schools, create supportive learning environment, address individual needs and provide resources to keep students engaged and motivated;
- iv. Regional and Local Government Authorities as well as other stakeholders to support measures to strengthen education system for PWDs to address the issue of high drop-out rates; and
- v. Regional and Local Government Authorities to raise awareness of parents and guardians of PWDs on the importance of supporting their educational development initiatives.

15.2.7 Employment and Economic Activities

Key Findings

- i. The overall unemployment rate in Katavi Region is 3.6 percent; the rate is high in urban (7.8%) than in rural (2.6%) areas;
- Agriculture, forestry and fishing industry has the highest proportion (70.1%) of the total employment in Katavi Region;

iii. About sixty six percent (66.2%) of youth population aged 15 - 35 are engaged in informal non-agricultural economic activities in Katavi Region.

Implications for Policy and Programmes

- i. Unemployment can lead to a reduction in economic growth, as unemployed individuals have less disposable income to spend on goods and services, which can lead to a decrease in demand for goods and services. The 3.6 percent unemployment rate for Katavi Region is below the national level (7.8%) and is considered low, which implies that its impact on economic growth is also low;
- Agriculture, forestry and fishing industry is the key sector for employment in the Region. Therefore, interventions to develop the sector will lead to more job creation and sustenance of employment; and
- iii. Informal non-agricultural economic activities is a significant youth employing sector in Katavi Region. This sector generates income for youth leading to poverty reduction and it can also lead to local community development. However, informal sector is insecure and lack social protection.

Recommendations

- Regional and Local Government Authorities to strengthen measures aimed at improving agriculture, forestry and fishing industry in line with national policies so as to increase sector productivity;
- ii. Region and Local Government Authorities in collaboration with other stakeholders to develop programmes and projects that promote entrepreneurship and self-employment, access to education and vocational training for the youth; and
- iii. Region and Local Government Authorities to create conducive business environment for the private sector to thrive by streamlining bureaucratic procedures, regulations, and facilitate access to affordable credit.

15.2.8 People with Disabilities

Key Findings

i. About 10 percent (9.9 %) of the total Katavi Region population aged 7 years and above have some form of disability;

- ii. Most Persons with Disabilities (57.2%) reported diseases as major cause of their disabilities;
- iii. About seven percent (7.3%) of Persons with Disabilities (PWDs), reported their disability to have been caused by accidents; and
- iv. Use of assistive devices among PWDs is very low.

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- i. Although prevalence of disability is moderate, its presence implies additional cost of care for the household and the society at large;
- ii. People with Disabilities are more likely to experience adverse social economic outcome such as poor health and poverty due to barriers to education, employment, earnings and weak social protection mechanism. However, the use of assistive devices reduces the negative effect of disability; and
- iii. Poor PWDs are caught in vicious cycle of poverty and disability, each being cause and consequence of the other.

Recommendations

- Regional and Local Government Authorities need to ensure that all programmes and projects in the councils integrate issues of PWDs so that they can fully participate in their own development using resources available in the region;
- Regional and Local Government Authorities as well as stakeholders to strengthen health and nutrition education programmes for prevention and cure so as to reduce the incidence of diseases leading to reduction of prevalence of disability in the region;
- Regional and Local Government Authorities should put in place effective supervision mechanism for organs responsible with safety issues including road and occupational places; and
- iv. Regional and Local Government Authorities to undertake regular sensitization, advocacy and awareness raising campaigns to promote the use of assistive devices and facilitate access to these devices.

15.2.9 Land Ownership

Key Findings

- About 47 percent (46.9%) of persons aged 15 years and above own land without any legal documents in Katavi Region, the percentage ranges from 56.4 in Nsimbo District Council to 39.5 in Mpanda Municipal Council; and
- ii. The proportion of males (13.2%) aged 15 years and above who own land alone is significantly higher than that of females (5.9%).

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- The overall aim of the National Land Policy is to promote and ensure a secure land tenure system, to encourage the optimal use of land resources, and to facilitate broad-based social and economic development without upsetting or endangering the ecological balance of the environment;
- ii. Gender inequality in land ownership is a critical issue in Katavi Region with significant implications;
- iii. Existence of gender inequality in land ownership leads to unequal economic opportunities;
- iv. Women who own land can engage in income generating activities leading to empowerment, poverty reduction and better livelihood; and
- v. Lack of legal documents for land ownership leads to insecurity of land properties and inaccessibility to economic opportunities.

Recommendations

- Regional and Local Government Authorities to strengthen monitoring implementation of the 1997 Land Policy to accelerate land ownership process by enhancing capacities of responsible institutions;
- Regional and Local Government Authorities need to address gender disparity in land ownership to guarantee economic empowerment and achieve human rights outcomes; and
- iii. Regional, Local Authorities and other stakeholders to strengthen education and awareness campaigns about benefits of joint land ownership to promote gender equality for sustainable development and poverty reduction.

15.2.10 Drinking Water

Key Findings

- About fifty-six percent (56.4%) of private households in Katavi Region use drinking water from improved sources; with significant disparity between councils ranging from 35.5 percent in Tanganyika District to 84.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal; and
- ii. There is significant disparity between urban (85.8%) and rural (44.7%) on percentage of private households using improved source of drinking water in Katavi Region.

Implication for Policy and Programmes

The use of water from unimproved sources has implication on human health as these sources are easily contaminated leading to poor health outcomes. About forty five percent (43.6%) of private household in Katavi Region are using water from unimproved sources for drinking, hence a high likelihood of poor health outcomes emanating from water usage.

Recommendations

- i. Region and Local Government Authorities need to initiate water supply projects especially in underserved rural areas and Councils; and
- ii. Region and Local Government Authorities should allocate enough resources to rural areas and councils that are lagging behind in the use of improved source of water for drinking.

15.2.11 Sanitation

Key Findings

- Forty-seven percent (47.0%) of households in Katavi Region is using improved toilet facility; with significant disparities between councils ranging from 32.2 percent in Nsimbo District to 81.6 percent in Mpanda Municipal; and
- Seven percent (7.0%) of households in Katavi Region have no toilet facility with notable disparity between councils ranging from 2.2 percent in Mpanda Municipal to 11.6 percent in Mpimbwe District.

Implications for Policies and Programmes

i. Use of unimproved or non-use of toilet facilities contributes to the spread of infectious diseases such as cholera, diarrhoea, dysentery, hepatitis A, typhoid, and polio. These diseases can lead to serious illness (morbidity) and even death (mortality); and
ii. Unsafe sanitation practices can contaminate water sources, soil, and ecosystems. This pollution affects not only human health but also the environment. Proper sanitation facilities help prevent contamination and protect natural resources.

Recommendations

i. Regional and Local Government Authorities need to effectively supervise and implement sanitation projects at local/community level to avoid adverse effects of poor sanitation;

ii. Regional and Local Government Authorities to strengthen and enforce by-laws for better implementation of sanitation and hygiene initiatives; and

iii. Regional and Local Government Authorities in collaboration with stakeholders to undertake sanitation and hygiene education and awareness campaigns in the communities.

15.2.12 Energy

Key Findings

- About fifty eight percent (57.9%) and 33.4 percent of private households in Katavi Region use firewood and charcoal respectively as the main source of energy for cooking;
- ii. About seven percent (7.3%) of households in Katavi Region use clean energy for cooking;
- Almost twenty percent (20.3%) of households is using electricity from National Grid for lighting; with significant disparity between councils ranging from 6.6 in Tanganyika District to 47.7 percent in Mpanda Municipal; and
- iv. About fifty eight percent (47.8%) of households in Katavi Region is using solar as the main source of energy for lighting.

Implications for Policy and Programmes

i. High proportion of households using firewood and charcoal as main source of energy for cooking lead to detrimental impact on environment by perpetuating deforestation, land degradation, soil erosion, air pollution, and loss of forest resources and therefore contributing to climate change;

- ii. Use of clean energy signals the potential of addressing environmental destruction and natural resources; Access to national grid in Katavi Region is low, which implies constrained economic opportunities/potentials for the Region and Councils; and
- iii. Disparities in energy access between councils lead to unequal development due to unlocked income generating opportunities by availability of reliable energy from the national grid.

Recommendations

- i. Regional and Local Government Authorities to support national campaign on the use of gas as an alternative source to charcoal and firewood;
- ii. Regional and Local Government Authorities to sensitize communities on the importance of using clean energy, negative effects of dirty energy and deforestation, which are the main causes of climate change; and
- iii. Regional and Local Government Authorities in collaboration with TANESCO and REA to identify and put in place a plan to hasten distribution and installation of electricity to households and communities.

15.2.13 Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Access and Use by Individual and Households

Key Findings

- i. Almost eight out of ten (80.3%) households in Katavi Region have at least one member aged 4 years and above who owns a mobile phone;
- ii. Less than one percent of both males (0.4%) and females (0.5%) aged15 years and above own a smartphone or tablet;
- iii. Less than one percent (0.8%) and about one percent (1.4%) of persons aged15 years and above own a desktop and laptop computer respectively; and
- iv. Forty six percent (45.5%) of households in Katavi Region own radio while 26.3 percent own television with notable disparities between councils ranging from 24.9 percent in Mpimbwe District to 87.7 percent in Mpanda Municipal (Radio)

and from 7.5 percent in Tanganyika District to 74.4 percent in Mpanda Municipal (Television).

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- The level of adoption of ICT services among households and individuals shows that the sector is growing in the right direction. Despite this growth, evidence from other sources (administrative data) shows that the digital divide gap is still high. For instance, though the broadband population coverage in Tanzania, was 72 percent in 2022, only around 45 percent of the population used broadband services;
- ii. Ownership and usage of ICT smart devices (smartphones, tablets and computer) are low which contribute to low speed of broadband services uptake; and
- iii. Access and use of ICT services are generally low among females compared to males as well as between urban and rural areas.

Recommendations

- i. Regional and Local Government Authorities need to promote and supervise digital literacy programmes in education curriculum at preprimary, primary and secondary education levels; and
- Regional and Local Government Authorities should consider raising awareness/sensitizing communities to establish community networks where they can share communication facilities and promote access to communication service and digital skills.

15.2.14 Information on Buildings

Key Findings

- i. Seventy-five percent (75.0%) of all buildings in Katavi Region have physical addresses;
- About fifty four percent (53.8%) of all buildings in Katavi Region have electricity services, of which 12.7 percent are connected to the national grid and 41.1 percent are powered by other sources;
- iii. About eighteen percent (17.6%) of all buildings have water services;

- About four percent (4.3%) of all buildings in Katavi Region have infrastructure for Persons with Disabilities;
- v. About seventy-three percent (72.7%) of all buildings in Katavi Region are built on un-surveyed land with significant disparity between Councils ranging from 87.8 percent in Nsimbo District to 41.1 percent in Mpanda Municipal Council; and
- vi. About forty percent (40.2%) of all buildings in Katavi Region are constructed on land that do not have legal documents.

Implications for Policies and Programmes

- Physical address is crucial for accessing emergency services, facilitate commerce and government taxes such as property tax. Addresses are also useful for enforcing regulation and management of land planning and use as well as provision and billing of utilities (water, electricity and gas);
- ii. The presence of a small percentage of buildings with electricity and water services, affects the implementation of economic activities for individual in accessing opportunity to increase income and enhancing their development;
- Large percentage of buildings that do not have friendly infrastructure for Persons with Disabilities causes difficulty for this group to reach and use the buildings, thus depriving them the basic rights to access services provided in those buildings;
- iv. Buildings without legal ownership documents indicate: The National Programme of Land Planning, Surveying and Titling has not yet been able to reach many beneficiaries, a loss of Government revenue, tenure insecurity among land holders, increase in land related conflicts and inability of land owners to use their land as a mortgage for obtaining loans;
- v. Presence of many buildings in unplanned and un-surveyed areas signifies escalation of informal settlements, lack of social services and infrastructure.

Recommendations

i. Regional and Local Government Authorities to effectively supervise the identification and posting of physical addresses and regularly undertake the exercise to take into account growth of settlements;

- Regional and Local Government Authorities to facilitate efforts by utility companies to provide electricity and water services in a manner that will enable low-income clients to be connected to these services;
- iii. Regional and Local Government Authorities to strengthen supervision and enforce laws that require buildings to have friendly infrastructure for PWDs; and
- iv. Regional and Local Government Authorities to strengthen supervision of implementation of the National Programme of Land Use Planning, Surveying and Titling together with Land Tenure Improvement Programme (LTIP); and Regional and Local Government Authorities to strengthen and strategically manage land use plans and surveying projects.

Annex Tables

Table A2.1:Total Population by Place of Residence, Sex and Council; KataviRegion, 2022 PHC

| Council | Total | Place of resi | dence | Sex | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Council | Total | Rural | Urban | Male | Female | | |
| Total | 1,152,958 | 883,999 | 268,959 | 569,902 | 583,056 | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 245,764 | 41,426 | 204,338 | 120,083 | 125,681 | | |
| Nsimbo District | 201,102 | 201,102 | NA | 100,712 | 100,390 | | |
| Tanganyika District | 371,836 | 354,478 | 17,358 | 184,842 | 186,994 | | |
| Mlele District | 118,818 | 118,818 | NA | 58,992 | 59,826 | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 215,438 | 168,175 | 47,263 | 105,273 | 110,165 | | |

Table A2. 2: Total Population in Private Household by Place of Residence, Sex and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Council | Total | Plac | e of residence | Sex | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Council | TOLA | Rural | Urban | Male | Female | | |
| Total | 1,132,862 | 868,926 | 263,936 | 557,267 | 575,595 | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 241,432 | 40,875 | 200,557 | 117,221 | 124,211 | | |
| Nsimbo District | 196,577 | 196,577 | NA | 97,379 | 99,198 | | |
| Tanganyika District | 368,185 | 351,403 | 16,782 | 182,442 | 185,743 | | |
| Mlele District | 112,109 | 112,109 | NA | 55,502 | 56,607 | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 214,559 | 167,962 | 46,597 | 104,723 | 109,836 | | |

N.A Not Applicable

Table A2. 3: Total Population in Collective Household by Place of Residence, Sexand Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| Council | Total | Place of r | esidence | Sex | | | |
|---------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|------------|--|--|
| Council | TOLAI | Rural | Urban | Female | Population | | |
| Total | 20,096 | 15,073 | 5,023 | 12,635 | 7,461 | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 4,332 | 551 | 3,781 | 2,862 | 1,470 | | |
| Nsimbo District | 4525 | 4525 | N.A | 3333 | 1192 | | |
| Tanganyika District | 3,651 | 3,075 | 576 | 2,400 | 1,251 | | |
| Mlele District | 6,709 | 6,709 | N.A | 3,490 | 3,219 | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 879 | 213 | 666 | 550 | 329 | | |
| N.A Not Applicable | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | - | | | |

| | | | | Literate | Populatio | n | | | |
|---|------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|---------|
| Selected Age Group | - | Fotal | | F | Rural | | L | Irban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Teenagers (13–19 years) | 979,561 | 483,903 | 495,658 | 747,038 | 371,419 | 375,619 | 232,523 | 112,484 | 120,039 |
| Youth Population (15–24 years) | 175,063 | 86,727 | 88,336 | 134,828 | 67,741 | 67,087 | 40,235 | 18,986 | 21,249 |
| Youth Population (15–35 years) | 214,221 | 100,470 | 113,751 | 160,577 | 76,370 | 84,207 | 53,644 | 24,100 | 29,544 |
| Primary School (6–12 years) | 381,697 | 182,289 | 199,408 | 280,155 | 134,940 | 145,215 | 101,542 | 47,349 | 54,193 |
| Primary School (7–13 years) | 256,276 | 127,395 | 128,881 | 203,075 | 101,407 | 101,668 | 53,201 | 25,988 | 27,213 |
| Secondary School (13-16 years) | 245,377 | 122,287 | 123,090 | 194,085 | 97,339 | 96,746 | 51,292 | 24,948 | 26,344 |
| Secondary School (14-17 years) | 100,754 | 51,142 | 49,612 | 77,706 | 40,084 | 37,622 | 23,048 | 11,058 | 11,990 |
| Working Age Population (15-64 years) | 549,719 | 269,969 | 279,750 | 406,239 | 200,751 | 205,488 | 143,480 | 69,218 | 74,262 |
| Elderly population (60+ years) | 41,435 | 20,466 | 20,969 | 32,661 | 16,480 | 16,181 | 8,774 | 3,986 | 4,788 |
| Population Aged 4 years and above | 979,561 | 483,903 | 495,658 | 747,038 | 371,419 | 375,619 | 232,523 | 112,484 | 120,039 |
| Population Aged 14+ years and above | 608,202 | 299,056 | 309,146 | 452,435 | 224,165 | 228,270 | 155,767 | 74,891 | 80,876 |
| Adult Population Aged 18+ years and above | 507,448 | 247,914 | 259,534 | 374,729 | 184,081 | 190,648 | 132,719 | 63,833 | 68,886 |

Table A8. 1 : Literate Population by Place of Residence, Sex and Selected Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

Table A8. 2: Population and Literate Population for Persons Aged 15 Years and
Above by Sex, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region 2022
PHC

| Council | | Population | | Literate Population | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Council | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | | | |
| Total | 1,152,958 | 569,902 | 583,056 | 406,060 | 215,078 | 190,982 | | | | |
| Rural | 883,999 | 439,374 | 444,625 | 276,500 | 149,970 | 126,530 | | | | |
| Urban | 268,959 | 130,528 | 138,431 | 129,560 | 65,108 | 64,452 | | | | |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 245,764 | 120,083 | 125,681 | 118,547 | 60,047 | 58,500 | | | | |
| Nsimbo District | 201,102 | 100,712 | 100,390 | 71,915 | 39,095 | 32,820 | | | | |
| Tanganyika District | 371,836 | 184,842 | 186,994 | 120,116 | 64,333 | 55,783 | | | | |
| Mlele District | 118,818 | 58,992 | 59,826 | 36,121 | 19,709 | 16,412 | | | | |
| Mpimbwe District | 215,438 | 105,273 | 110,165 | 59,361 | 31,894 | 27,467 | | | | |

| Ago Group | | Total | | | Rural | | Urban | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Age Group | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | | |
| Total | 917,127 | 450,386 | 466,741 | 698,242 | 345,444 | 352,798 | 218,885 | 104,942 | 113,943 | | | |
| 5-9 | 194,469 | 95,917 | 98,552 | 154,301 | 76,223 | 78,078 | 40,168 | 19,694 | 20,474 | | | |
| 10-14 | 160,547 | 81,374 | 79,173 | 126,523 | 64,821 | 61,702 | 34,024 | 16,553 | 17,471 | | | |
| 15 - 19 | 112,314 | 54,837 | 57,477 | 85,410 | 42,269 | 43,141 | 26,904 | 12,568 | 14,336 | | | |
| 20 - 24 | 97,707 | 43,096 | 54,611 | 72,140 | 32,254 | 39,886 | 25,567 | 10,842 | 14,725 | | | |
| 25 - 29 | 82,615 | 38,494 | 44,121 | 58,838 | 27,567 | 31,271 | 23,777 | 10,927 | 12,850 | | | |
| 30 - 34 | 66,514 | 33,046 | 33,468 | 47,551 | 23,685 | 23,866 | 18,963 | 9,361 | 9,602 | | | |
| 35 - 39 | 51,531 | 25,928 | 25,603 | 37,497 | 18,781 | 18,716 | 14,034 | 7,147 | 6,887 | | | |
| 40 - 44 | 40,160 | 20,731 | 19,429 | 29,638 | 15,316 | 14,322 | 10,522 | 5,415 | 5,107 | | | |
| 45 - 49 | 31,710 | 16,749 | 14,961 | 24,021 | 12,631 | 11,390 | 7,689 | 4,118 | 3,571 | | | |
| 50 - 54 | 23,813 | 12,355 | 11,458 | 18,456 | 9,530 | 8,926 | 5,357 | 2,825 | 2,532 | | | |
| 55 - 59 | 14,875 | 7,791 | 7,084 | 11,624 | 6,186 | 5,438 | 3,251 | 1,605 | 1,646 | | | |
| 60 - 64 | 14,473 | 7,474 | 6,999 | 11,376 | 5,969 | 5,407 | 3,097 | 1,505 | 1,592 | | | |
| 65 - 69 | 8,682 | 4,424 | 4,258 | 6,814 | 3,539 | 3,275 | 1,868 | 885 | 983 | | | |
| 70 - 74 | 7,506 | 3,655 | 3,851 | 5,924 | 2,973 | 2,951 | 1,582 | 682 | 900 | | | |
| 75 - 79 | 3,890 | 1,905 | 1,985 | 3,109 | 1,573 | 1,536 | 781 | 332 | 449 | | | |
| 80+ | 6,321 | 2,610 | 3,711 | 5,020 | 2,127 | 2,893 | 1,301 | 483 | 818 | | | |

Table A8. 3: Numerate Population Aged Five Years and Above by Place of Residence, Sex and Five-Year Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | Literate | e Population | า | | | |
|---|------------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|------------|---------|---------|
| Selected Age Group | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Teenagers (13–19 years) | 172,988 | 85,612 | 87,376 | 133,162 | 66,834 | 66,328 | 39,826 | 18,778 | 21,048 |
| Youth Population (15–24 years) | 210,021 | 97,933 | 112,088 | 157,550 | 74,523 | 83,027 | 52,471 | 23,410 | 29,061 |
| Youth Population (15–35 years) | 372,210 | 176,171 | 196,039 | 273,533 | 130,653 | 142,880 | 98,677 | 45,518 | 53,159 |
| Primary School (6–12 years) | 253,801 | 126,125 | 127,676 | 200,793 | 100,236 | 100,557 | 53,008 | 25,889 | 27,119 |
| Primary School (7–13 years) | 243,086 | 121,131 | 121,955 | 191,967 | 96,268 | 95,699 | 51,119 | 24,863 | 26,256 |
| Secondary School (14-17 years) | 99,721 | 50,582 | 49,139 | 76,813 | 39,590 | 37,223 | 22,908 | 10,992 | 11,916 |
| Working Age Population (15-64 years) | 535,712 | 260,501 | 275,211 | 396,551 | 194,188 | 202,363 | 139,161 | 66,313 | 72,848 |
| Elderly population (60+ years) | 40,872 | 20,068 | 20,804 | 32,243 | 16,181 | 16,062 | 8,629 | 3,887 | 4,742 |
| Population Aged 4 years and above | 961,340 | 472,219 | 489,121 | 733,534 | 362,857 | 370,677 | 227,806 | 109,362 | 118,444 |
| Population Aged 14+ years and above | 593,568 | 289,208 | 304,360 | 442,227 | 217,290 | 224,937 | 151,341 | 71,918 | 79,423 |
| Adult Population Aged 18+ years and above | 493,847 | 238,626 | 255,221 | 365,414 | 177,700 | 187,714 | 128,433 | 60,926 | 67,507 |

Table A8. 4: Numerate Population by Place of Residence, Sex and Selected Age Groups; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | Populat | ion (7-13 Y | ears) | | | | | | Chi | Idren Attend | ing Schoo | l (7-13 Year | rs) | | |
|---------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Council | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | Total | | | | Rural | | Urban | | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 245,377 | 122,287 | 123,090 | 194,085 | 97,339 | 96,746 | 51,292 | 24,948 | 26,344 | 158,514 | 76,053 | 82,461 | 114,649 | 54,897 | 59,752 | 43,865 | 21,156 | 22,709 |
| Mpanda Municipal | 46,754 | 22,894 | 23,860 | 8,450 | 4,277 | 4,173 | 38,304 | 18,617 | 19,687 | 40,236 | 19,401 | 20,835 | 6,504 | 3,141 | 3,363 | 33,732 | 16,260 | 17,472 |
| Nsimbo District | 44,116 | 22,062 | 22,054 | 44,116 | 22,062 | 22,054 | NA | NA | NA | 31,625 | 15,129 | 16,496 | 31,625 | 15,129 | 16,496 | NA | NA | NA |
| Tanganyika District | 82,251 | 41,386 | 40,865 | 78,923 | 39,746 | 39,177 | 3,328 | 1,640 | 1,688 | 48,237 | 23,087 | 25,150 | 45,346 | 21,689 | 23,657 | 2,891 | 1,398 | 1,493 |
| Mlele District | 25,388 | 12,676 | 12,712 | 25,388 | 12,676 | 12,712 | NA | NA | NA | 13,163 | 6,314 | 6,849 | 13,163 | 6,314 | 6,849 | NA | NA | NA |
| Mpimbwe District | 46,868 | 23,269 | 23,599 | 37,208 | 18,578 | 18,630 | 9,660 | 4,691 | 4,969 | 25,253 | 12,122 | 13,131 | 18,011 | 8,624 | 9,387 | 7,242 | 3,498 | 3,744 |

Table A8. 5: Population and Children Age (7-13 Years) Attending Primary Schools by Place of Residence, Sex and Council;Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

N.A Not Applicable

Table A8. 6: Population and All Children Attending Primary Schools by Place of Residence, Sex and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 PHC

| | | | | Populati | on (7-13 Yea | ars) | | | | All Children Attending | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|------------|--------|--------|------------------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Council | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | | | Total | | | Rural | | | Urban | |
| | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total | 504,140 | 255,135 | 249,005 | 393,522 | 200,084 | 193,438 | 110,618 | 55,051 | 55,567 | 619,016 | 305,558 | 313,458 | 463,812 | 229,273 | 234,539 | 155,204 | 76,285 | 78,919 |
| Lushoto District | 76,517 | 38,440 | 38,077 | 70,057 | 35,237 | 34,820 | 6,460 | 3,203 | 3,257 | 101,992 | 50,470 | 51,522 | 92,504 | 45,813 | 46,691 | 9,488 | 4,657 | 4,831 |
| Bumbuli District | 32,295 | 16,464 | 15,831 | 31,987 | 16,315 | 15,672 | 308 | 149 | 159 | 44,671 | 22,541 | 22,130 | 44,211 | 22,318 | 21,893 | 460 | 223 | 237 |
| Korogwe District | 53,069 | 27,039 | 26,030 | 49,292 | 25,177 | 24115 | 3,777 | 1,862 | 1,915 | 69,301 | 34,940 | 34,361 | 63,869 | 32,290 | 31,579 | 5,432 | 2,650 | 2,782 |
| Korogwe Town | 14,084 | 6,963 | 7,121 | 4,372 | 2,241 | 2,131 | 9,712 | 4,722 | 4,990 | 20,384 | 9,993 | 10,391 | 6,181 | 3,125 | 3,056 | 14,203 | 6,868 | 7,335 |
| Muheza District | 40,582 | 20,614 | 19,968 | 33,578 | 17,150 | 16,428 | 7,004 | 3,464 | 3,540 | 57,204 | 28,747 | 28,457 | 47,128 | 23,774 | 23,354 | 10,076 | 4,973 | 5,103 |
| Katavi City | 60,417 | 30,128 | 30,289 | NA | NA | NA | 60417 | 30,128 | 30,289 | 87,260 | 43,152 | 44,108 | NA | NA | NA | 87260 | 43152 | 44108 |
| Pangani District | 13,116 | 6,574 | 6,542 | 11797 | 5921 | 5876 | 1,319 | 653 | 666 | 16,754 | 8,221 | 8,533 | 14,864 | 7,311 | 7,553 | 1,890 | 910 | 980 |
| Handeni District | 80,463 | 40,833 | 39,630 | 80,463 | 40,833 | 39,630 | NA | NA | NA | 85,348 | 41,476 | 43,872 | 85,348 | 41,476 | 43,872 | NA | NA | NA |
| Handeni Town | 21,621 | 10,870 | 10,751 | NA | NA | NA | 21,621 | 10,870 | 10,751 | 26,395 | 12,852 | 13,543 | NA | NA | NA | 26,395 | 12,852 | 13,543 |
| Kilindi District | 83,545 | 42,517 | 41,028 | 83,545 | 42,517 | 41,028 | NA | NA | NA | 72,880 | 34,451 | 38,429 | 72,880 | 34,451 | 38,429 | NA | NA | NA |
| Mkinga District | 28,431 | 14,693 | 13,738 | 28,431 | 14,693 | 13,738 | NA | NA | NA | 36,827 | 18,715 | 18,112 | 36,827 | 18,715 | 18,112 | NA | NA | NA |
| Lushoto District | 76,517 | 38,440 | 38,077 | 70,057 | 35,237 | 34,820 | 6,460 | 3,203 | 3,257 | 101,992 | 50,470 | 51,522 | 92,504 | 45,813 | 46,691 | 9,488 | 4,657 | 4,831 |

| | | | | | | | Owners | hip Status | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|--|---|------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Council | Total (Number of Buildings) | Individual | Co-ownership | Housing Cooperatives | Parastatal Institutional (TBA /ZBA, NHC/ZHC, WHC) | International organization/Diplomatic Institution | Local Government | Central Government | Non-Government Organizations (NGO's, CBO's)) / Private | Religious Institutions (Mosque, church, temple, etc. | Private Companies (AVIC MUTUAL PPROPERTIES) | Joint Venture | Political Parties/Sports clubs | Mixed Ownership |
| Total | 189,349 | 170,313 | 13,930 | 119 | 623 | 284 | 1,973 | 630 | 345 | 955 | 12 | 5 | 47 | 113 |
| Rural | 141,955 | 126,624 | 11,728 | 95 | 454 | 271 | 1,315 | 432 | 222 | 683 | 5 | 4 | 26 | 96 |
| Urban | 47,394 | 43,689 | 2,202 | 24 | 169 | 13 | 658 | 198 | 123 | 272 | 7 | 1 | 21 | 17 |
| Council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpanda Municipal | 48,490 | 44,213 | 2,747 | 19 | 190 | 14 | 674 | 161 | 121 | 281 | 6 | 1 | 23 | 40 |
| Nsimbo District | 37,386 | 32,573 | 3,494 | 22 | 161 | 246 | 449 | 82 | 57 | 278 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 14 |
| Tanganyika District | 52,663 | 47,537 | 3,997 | 37 | 110 | 8 | 365 | 270 | 79 | 217 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 33 |
| Mlele District | 16,503 | 14,923 | 1142 | 8 | 56 | 10 | 188 | 45 | 43 | 73 | NA | NA | 6 | 9 |
| Mpimbwe District | 34,307 | 31,067 | 2,550 | 33 | 106 | 6 | 297 | 72 | 45 | 106 | 2 | NA | 6 | 17 |

Table A14. 1: Number of Buildings by Ownership Status, Place of Residence and Council; Katavi Region, 2022 TBC

Bibliography

Hani K. Atrash, M.D., M.P.H.1, Parents' Death, and its Implications for Child Survival 2011; 21(3): 759–770.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), 2014, *the 2012 Population and Housing Census, National Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile*, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

United Republic of Tanzania constitution, the Tanzania Citizenship ACT chapter 357

revised,2002 and the Tanzania Citizenship ACT (subsidiary legislation)

chapter 357 revised Edition, 2002 index to subsidiary legislation.

The United Republic of Tanzania (URT), Ministry of Finance, Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics and Ministry of State, President's Office, State House and Good Governance, Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar. *The 2012 Population and Housing Census: Basic Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile;* Tanzania, April 2014.

The United Republic of Tanzania (URT), Ministry of Finance and Planning, Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics and President's Office - Finance and Planning, Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar. *The 2022 Population and Housing Census: Administrative Units Population Distribution Report;* Tanzania, December 2022.

Ministry of Finance and Planning, Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics and President's Office - Finance and Planning, Office of the Chief Government Statistician, Zanzibar. *The 2022 Population and Housing Census: Age and Sex Distribution Report.* Tanzania, December 2022.

Ministry of Health, Community Development, Gender, Elderly and Children (MoHCDGEC), [Tanzania Mainland], Ministry of Health (MoH) [Zanzibar], National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), Office of the Chief Government Statistician (OCGS), and ICF. *Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey and Malaria Indicator Survey (TDHS-MIS) 2015-16.* Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, and Rockville, Maryland, USA, 2016.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), *The 2002 Population and Housing Census, Analytical Report*, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, 2006.

- Population Reference Bureau. 2022 WORLD POPULATION Data Sheet, Washington DC, USA 2022.
- United Nations. *Principles and Recommendations for Population and Housing Censuses,* New York, USA, 2017.

